

Not Responsive

From: Foster.MLA, Eric
Sent: December-03-13 10:08 AM
To: Karod, Suneil
Subject: More bull crap

Hello Suneil,

I hope all is well :0)

Eric asked if you could help answer this gentleman's specific questions please and thank you !

Have a super week :0)

M

Ms. Min Sidhu

Executive Assistant

Mr. Eric Foster

Government Caucus Whip

MLA Vernon Monashee

3209 31st Avenue

Vernon, BC, V1T 2H2

Tel: (250) 503-3600
Fax: (250) 503-3603



Confidentiality Warning: This message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, conversion to hard copy, copying, circulation or other use of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, and delete this message and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

From: s.22
Sent: December 2, 2013 6:28 PM
To: Foster.MLA, Eric
Subject: More bull crap

To Mr. Eric Foster, MLA

Eric, the "New Wills and Estates and Succession Act" was passed in the Legislature on Sept. 2, 2009, and comes into effect, March 31, 2014. I was reminded of this "Act" by an article in the Morning Star, on Nov. 29th. Now I think you were present at that time in that infamous building, during the passing of this "Act", so I would appreciate it if you could take a moment to answer a couple of questions I had then, and still do today. This "Act" caused me to change some of the things stated in my Will, in 2010 and s.22 and I will re-examine my Will, AGAIN, after reading of this article.

Would you please explain to me, if I have s.22 and I die first, s.22 would receive #300,000 bucks of that, then the Government would reduce and take an additional portion of what ever I HAVE LEFT OVER, rather then s.22 being able to get it all in its entirety????....is this an accurate statement?....or again, does this only apply, if NO WILL was previously prepared??

Second question: which I found upsetting....
"A surviving Spouse is NO LONGER ENTITLED to a "life interest" in the spousal home, but "does have an option to "BUY IT"??!!....BUY IT FROM WHOM??....that is if no will was made.....what is that all about??? Does this again, ONLY APPLY, if NO WILL was made??
A person should be able to leave a will written on a Stone, stored away safely, signed by everyone who expects to benefit in any way from said Will.....rather than having to pay a lot of money to some Lawyer, to draw one up.

Now I'd prefer Sir, s.22 I believe you would be the best person to ask, as you were involved, were you not??....in the passing of this Act. I'd appreciate your reply. I can be in your office any time you call, or reached of course by return Email, or by a simple telephone call.

Thank you.
Respectfully,
s.22

December 17, 2013

s.22
Email: s.22

Dear s.22

Thank you for your email of December 2, 2013, to Eric Foster, MLA for Vernon-Monashee. Mr. Foster has forwarded your inquiry to our office for reply. Our office does not provide legal advice to the public however, the following information may be helpful to you.

In your e-mail you advise that you have a will. However, an article in the Morning Star on November 29 has caused you concern about how the *Wills, Estates and Succession Act* may impact the distribution of your estate and your spouse's right to the family home.

I can assure you that the *Wills, Estates and Succession Act* only provides direction about how to distribute an estate if there is no will. If you have a will, then your will applies and provides direction about how to distribute your property.

The \$300,000 amount that you express concern about relates to how property is divided if a person does not have a will and is survived by a spouse and children. If there is no will, the legislation says that the spouse is entitled to \$300,000 and then the spouse and children split the rest of the money 50-50. The government would not take the amount over \$300,000.

The concerns about there no longer being a life estate for a spouse in the family home similarly arises only if there is no will and a person is survived by a spouse and children. The *Wills, Estates and Succession Act* replaces the life estate with a right to keep the family home as part of the spouse's share of the total amount of the estate.

For an explanation of the *Wills, Estates and Succession Act* and Probate Rules you can visit:
<http://www.ag.gov.bc.ca/legislation/wills-estates-succession-act/index.htm>.

Thank you for sharing your concerns. We appreciate your interest in this matter and trust this information will be of assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Sent via JSB Mailbox

Justice Services Branch
Ministry of Justice

Not Responsive

From: Dalton.MLA, Marc [<mailto:Marc.Dalton.MLA@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: December-04-13 4:06 PM
To: 22 Southern, Evan JAG:EX
Cc: Duyns, Mark LASS:EX
Subject: RE: As per our meeting Friday Nov 29th

Evan,

I requested 22 to see me a couple of emails regarding his concerns. If a response to his inquiry below could be provided by the Ministry, that would be appreciated.

Regards,

Marc

From: s.22
Sent: December 3, 2013 12:26 PM

To: Dalton.MLA, Marc

Subject: As per our meeting Friday Nov 29th

Marc,

Again thank you for taking the time to chat with me.

As per your request I am email you individual email per each topic.

The new Wills, Estate, and Succession Act coming in to effect March 31st 2014

All of the government material I can locate references and pertains to the current legislation, which is a collect of Acts. It simply states and the end of each page/section That the material is subject to change when the new legislation becomes effective on March 31st 2014. The material that is there is well explained, but there is the question of how much is subject to pending change.

The AG provides excellent material regarding Incapacity Planning.

Generally I have no issues researching material, any material that will ad in explaining the changes will be appreciated.

s.22

December 13, 2013

s.22

Email: s.22

Dear s.22

Thank you for your email of December 3, 2013, addressed to Mr. Marc Dalton, MLA for Maple Ridge-Mission. Mr. Dalton has forwarded your inquiry to our office for reply.

Information about the *Wills, Estates and Succession Act* and the new probate rules (Part 25 of the Supreme Court Civil Rules), which will come into force on March 31, 2014, can be found at

<http://www.ag.gov.bc.ca/legislation/wills-estates-succession-act/index.htm>.

We appreciate your interest in this matter and trust this information will be of assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Sent via JSB mailbox

Justice Services Branch
Ministry of Justice

pc: Mr. Marc Dalton, MLA

Not Responsive

From: Ives, Andrew JAG:EX
Sent: December-17-13 1:42 PM
To: Minister, JAG JAG:EX
Subject: FW: s.22

Hi Candice,

Could we please have a RUSH reply direct, for MO approval, to the below. Could we please address it to the MLAs office.

Thanks,
Andrew

From: Southwick, Celine A
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 11:41 AM
To: Pimm, Pat
Subject: s.22

Hi Pat;

Here are the questions from s.22 you asked for;

1. Would Pat be able to set up a meeting with the Attorney General to meet with s.22 ?

2. A lawyer that lies to the Law Society of BC while under investigation is considered conduct un-becoming? Is this the best interest of the public?
3. Offering the shares that are owned by s.22 to a buyer as shares owned by s.22 so the buyer can obtain financing is fraud or not fraud?
4. The decision by the Law Society that s.22 took instructions from someone (nothing in writing), to offer the shares of the shareholders, as shares owned by s.22 was closed by the Law Society by saying this, was a judgment call by s.22 ? Is this correct? If so, why is this correct?
5. If this happened to Me (s.22), How do we prevent this from happening to anyone else?

Celine Southwick

Constituency Assistant

Pat Pimm, M.L.A.

(Peace River North)

Fort St. John BC

(250) 263-0101

January 6, 2014

Pat Pimm, MLA
Peace River North
Email: pat.pimm.mla@leg.bc.ca

Dear Mr. Pimm:

Celine A. Southwick's email dated December 17, 2013 has been forwarded to me for response on behalf of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice.

I note your constituent s.22 's five questions, which I will address in the order they were raised in Ms. Southwick's email.

1. Would Pat be able to set up a meeting with the Attorney General to meet with s.22 ?

I am advised that the Attorney General and Minister of Justice is unable to meet with s.22 to discuss this matter. It is not within the mandate of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to become involved in private legal matters.

2. A lawyer that lies to the Law Society of BC while under investigation is considered conduct un-becoming? Is this the best interest of the public?

This response relates solely to the role of the Law Society of British Columbia under the *Legal Profession Act* and the role of the Attorney General in relation to the Law Society. Matters relating to the conduct of a lawyer are within the purview of the Law Society. Under the *Legal Profession Act*, the Law Society has jurisdiction to investigate complaints about lawyers. The Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not have jurisdiction to investigate complaints against lawyers, nor does she have the authority to intervene in the complaint review process established by the Law Society. Any concerns that s.22 may have with respect to the Law Society's administration of its statutory powers and responsibilities should be directed to the Law Society which operates independently of government.

From your office's previous communications with this ministry on behalf of s.22 it is my understanding that s.22 has previously contacted the Office of the Ombudsperson and has been dissatisfied with their response to his complaint about the Law Society. As an Officer of the Legislature, the Ombudsperson functions independently of government. As such, the Ombudsperson has the discretion to determine both whether to investigate a

complaint and how to investigate it. This ministry has no role in directing that discretion.

3. Offering the shares that are owned by s.22 to a buyer as shares owned by s.22 Ltd. so the byer can obtain financing is fraud or not fraud?

An answer to s.22 's question of whether or not a particular activity constitutes a criminal offence would involve providing legal advice to him. The role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice as a legal adviser involves providing legal advice to the provincial government – not to private individuals. Whenever an individual has reason to believe that someone has been involved in criminal activity, the proper course of action is for that individual to report his or her allegations to the police in the community where the alleged criminal activity occurred. If the police determine that an investigation is warranted, they will conduct one. They are also in the best position to determine whether a particular allegation involves a criminal matter or if the allegation is to be addressed through civil law.

4. The decision by the Law Society that s.22 took instructions from someone (nothing in writing), to offer the shares of the shareholders, as shares owned by s.22 was closed by the Law Society by saying this, was a judgment call by s.22 ? Is this correct? If so, why is this correct?

It would not be appropriate for the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to comment on a decision of the Law Society, for the reasons explained in my answer to question #2.

5. If this happened to Me (s.22), How do we prevent this from happening to anyone else?

If s.22 needs advice about his legal rights and options, he may wish to consult a lawyer in private practice. As mentioned in my answer to question #3, the role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. A number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society

of British Columbia
106 – 873 Beatty Street
Vancouver BC V6B 2M6
Telephone: 604-482-3195
Toll-free in BC: 1-877-762-6664
Facsimile: 604-893-8934
Email: help@accessprobono.ca
Website: www.accessprobono.ca

Clicklaw: www.clicklaw.bc.ca

The Law Centre
Suite 225 – 850 Burdett Avenue
Victoria BC V8W 0C7
Telephone: 250-385-1221
Facsimile: 250-385-1226
Email: reception@thelawcentre.ca
Website: <http://thelawcentre.ca>

UBC Law Students' Legal Advice Program
University of British Columbia
Faculty of Law – Room 158
1822 East Mall
Vancouver BC V6T 1Z1
Telephone: 604-822-5791
Facsimile: 604-822-1661

For those who are unable to find free legal advice, the following service can refer individuals to a lawyer in their area who will meet with them for up to 30 minutes for a fee of \$25 plus applicable taxes.

Lawyer Referral Service
Telephone: 604-687-3221
Toll-free in BC: 1-800-663-1919
Website:
www.cba.org/bc/Public_Media/main/lawyer_referral.aspx

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,
Original signed by:
Geoff S. Moyse, Q.C.
Acting Assistant Deputy Attorney General

pc: Celine Southwick

LSBCU/Drafter: Mittag/Approvers: Morgan, St. Clair (A/ADAG)/sr/ADAG 405764

Subject: FW: Supreme Court Plaintiff vomplain

From: Stone.MLA, Todd [mailto:Todd.Stone.MLA@leg.bc.ca]

Sent: December-18-13 11:58 AM

To: Minister, JAG JAG:EX

Subject: Supreme Court Plaintiff vomplain

2

Thank you for taking the time to write to Minister Stone.

Your letter pertains to your experience in the Supreme Court along with your opinion that the legal system should be changed. This refers more to the Minister of Justice and Attorney General and I have taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to their ministerial addresses at Minister JAG.Minister@gov.bc.ca. for you.

Regards,

Patricia Nagy,
Constituency Assistant

Todd Stone, MLA Kamloops – South Thompson
Minister of Transportation and Infrastructure

Email: Pat.Nagy@leg.bc.ca

Phone#: 250-374-2880 Fax #250-377-3448

Toll Free #: 1-888-299-0805

Website: toddstonemla.bc.ca

Hi Andrew,

Not sure what this Constit is looking for but I'm sending it to you to take a look at.

Thanks

Pat

From: s.22

Sent: December 17, 2013 10:17 PM

To: Stone.MLA, Todd; Kwan, Jenny (Office)

Subject: s.22 counsel for defendant should be punished what he told lies in Court and wrote lies in his affidavits.

Dear MLA : Hon. Todd Stone:

I'm complain about

s.22

counsel for defendant for

s.22

s.22

s.22 counsel for defendant used vulgar languages in Court and always told lies in Court and always wrote lies in his affidavit.

First, s.22 used "vulgar language" while in court around 5 pm on s.22
After instructions of Madam Justice FitzPatrick,
he told several slang in Vancouver Supreme Court room #45 while juries went to juries room.
For examples, he told s.22 and s.22

s.22

Also Asian male court clerk was that room.

Second, s.22 always told lies in Court.
One example is s.22 called me s.22 in front of madam Justice Fits Patrick in Vancouver
Supreme Court on s.22
s.22

Third, s.22 wrote a lot of lies in his affidavit for Court as usual.
One of examples is s.22
However, s.22
s.22

Because of his fraudulent advocate in Court and his fraudulent affidavit, s.22
Madam justice Fitzpatrick was always bias relying on s.22 's lies.
So she ordered I should s.22 s.22
s.22 delayed s.22 proceeding s.22 and he made a lot of money.

s.22

THIS LEGAL SYSTEM SHOULD BE CHANGED.
SOMEBODY SHOULD PUNISH s.22 BECAUSE HE TOLD LIES IN COURT AND WROTE
LIES IN HIS AFFIDAVITS.
AND HE DEGRADE COURT SYSTEM WITH VULGAR LANGUAGE.

I'll submit evidences of s.22 's lies in Court and his affidavit with full of lies upon your request.

cc: MLA Janny Kwan

Truly,

s.22

December 23, 2013

s.22

Email: s.22

Dear s.22

Your email dated December 17, 2013, addressed to Todd Stone, MLA for Kamloops-South Thompson, has been forwarded to this ministry for response. I am responding on behalf of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice.

I note your concerns regarding the conduct of a lawyer in s.22

s.22 I am advised that you have previously written to this ministry about this matter in 2010 and 2011. I refer you to former Acting Assistant Deputy Attorney General Nancy E. Brown's email of June 27, 2011, in which she confirmed that the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not have jurisdiction to investigate complaints about lawyers. As Ms. Brown explained, that responsibility falls to the Law Society of British Columbia. The Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not have the authority to intervene in the complaint review process established by the Law Society. Contact information for the Law Society was provided in both Ms. Brown's email and Executive Director Nancy Carter's letter of July 7, 2010.

If you have already contacted the Law Society and you are not satisfied with their response to your complaint, you may wish to bring your concerns to the attention of the Office of the Ombudsperson of British Columbia. Self-regulating professions such as the Law Society are subject to the scrutiny of the Ombudsperson. Before considering an investigation, the Ombudsperson generally requires that all avenues of complaint and appeal have first been exhausted. You should also be aware that the Ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings. The Office of the Ombudsperson can be reached at the following address:

Office of the Ombudsperson
2nd Floor – 947 Fort Street
PO Box 9039 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria BC V8W 9A5
Telephone: 250-387-5855
Toll-free: 1-800-567-3247

Information about the role of the Ombudsperson is also available at the following website: www.ombudsman.bc.ca.

I also note your specific allegations that s.22 told lies in court and wrote lies in his affidavit. Whenever an individual has reason to believe that someone has been involved in criminal activity, the proper course of action is for that individual to report his or her allegations to the police in the community where the alleged criminal activity occurred. If the police determine that an investigation is warranted, they will conduct one. They are also in the best position to determine whether a particular allegation involves a criminal matter or if the allegation, if pursued, would have to be addressed through civil law.

It is not generally appropriate for the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to comment on any specific court case or judicial decision. Under our system of law, it is crucial that judges make their decisions independently of all influence and interference, including interference from government. Therefore, individual judicial decisions are not subject to review by any level of government. Parties to litigation who are dissatisfied with a judicial ruling have the option of appealing that ruling within certain time limitations.

You express the view that the Honourable Madam Justice Fitzpatrick was biased and relied on s.22 's lies. Anyone who has a complaint about the conduct of a judge in the Supreme Court of British Columbia or the Court of Appeal for British Columbia (as opposed to the correctness of his or her decision), may bring a complaint before the Canadian Judicial Council. Pursuant to the federal *Judges Act*, R.S.C. 1985, the council is the only body that can recommend that disciplinary action should be taken against a judge. A complaint can be forwarded to the Canadian Judicial Council at the following address:

Canadian Judicial Council
150 Metcalfe Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0W8
Telephone: 613-288-1566
Facsimile: 613-288-1575
Email: info@cjc-ccm.gc.ca

More information about the complaint review process is available at the following website:

www.cjc-ccm.gc.ca/english/conduct_en.asp?selMenu=conduct_complaint_en.asp

If you need advice about your legal rights and options, you may wish to consult a lawyer in private practice. The role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. In your email, you refer to your counsel at the time of the trial,

s.22 If you do not currently have a lawyer or you would like to consult a different lawyer, contact information for free legal resources and the Lawyer Referral Service was provided in Ms. Brown's email.

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Geoffrey S. Moyse, Q.C.
Acting Assistant Deputy Attorney General

pc: Todd Stone, MLA

LSBCU/Drafter: Mittag/Approvers: Bell, Moyse (A/ADAG)/sr/ADAG 405779

Constituency Office:
409 - 545 Clyde Avenue
West Vancouver, B.C.
V7T 1C5
Phone: 604 981-0050
Fax: 604 981-0055
email: ralph.sultan.mla@leg.bc.ca



RALPH SULTAN, M.L.A.
Member of the Legislative Assembly
West Vancouver-Capilano

Legislative Office:
Parliament Buildings
Victoria, B.C.
V8V 1X4
Phone: 250 356-9495
Fax: 250 356-5471
website: www.ralphsultan.com

December 4, 2013

Honourable Suzanne Anton
Minister of Justice and Attorney General
Parliament Buildings
Victoria, BC
V8V 1X4

Dear Minister Anton:

Suzanne

s.22

It is my understanding that the BC Civil Forfeiture continues to pursue their claim on

s.22

.22

s.22 They have expressed concerns regarding the
invasive manner in which the Forfeiture office appears to be proceeding against an innocent citizen.

I would appreciate your interpretation of the claim on s.22 so I can reassure my
constituents the Province of British Columbia continues to act in a fair and just manner when dealing
with all citizens.

Yours truly,

Ralph

Ralph Sultan, MLA
West Vancouver-Capilano

cc: Honourable Naomi Yamamoto

MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND SOLICITOR GENERAL	
DEC 10 2013	
498 280	
OTHER	REPLY DIRECT <input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DRAFT REPLY	INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	