

January 3, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

A peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative, Greg Diamond. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I do not find that there is sufficient evidence to indicate that you were a driver at a relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41 of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 29, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 20, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Sacha Roudette. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 27, 2014

s.22

RE Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 4, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood ("80 mg%");
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Taylor and your lawyer’s submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered all of the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am not satisfied on a balance of probabilities that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, as set out in Constable Taylor’s evidence.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

January 3, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Mr. Dilraj Gosal. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were the ASDs reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD results obtained from samples of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 3, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Dilraj Gosal
fax: 604-510-5195

January 8, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 22, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used to conduct your breath test was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Further, the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

January 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22**Introduction**

On August 9, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your lawyer, Jeremy Carr, received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Mr. Carr submitted that the Superintendent's process is unfair because Officer Thomas (the "officer") did not "promptly" forward a sworn or solemnly affirmed Report to Superintendent (the "Report") pursuant to section 215.47(d) of the Act. He also referred to the recent case of *Murray v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* and asserted that the Superintendent mistakenly interpreted *Murray* to mean that a decision could not be rendered until the Report is received.

I acknowledge Mr. Carr's submission on this point; however, in *Murray*, the Court of Appeal decided that where no sworn Report has been sent in by the officer, the Superintendent cannot

make a determination on the driving prohibition. Since a sworn Report has now been received, I find that I can proceed with the review hearing and make a decision.

The process of adjourning a review hearing and making a follow-up request of a police officer is one that has been established and sanctioned by the Court of Appeal in *Mitchell v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*. In *Mitchell*, the Court of Appeal said that the Superintendent can make a demand on the officer for a mandatory document which is what the Superintendent has done in this case.

With respect to the issue of “promptly”, the courts have not made any judicial announcement on what that term means as it applies to the legislation that covers immediate driving prohibitions. In *Savage v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* 2006 BCCA, the Court of Appeal made some general comments on timing of extensions under section 94.6(4) of the Act. However, *Savage* provides little guidance for determining when “promptly” might be breached under section 215.47, which is the section of the Act that talks about the officer’s duties related to driving prohibitions. In other words, the current process is one that the Superintendent is legally authorized to follow until a court determines otherwise. As such, I do not have the jurisdiction to revoke a driving prohibition because a statutorily required document was not provided “promptly”.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

The original Report on August 9, 2013, was missing the signature of the Commissioner. It did contain the date of August 9, 2013, in the jurat above the line provided for the Commissioner’s signature, and it was signed by the officer.

This office faxed the RCMP on October 31, 2013, and requested that the jurat be rectified. In response, the RCMP sent back a Report that appears to be the same document originally submitted, with the difference being that the Commissioner’s signature was now filled in. This document appears to be the required sworn Report; however, I am not satisfied on a balance of probabilities that it is properly sworn because there is no indication that the Report was sworn in the presence of the Commissioner.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release, being August 29, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jeremy Carr
by fax 250-388-7327

January 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 17, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Glen Ewan, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After considering all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41 of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release, being January 6, 2014. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC Glen Ewan, Q.C.
By fax 1-250-344-7374

January 22, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that your vehicle was available for release on December 10, 2013. If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. Because your prohibition has been cancelled, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs that you have incurred up to the date that it was eligible for release. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be attached.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC Julian van der Walle
By fax 250-503-0249

January 16, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to establish that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 22, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand ?

As it is determinative of this review, I make my finding only on this issue.

I am not satisfied, based on the evidence you present, that you refused to comply with a demand for a sample of your breath at roadside.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 13, 2014, the date the vehicle is eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Michael Mulligan
Mulligan Tam Pearson
fax: 250-480-0004

January 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 16, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a number of grounds that are not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

January 2, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 12, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver at a relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

January 9, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Ms. Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
Acumen Law Corporation
604-685-8308

January 6, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 15, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the first ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

January 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 3, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Julian van der Walle. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to establish that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On September 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 20, 2013, when your vehicle was eligible for release. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

January 7, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 15, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Was the ASD reliable?

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the first ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: Jason Tarnow by fax 604 278 0525

January 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 19, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Ms. Cathryn Waker. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 31, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Cathryn Waker
fax: 604-637-1617

January 29, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 14, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jennifer L. Currie. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Jennifer L. Currie (by fax)
604-590-5626

January 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 4, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I will consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Sarah Leamon. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that the ASD used in your analysis was properly calibrated.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Sarah Leamon
fax: 604 370-2505

January 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 25, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Sylvia Andrews. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue before me that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs for the three day impoundment. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC Sylvia Andrews
By fax 604-244-0617

January 2, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Brian Jackson, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 9, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 24, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Ms. Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Sukhbinder S. Nunrha
Nordel Law Group
604-594-8280

January 29, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 13, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Baldev S. Sandhu, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

I am not satisfied that the peace officer made a valid ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 13, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On December 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

When you applied for this review, you checked five grounds listed on the application form; however, not all of these grounds are applicable to your situation, because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your case.

At the beginning of the hearing you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable McGhee and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand, and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

After carefully weighing your evidence and that of Constable McGhee, I am satisfied on a balance of probabilities that the peace officer did not make a valid demand. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

January 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 29, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand ?

As it is determinative of this review, I make my finding only on this issue.

I am not satisfied, based on the evidence you present, that the officer made a valid demand for a sample of your breath at the time indicated in the 'Report to Superintendent Supplemental to File.'

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition and monetary penalty, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including January 3, 2014. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Brian Mickelson
Mickelson & Company Law Corporation
fax: 250-837-1617

January 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that ASD serial number 101455 was properly calibrated at the time of your analysis.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 8, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 18, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were the ASDs reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASDs used in your prohibition were properly calibrated.

I am satisfied that the ASDs were not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 22, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

January 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 7, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative(s), Russ Chamberlain/Sylvia Andrews. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Sylvia Andrews
fax: 604 244-0617

January 30, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 19, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah E. Leamon, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 30, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Zachary Ng. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that the ASDs used to perform your breath tests were properly calibrated at the time of the analyses.

I am satisfied that the ASDs were not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Zachary Ng (by fax)
604-608-3826

January 23, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On January 4, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Mr. Kevin Filkow. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were the ASDs reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD results obtained from samples of your breath were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 23, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Kevin Filkow
fax: 604-370-2505

January 8, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No

s.22

Introduction

On December 24, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Robert Gunnell, confirmed that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to him. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. I acknowledge receipt of your Statutory Declaration and the expert opinion of Wayne Jeffery.

Issues

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

^{s.15}
Adjudicator

cc: Robert Gunnell
By Fax 604 463-6889

January 7, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 22, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer Kyla Lee confirmed that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to her. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. I acknowledge receipt of Ms. Lee's letter dated January 6, 2014.

Issues

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the first ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604 685-8308

January 29, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 15, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy G. Carr. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did the officer make a valid ASD demand?

After considering all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the officer made a valid ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release, being January 6, 2014. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC Jeremy G. Carr via fax

January 23, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 24, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter.

January 30, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 10, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Colby Johnson. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD registered a "FAIL" as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative, Sarah Leamon. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that I have compelling evidence to indicate that you were advised of your right to request a second analysis.

I am satisfied that you were not advised of your right to a second breath test analysis.

Having made this finding I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that you received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?
- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the ASD “FAIL” a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were the ASDs reliable?

In reviewing the evidence, I find that Officer Churchill has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that the ASD with serial number 055984 was reliable on December 20, 2013.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

Based on the evidence, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 10, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 16, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of your oral hearing your lawyer, Stephen Price, confirmed that he received full disclosure.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Stephen Price
604-530-6282

January 30, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 12, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD used for your second analysis was functioning correctly.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

January 7, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

You applied on three review grounds, one of which is not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed all of the evidence before me, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing all of the evidence before me, I find that I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jeremy Carr
Fax: (250) 388-7327

January 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 4, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Maral Fadaeian. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Riley and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After considering all the facts in this particular set of circumstances, I am that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, as set out in the officer's evidence. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc. Maral Fadaeian
604-596-8813 (fax)

January 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 29, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all information provided to me. Please note that I will only be addressing submissions and evidence that are relevant to the issues in this review.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your legal counsel, Kyla Lee, received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Ms. Lee has provided a copy of the court decision, *Spencer v. the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles* [2011] BCSC 1311, and asserts that:

- It is not sufficient to state that the evidence of the officer is more credible due to the fact that it was prepared on the day of the incident, or reliable by virtue of the fact that it was completed by a police officer.
- If the tribunal starts from the proposition that the police have no reason to be careless and inaccurate or untruthful, it will be impossible to discourage such behaviour if and when it occurs.
- It is integral to the allocation of the burden of proof and to the integrity of the adjudicative process to allow an individual to know and address the case against him or her.
- In order to avoid the appearance of an assumed credibility advantage, it is necessary to ask the neutral question of whether the police conduct was justified.
- A tribunal which is handicapped by the fact that there is no duty on the officer to provide relevant material may not be able to decide the case before it.
- In order for a decision to be justified, transparent, and intelligible, it must be plausibly determinable on the weight of the evidence, not just on the existence of some evidence.

Ms. Lee has also provided a copy of the court decision *Scott v. the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles* [2013] BCSC 676 in which the adjudicator's assessment of the credibility of the evidence was in issue. The court found that the approach to the evidence cannot be skewed in favour of the officer's report. Rather, in assessing the reliability of the evidence, it must be assessed for its internal consistency, its consistency with other evidence found to be reliable and its consistency with common sense and ordinary human experience.

I concur with these decisions and have proceeded with the review on this basis.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN"?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?
- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the ASD "WARN" a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

In her evidence, Officer Williams indicates that on December 29, 2013, she observed a vehicle parked roadside on s.22 in Abbotsford. When Officer Williams returned to the same location an hour later, the vehicle was still parked with the engine running. After approaching the vehicle, Officer Williams observed you as the sole occupant of the vehicle. You were identified from your BC driver's licence. Officer Williams indicates the time of driving/care or control was 0820 hours.

In your affirmed affidavit you contend that you were sleeping in your vehicle and had no intention to drive.

Ms. Lee submits that Officer Williams has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that you were in care or control of a motor vehicle at the time in question.

I concur.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Because you have already paid for the release of your vehicle, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including January 6, 2014. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Kyla Lee via fax

January 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 13, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

Adjudicator s.15

January 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a number of grounds that are not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Records at this office indicate that disclosure was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
604-685-8308

January 29, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 26, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs for the three day impoundment. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC Edmund G. Dorchester
By fax 604-541-6123

January 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Ms. Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were the ASDs reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD result obtained from a sample of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 17, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Kyla Lee
Acumen Law Corporation
fax: 604-685-8308

January 30, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 11, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all information provided to me. Please note that I will only be addressing submissions and evidence that are relevant to the issues in this review.

Preliminary Matters

At the start of the hearing, your lawyer, Jeffrey Arndt, confirmed that he had received a copy of all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN"?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?
- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the ASD "WARN" a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?

Facts, Evidence and AnalysisWere you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Mr. Arndt submits that Officer Gross has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, on January 11, 2014 at 2043 hours.

I concur.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If she has not already done so, the owner may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 30p, 2014. The owner is responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. The owner should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Jeffrey Arndt via fax

January 20, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including **December 24, 2013**. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kurt Froehlich
Boyle & Co. Lawyers
Fax: 250-492-4877

January 29, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Andersen and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 23, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc. Kyla Lee
604-685-8308 (fax)

January 9, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 3, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASDs used to conduct your breath tests were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

January 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 29, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the second analysis provided by the officer?

The evidence before me indicates that you were not afforded the opportunity to provide a second sample of breath.

I am satisfied that the second analysis was not provided by the officer.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

January 30, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 12, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Jensen. On January 23, 2014, I received a 67 page submission from Jeremy Knight, indicating that he would be your counsel for the purposes of this review. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used to conduct the second analysis was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

January 9, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and/or your representative, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that ASD serial number 101884 was properly calibrated at the time of your analysis.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further evidence in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

January 20, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 5, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me. I consider only the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served. Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you and your representative, Mr. Nathaniel Hartney. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were the ASDs reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD results obtained from samples of your breath was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Nathaniel Hartney
fax: 604-331-6898

December 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On December 05, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on the ground that “I was not in care or control of the motor vehicle”; however, for your benefit I will be conducting my review based on all grounds that may apply to you.

In support of your case you have submitted, by facsimile, 46 pages on December 23, 2013 I have taken your submission into account in light of the issues that I must determine in this review and are outlined in detail below.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

In the Report to Superintendent (the “Report”), the investigating officer reported that you were driving or in care or control of a motor vehicle at 04:22 hours on December 08, 2013.

I have weighed the evidence before me and find there to be insufficient evidence before me that demonstrates that you were in care and control of the motor vehicle at the time of the incident. I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s license from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s License, you must make an appointment to reapply for that license.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including [the date of this letter/ the date your vehicle was eligible for release]. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information

concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 23, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

January 8, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 18, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

In your application for review, you only checked the box next to “I was not driving or in care or control of the motor vehicle”. For your benefit, I have considered all of the grounds available to you in this review that relate to the reason for which you were prohibited.

Records at this office confirm that your lawyer, Kyla Lee, received full disclosure of the documents before me prior to your scheduled hearing. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the Constable Tretiak’s evidence and your lawyer’s submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on all the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD used to administer your second breath test analysis was reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including January 8, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604 685-8308

January 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 12, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on all grounds; however not all grounds are relevant to your situation. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds that apply in your case.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Sacha Roudette. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD registered a "FAIL" as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Sacha Roudette
Fax: 250-388-7327