

December 18, 2013

s.22

RE: Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

I have received a signed Consent Order to re-hear your IRP application.

I have considered the evidence and find that the demand was not made forthwith. As a result, I am not satisfied that you failed or refused to comply with a demand, and I therefore revoke the driving prohibition and monetary penalty as required by section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 1, 2011. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

This decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.15

s.15 Manager, Adjudication and Training

cc: John Green
 (250) 361-9181

December 17, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

Based on a balance of probabilities, and my weighing of the evidence you present against that of the officer, I am not satisfied that you were fully advised of your right to a second analysis.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 8, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Kyla Lee
Acumen Law Corporation
fax: 604-685-8308

DECEMBER 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 5, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “warn” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

IRP Review Decision
Page 2

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did the ASD register a “warn” and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?

After careful consideration of the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41 of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

Having made this finding I do not need to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at: http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 9, 2013, the date your vehicle was eligible for release. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

December 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On December 6, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer, Lou Webster, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Cst. Baker and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc. Lou Webster
Infinity Law
250-385-6008 (fax)

December 24, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Heideman and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the specific evidence before me in this unique situation, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 30, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 31, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your neighbor, s.22 confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Cst. Johnston and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 31, 2013, the date your vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer, Jeffrey Arndt, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable MacKinnon and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 2, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No

s.22

Introduction

A peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

The Notice indicates that on December 10, 2013, the officer had reasonable grounds to prohibit you from driving for 90 days because a sample of your breath on an approved screening device

registered a "FAIL" and your ability to drive was affected by alcohol. However, the Report to Superintendent indicates that you were the driver of a motor vehicle at 1412 hours on December 7, 2013.

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I do not find that the officer has provided evidence to support the prohibition indicated on the Notice.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 27, 2013

s.22

c/o NIXON WENGER, LLP
301 – 2706 30 AVE
VERNON BC V1T 2B6

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.
Introduction

s.22

On December 4, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your legal counsel, Darren Kautz, received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?
- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the ASD "FAIL" a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

Mr. Kautz submits that Officer Robbins has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that the ASD with serial number 101053 was reliable on December 4, 2013.

I concur.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

Based on the evidence, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and the vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner or someone authorized by him may go directly to the place that the vehicle was impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 24, 2013. The owner is responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. The owner should know that if this vehicle is not retrieved within 30 days of the expiry of the impoundment, the impound lot may take steps to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Darren Kautz via fax

December 12 , 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Ms. Sarah Leamon. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Sarah Leamon
fax: 604-370-2505

December 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On November 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that you were a driver at a relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604-685-8308

December 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 3, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records before me confirm that disclosure documents were faxed to your lawyer, George Rivard. I will proceed with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD used for your breath tests was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: Georges Rivard by fax 1-888 345 7978

December 9, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Turnell and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on all the evidence before me in this situation, I am not satisfied that the second ASD used to analyze your breath sample was reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including **November 20, 2013**. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

A refund of the IRP penalty fee and your driver's licence reinstatement fee has been authorized and will be sent to you in approximately 6 – 8 weeks. If you have any outstanding fines or debts owed to the province of British Columbia your refund may be used to help offset those debts.

s.22

IRP Review Decision
Page 3

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 5, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah E. Leamon, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used for the second analysis was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASDs used for your breath tests were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 5, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

December 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 6, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Mitch Foster. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: Mitch Foster
by fax: 604 687-4299

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (ASD); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of your oral hearing, your lawyer, Emmet Duncan, confirmed that he received full disclosure.

You applied on several grounds, some of which are not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with a demand?

When considering the evidence before me, I find that you were not given a demand forthwith or as soon as practicable. Consequently, I find the demand was invalid.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Emmet Duncan
604-488-1413

December 31, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 15, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition ("Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

After considering all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you can go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604-685-8308

December 24, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

The officer indicated that the reason for the prohibition was because a sample of your breath on an ASD registered a “FAIL”. However, the officer has not provided sufficient evidence to support this charge.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 17, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Julian van der Walle. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: by fax Julian van der Walle
by fax: 250 503 0249

December 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was the ASD reliable?

In the Report to Superintendent (the “Report”), the officer indicated that you provided your second breath sample into an ASD with serial number 101134. The officer provided a Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator (the “Certificate”) for the ASD. In reviewing the Certificate I note that the calibration expiry date is 2013-11-09, or November 9, 2013. Given that you provided your sample of breath on November 16, 2013, 7 days after the ASD’s calibration expired, I cannot be satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 6, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 17, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that you were a driver at a relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41 of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used to conduct your second analysis was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (ASD); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of the oral hearing your lawyer, Mitch Foster, confirmed that he received full disclosure.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 20, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Mitch Foster
604-687-4299

December 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer, Ajeet Kang, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Cst. Choi and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc. Ajeet Kang
Kang and Company
604-572-8871 (fax)

December 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator for ASD serial number 032646, I note that there is no evidence indicating the manufacturer, lot number or expiry date of the dry gas Alcohol Standard. As such, I am not satisfied that the ASD was within the recommended limits or functioning properly.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

December 10, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Philip W. Cote. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 16, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand ?

As it is determinative of this review, I make my finding only on this issue.

I am not satisfied, based on the evidence you present, that you refused to comply with a demand for a sample of your breath at roadside.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 16, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 10, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 19, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (ASD); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of the oral hearing your lawyer, Cathryn Waker, confirmed that she received full disclosure.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

When considering the evidence before me, I find that you had a reasonable excuse.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Cathryn Waker
604-637-1617

December 17, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, John Chak confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: John Chak by fax: 604 282 7509

December 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On November 30, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 18, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

In your application for review, you only checked the box next to “I did not refuse or fail to comply with the officer’s demand to provide a breath sample”. For your benefit, I have considered all of the grounds available to you in this review that relate to the reason for which you were prohibited.

Records at this office confirm that you received full disclosure of the documents before me prior to your scheduled hearing. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Stanbury and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on all the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 12, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 11, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On June 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah E. Leamon, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

I am satisfied that you did not fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including July 28, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

December 10, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Emmet J. Duncan, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

I am satisfied that you did not fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

December 4, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 17, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (ASD); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of your oral hearing, you confirmed that you received full disclosure.

You applied on two grounds, one of which is not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

December 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 19, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer Kevin Filkow, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD used for your breath test was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

^{s.15}
Adjudicator

cc: Kevin Filkow
By fax to 604-370-2505

December 31, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On December 14, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of your oral hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she received all of the disclosure documents.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you advised of your right to a second test?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that you were not advised of your right to a second test.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
604-685-8308

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 30, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Lerhe-Thomas and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Constable Lerhe-Thomas provided Certificates of a Qualified ASD Calibrator (the “Certificates”), regarding the calibration of the devices used to analyze your breath samples. The Certificates indicate that Constable Michael Stringer checked the calibration of the devices on November 22, 2013, by means of a dry gas Alcohol Standard, manufactured by Airgas, with lot number: AG217901. I note that on both Certificates the dry gas Alcohol Standard that was used was beyond its expiry date. As a result, I am not satisfied that the ASDs were reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

A refund of your reinstatement and renewal fees has been authorized and will be sent to you in approximately 6 – 8 weeks. If you have any outstanding fines or debts owing to the Province of British Columbia, your refund may be used to help offset those debts.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 8, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies

s.22

IRP Review Decision
Page 3

of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Brian Juriloff. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

I am satisfied that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 19, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Sacha Roudette. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 6, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 9, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 17, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Hunt and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

Based on all the evidence before me in this particular set of circumstances, I am satisfied that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 9, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 24, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Were the ASDs reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Kyla Lee
Acumen Law Corporation
fax: 604-685-8308

December 11, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Fadi Yachoua. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Laviolette and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

I am satisfied that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand. Having made this decision, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 11, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 5, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 17, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc.Phil Cote
fax: 778-395-6226

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 4, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on all grounds; however, not all grounds are applicable to your situation. For you benefit, I have considered all the grounds applicable available to you.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Sacha Roudette. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the totality of the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Sacha Roudette

Fax: 250-388-7327

December 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Tom Do. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Potter and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

I am satisfied that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand. Having made this decision, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including **December 20, 2013**. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Tom Do
604-739-7429 (fax)

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 30, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Julian van der Walle. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Baldauf and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the second analysis performed on a different ASD?

Based on all the evidence before me in this particular set of circumstances, I find it more likely than not that Constable Baldauf did not do the second analysis on a different ASD. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

I am satisfied that the second analysis was not performed on a different ASD.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 23, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.



December 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 2, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition. However, after reviewing the Report to Superintendent, I note that the Approved Screening Device demand was given to you by a Canada Border Services Officer, who is not a “peace officer” under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Consequently, it has been determined that this Immediate Roadside Prohibition is not valid and will be removed from your driving record.

I therefore revoke your driving prohibition and monetary penalty, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving once you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Sarah Leamon
fax: 604 370-2505

December 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on a consideration of the evidence I have before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

December 17, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 17, 2012, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

I am not satisfied that you were properly advised of your right to a second analysis.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including November 21, 2012. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

December 17, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

At the beginning of the hearing you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 29, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable MacDonald and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on all the evidence before me in this particular set of circumstances, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, as set out by Constable MacDonald.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including [the date of this letter/ the date your vehicle was eligible for release]. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your legal counsel, Sacha Roudette, received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL” and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the ASD reliable?

- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were the ASDs reliable?

Mr. Roudette submits that Officer Cichon has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that the ASDs used in your case were reliable on December 1, 2013.

I concur.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

Based on the evidence, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and the vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 20, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Sacha Roudette via fax

December 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On November 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On December 5, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition No. s.22

Introduction

A peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (ASD); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on three grounds. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you. Records indicate that you received full disclosure.

Issues

There are three issues in this review:

1. Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
2. If so, did you fail or refuse to comply with a demand?
3. If so, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 23, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 31, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on several grounds, some of which are not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jeremy Carr
250-388-7327

December 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 9, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

I am not satisfied that the ASD the officer used for your second ASD test was reliable. I am therefore not satisfied that the officer provided a second analysis upon your request as required by the Act.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 2, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Kyla Lee
Acumen Law Corporation
fax: 604-685-8308

December 16, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604-685-8308

December 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 22, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result;
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”); and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Section 215.5(2) of the Act states that if I determine that you were prohibited from driving for a longer time period than the Act requires, I must substitute the correct prohibition, vary the monetary penalty for which you are liable under section 215.44(1), and vary or revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Should your 7-day or 30-day prohibition be reduced because you did not have the required number of previous IRP's?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including November 30, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.***

s.22

IRP Review Decision

Page 3

Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee, Acumen Law Corporation

Fax: 604-685-8308

December 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. I acknowledge that I have your submission which was received in our office on December 7, 2013.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “fail”?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that your BAC was not less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “fail”.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on several grounds, some of which are not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Philip Cote. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In his written submission, Mr. Cote, noted that the Office of Superintendent of Motor Vehicles (OSMV) requested missing Certificates of a Qualified ASD Calibrator (Certificates) from the officer despite receiving two Certificates in relation to the case. Mr. Cote argued that the OSMV breached the principal of procedural fairness by actively seeking out information from the officer.

I acknowledge that, in error, the OSMV requested missing Certificates despite the fact that two Certificates were received in relation to this case and I agree that the principal of procedural fairness. As such, I will not consider the requested Certificates in the context of my review.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 12, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Philip Cote
778-395-6226

December 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Brian Jackson. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 30, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASDs used for your breath tests were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 11, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked.

Adjudicator s.15

cc. Sarah Leamon
604-370-2505 (fax)

December 3, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 15, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604-685-8308

December 9, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 2, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative Brian Coleman. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Having reviewed the evidence in its entirety, I am not satisfied that the ASD registered a "FAIL" as a result of your BAC being over 80 mg%.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including **November 25, 2013**. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Brian Coleman, Q.C.
fax: 604-683-9354

December 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 18, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Your lawyer, Sarah E. Leamon, confirmed receipt all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “fail”?

I am not satisfied that the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC Sarah E. Leamon

December 10, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On November 26, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used for the first analysis was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 27, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On December 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your legal counsel, Jeremy Carr, was provided full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?
- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did the ASD register a “FAIL”?

Mr. Carr submits that Officer Callaghan has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that on December 7, 2013, you provided a breath sample into an ASD that registered a “FAIL”.

I concur.

Having made this finding, there is no need to address the other issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 27, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Jeremy Carr

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition No. s.22

Introduction

On December 6, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition. You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, that you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for an analysis by means of an approved screening device (ASD), and that you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

I must revoke your driving prohibition if I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1), that you did not fail or refuse to comply with a demand, or that you had a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply. If I revoke your prohibition, I must also cancel the monetary penalty for which you would otherwise be liable under section 215.44(1) and revoke the corresponding vehicle impoundment.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me, including the peace officer's report.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on several grounds, some of which are not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

At the outset of the oral hearing your lawyer, Philip Cote, confirmed that he received full disclosure. Mr. Cote indicated that he would prefer to submit a written submission rather than conduct an oral hearing. I advised Mr. Cote that I would accept a written submission. I received a 41 page written submission from him on December 17, 2013.

Driving Prohibition Review Decision
Page 2

Mr. Cote suggested that upon review of the Report to Superintendent (RTS) he observed that the signature of the commissioner for taking affidavits and the signature of the officer are very similar. He indicated that he has submitted the IRP documents to an expert to provide an opinion as to whether or not the signatures came from the same person. He did not provide an expert opinion for this review. He submitted that both signatures are the same and therefore the evidence is not properly sworn.

Having carefully considered the signature of the commissionaire and the officer I disagree with Mr. Cote's suggestion that they are from the same person. In my view, the signatures are not at all similar. I am satisfied that the evidence before me is properly sworn.

Issues

There are three issues in this review:

1. Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
2. If so, did you fail or refuse to comply with a demand?
3. If so, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with a demand?

When considering the evidence before me, I find that you did not fail or refuse to comply with a demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.22

Driving Prohibition Review Decision
Page 3

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Philip Cote
778-395-6226

December 9, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kevin Filkow, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Dykstra and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on all the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the first ASD used to analyze your breath samples was reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 05, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

In support of your case you have submitted, by facsimile, 46 pages on December 23, 2013. I have taken your submission into account in light of the issues that I must determine in this review, those issues are outlined in detail below.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

In the Report to Superintendent (the “Report”), the investigating officer reported that you were driving or in care or control of a motor vehicle at 04:22 hours on December 08, 2013.

I have weighed the evidence before me and find there to be insufficient evidence before me that demonstrates that you were in care and control of the motor vehicle at the time of the incident. I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 23, 2013, the date your vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the

Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 23, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

December 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 9, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Mitch Foster, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Cichon and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

Based on all the evidence before me in this specific situation, I am satisfied that you did not fail or refuse to comply with the demand. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

December 5, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 15, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Cichon and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am not satisfied that the ASDs were reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 5, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 22, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Cichon and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on all the evidence before me in this particular set of circumstances, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41 of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 12, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Were the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD were reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 31, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 14, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a "WARN" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood ("50 mg%")
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device ("ASD");
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office indicate you received full disclosure of the documents before me.

You applied on three grounds, one of which is not applicable to your situation because of the reason you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that a second analysis was not performed with a different ASD.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 18, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

DECEMBER 18, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Some of the grounds on which you applied for this review are not applicable to your situation because the officer alleged that you were being prohibited from driving because an ASD test resulted in a “fail”. Therefore, I will consider all of the grounds available to you in this review that are appropriate to those circumstances.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you prior to your scheduled hearing. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having carefully considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41, of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including [the date of this letter/ the date your vehicle was eligible for release]. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.22

IRP Review Decision

Page 3

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 18, 2013, the date you were informed your vehicle was being released. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

December 16, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 24, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kevin Filkow confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Mr. Filkow provided the cases of *Spencer v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* and *Scott v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*. I have read and considered these cases and I am mindful of what I must consider in conducting this review.

I have no authority under the Act to consider whether or not being issued an IRP based on an ASD “FAIL” result is a contravention of your *Charter* rights. Under section 117 of the Act, I have been delegated authority by the Superintendent to conduct this review of your driving prohibition pursuant to section 215.41 of the Act. The scope of the review is limited to the grounds as specified in the Act. I have conducted my review accordingly.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of the officer and Mr. Filkow's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand, and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

Based on the available evidence I am not satisfied that the officer read a valid demand.

Having made this decision, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 17, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

Kevin Filkow by fax 604 370 -2505

December 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No

s.22

Introduction

On November 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation. I also confirm that I received your written statement, the statement from s.22 and photographs of the three homeopathic remedies you used on the night in question.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “fail”?

I am not satisfied that your BAC was 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “fail”.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

December 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative Mr. Martin Finch. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand ?

As it is determinative of this review, I make my finding only on this issue.

I am not satisfied, based on the evidence you present, that the officer made a valid demand to you for a sample of your breath into an ASD.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including December 30, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Martin Finch
fax: 604-792-8711

December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Ms. Lee submitted that your driving prohibition should be revoked because Officer Waterhouse (the “officer”) did not promptly forward a sworn or solemnly affirmed Report to Superintendent (the “Report”) pursuant to section 215.47(d) of the Act. She said that the Report subsequently sent in to the Superintendent, sworn on November 1, 2013, is still not in a form that complies with the Act as established by the Superintendent. As such, the prohibition is a “legal nullity”. Ms. Lee referred me to a memorandum issued by the Court of Appeal to assert that the Superintendent has no jurisdiction to proceed.

I acknowledge Ms. Lee's submission on this point; however, the absence of a sworn Report means that I cannot make a decision until a sworn Report is received. Since a sworn Report has now been received, I find that I can proceed with the review hearing and make a decision.

Ms. Lee also submitted that because the Superintendent requested a mandatory document from police, it gives rise to a reasonable apprehension of bias. Ms. Lee said that an adjudicator cannot act as an investigator and an adjudicator in the same hearing. She provided me with copies of the following cases: *Murray v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, *Mitchell v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* and *Fowler v. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles*. Ms. Lee asserted that the Superintendent cannot make a demand for a document when there is no evidence that the document already exists.

I acknowledge Ms. Lee's submission on this point; however, in *Murray*, the Court of Appeal decided that where no sworn Report has been sent in by the officer, the Superintendent cannot make a determination on the driving prohibition. In *Mitchell*, the court of Appeal said that the Superintendent can make a demand on the officer for a mandatory document which is what the Superintendent has done in this case. I do not find that this situation gives rise to a reasonable apprehension of bias.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

The November 1, 2013, Report contains a sworn statement by the officer that the contents of the Report are true. This document was submitted by the officer that issued the prohibition to you in response to the fax request from our office dated November 1, 2013. The fax requested that the officer submit a completed Report. In filling out the form, the officer has referred to IRP number s.22 DL number s.22 VI number s.22 Agency File Number s.22 and a "Report on August 19, 2013". The first four of these references are all to do with your driving prohibition issued on August 16, 2013, and the related vehicle impoundment. However, the notation about the "Report on August 19, 2013" is incorrect because the unsworn Report was originally submitted in support of your August 16, 2013, driving prohibition.

While the Report sworn on November 1, 2013, refers to a Report dated August 19, 2013, there is no such document before me. There is only an unsworn Report dated August 16, 2013. As such, I cannot consider the information in the August 16, 2013, document as sworn evidence and therefore I have not given it any weight. In addition, the Narrative Text Hardcopy (the "Narrative") that is attached to the unsworn Report dated August 16, 2013, was printed on August 17, 2013, a day after the original unsworn Report was prepared. As such, I find I cannot

give any weight to the Narrative. Ultimately, I find that I am not able to make all the necessary findings required to confirm the prohibition.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including August 29, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc Kyla Lee
by fax 604-685-8308



December 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 9, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your lawyer, Jeremy Carr, received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Mr. Carr submitted that the Superintendent's process is unfair because Officer Thomas (the "officer") did not "promptly" forward a sworn or solemnly affirmed Report to Superintendent (the "Report") pursuant to section 215.47(d) of the Act. He also referred to the recent case of *Murray v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* and asserted that the Superintendent mistakenly interpreted *Murray* to mean that a decision could not be rendered until the Report is received.

I acknowledge Mr. Carr's submission on this point; however, in *Murray*, the Court of Appeal decided that where no sworn Report has been sent in by the officer, the Superintendent cannot

make a determination on the driving prohibition. Since a sworn Report has now been received, I find that I can proceed with the review hearing and make a decision.

The process of adjourning a review hearing and making a follow-up request of a police officer is one that has been established and sanctioned by the Court of Appeal in *Mitchell v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*. In *Mitchell*, the Court of Appeal said that the Superintendent can make a demand on the officer for a mandatory document which is what the Superintendent has done in this case.

With respect to the issue of “promptly”, the courts have not made any judicial announcement on what that term means as it applies to the legislation that covers immediate driving prohibitions. In *Savage v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* 2006 BCCA, the Court of Appeal made some general comments on timing of extensions under section 94.6(4) of the Act. However, *Savage* provides little guidance for determining when “promptly” might be breached under section 215.47, which is the section of the Act that talks about the officer’s duties related to driving prohibitions. In other words, the current process is one that the Superintendent is legally authorized to follow until a court determines otherwise. As such, I do not have the jurisdiction to revoke a driving prohibition because a statutorily required document was not provided “promptly”.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

The November 1, 2013, Report contains a sworn statement by the officer that the contents of the Report are true. This document was submitted by the officer that issued the prohibition to you in response to the fax request from our office dated November 1, 2013. The fax requested that the officer submit a completed Report. In filling out the form, the officer has referred to IRP number s.22 DL number s.22 VI number s.22 Agency File Number s.22 and a “Report on August 19, 2013”. The first four of these references are all to do with your driving prohibition issued on August 16, 2013, and the related vehicle impoundment. However, the notation about the “Report on August 19, 2013” is incorrect because the unsworn Report was originally submitted in support of your August 16, 2013, driving prohibition.

While the Report sworn on November 1, 2013, refers to a Report dated August 19, 2013, there is no such document before me. There is only an unsworn Report dated August 16, 2013. As such, I cannot consider the information in the August 16, 2013, document as sworn evidence and therefore I have not given it any weight. In addition, the Narrative Text Hardcopy (the “Narrative”) that is attached to the unsworn Report dated August 16, 2013, was printed on August 17, 2013, a day after the original unsworn Report was prepared. As such, I find I cannot



give any weight to the Narrative. Ultimately, I find that I am not able to make all the necessary findings required to confirm the prohibition.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jeremy Carr

by fax 250-388-7327