K9 Working Group Meeting #3—September 10, 2013—Minutes

Atten	dees	:	s.16	•					
Divisio	Gabi Hoffmann (Police Services Division), Lorinda Stoneman (Police Services Division)								
Regre	Regrets: s.16								
1. Introduction – discussion of process for developing Provincial Policing Standards									
	 BC Provincial Policing Standards for police dogs – discussed requirements for certificate review and amendments of first draft 								
	a.	Certifica	ation						
		i. C	Group agrees on section 1 (i.e. s.13 s.13 according to appendix A, written records).						
	b.	Training							
		i.	s.13						
	c.	Post Bite	e						
		i.							
		ii.	s.13	•					
3. A ₁	ppen	dix A							
	a.	Agility							
		i. <i>F</i>	Agreement with draft						
	b.	Basic Obedience							
		i.							
		ii.	s.13						
	C.	Criminal	Apprehension						
		i.							
		ii.							
		iii.	s.13						
		iv.							
	d.	Tracking							
		i. A	Agreement with requirements						
,	e.	Evidence	e Search						
		1.	THE STATE OF THE S						
			s.13						
	f.	Buildina	and Area Search						

4. Future work

- a. Gabi Hoffmann will revise draft standards according to working group discussion, and email document to attendees; attendees asked to provide feedback on document via email or phone.
- b. Email will be sent to determine future meeting date(s).

 $^{2}=\sqrt{\pi_{2}+g_{2}}^{2}=\pi J^{2}$

c. Upcoming meeting(s) to discuss deployment guidelines and data collection.

K9 Working Group Meeting #4—November 28, 2013—Minutes

			and the second of the second o	•			
Attendees:			s.16				
				Gabi Hoffmann (PSD), Lorinda			
Stoneman (PSD)							
Regrets:			s.16	. ,			
1.		Introduction					
2.	leeting Update						
	a.	relations, Police	ACOPPS: BCAMCP reps, E-Division Academy, RCMP PRTC, BC Civil Li nion of BC Indian Chiefs, police boa	berties, Union of BC			
	b.		Police Act gives the Director of Pol the standards apply to all police in I				
	C.		of the process of creating standards reated through specialized topical w				
3.	Deplo	yment Guideline	S				
	a.	Some considera	tions for deployment guidelines disc	ussed:			
		Î.					
		ii.	s.13				
		iii.	5.10				
		iv.					
 A question was raised as to whether the working group should abstain from drawing standards prior to knowing what ACOPPS' position is. 							
		Answer: ACOPPS will not engage in the development and drafting process, ins the committee is a higher level review. to advise re draft standards as they have created through the working group and consultation processes.					
	C.	c. A question was raised as to whether PSD/government has a set position on what the standards/threshold for using dogs should/will be.					
		informed position	using the working group and consult n. PSD does not have a set position nsider both the perspectives of polic	at this point on specifics. PSD's			
d. Participants discussed the importance of the following factors will decision to deploy a police K9 for a "straight-take":				g factors with respect to the			
		*					
		ii.	s.13	•			
		iii.					

		iv.	s.13				
	e.	lt was	suggested that the standards may include:				
		' i.					
		ii.	s.13				
	f.	Other	ssues/themes to consider:				
		i.					
		ii.					
		iii.					
		. iv.					
			s.13				
		٧.					
		vi.					
		vii.					
		viii.					
÷	g.	Discussion around possibility of approaching standard re when to deploy with respect to offences types:					
		i.					
			s.13				
	h.	ii.	40				
4.		a Form	s.13 ard				
4.		Moving Forward					
		PSD will draft an initial set of standards and will consult with other stakeholders. This draft will be circulated to working group members and discussed at the next meeting.					
5.	Statis	tistics/data					
	a. discussion of importance of consistent and accurate data for accountability and context setting for dog use						
	b.	Statist	cs could include:				
		•					
		•	s.13				
		•					
6.	Future	• meeti	na ·				
	a.		January or beginning of February. Members will be contacted via email to set				

the date.

K9 Working Group Meeting #5—January 30, 2014—Minutes

Attendees:	s.16				
	Gabi Hoffmann (PSD), Lorinda				
Stoneman (PSD)					
Regrets:	s.16				
1. Introduction					
2. Pivot report					
	ort on K9s. The report contains statistics, case nmendations for policy on training, deployment				
 PSD read the report and provided some verbal feedback to Pivot. PSD also provided a verbal update to Pivot on the progress of the working group. 					
3. K9s in USA jurisdictions					
a. Discussion around the policies on K9s in	n operation on some other jurisdictions.				
4. Deployment Guidelines					
a. Some issues were raised:					
i.					
ii.					
iii.	s.13				
iv.					
b. Other minor deletions/revisions throughout.					
5. Data Collection Requirements					

a. Minor revisions.

6. Certification Requirements

a. Minor revisions throughout.

b.

s.13

Ç.

7. Next Steps

- a. PSD will revise draft standards and re-circulate them to working group members. PSD will also be consulting with other / non-police stakeholders.
- b. The revised draft will be circulated to the Advisory Committee on Provincial Policing Standards (ACOPPS) members for discussion at their next meeting (expected in late March 2014)
- c. The standards will also undergo a legal review.
- d. Subsequent version will ultimately be sent to all Chiefs of Police.

8. Closing

It is expected that this will be the last face to face meeting of the K9 working group. The working group was thanked for their contributions to the important process of drafting standards.

The meeting closed at 3:00pm