

Text Attachment: Log ID 215711

215711 s.22 incoming

Not Responsive

From: Tan, Tanya [mailto:Tanya.Tan@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: February-04-14 3:02 PM
To: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
Cc: Shih, Yulin LASS:EX
Subject: Constituency Concern

Hi Brandon,

Happy Chinese New Year.

We got a case about a teenager attempting suicide s.22 He was severely injured s.22
s.22

His mother called us today. So we do not have a signed consent form from her.

Her name is s.22 Her son's name is s.22 Address is s.22 Home number
is s.22

s.22 said his son s.22 was bullied and was depressed at his school, s.22
s.22 and they transfer s.22 without agreement of the parents.

s.22 complained that until now no one really went to her and explained who should be the one to take the responsibility
of his son's being bullied. She feels the school did not care at all.

In addition, s.22 Hospital refused to take his son back to post treatment, s.22

s.22

They do have a social worker with them. s.22 only knows his first name, s.22 (Phone number is s.22

She wonders if it is possible for his son to get the treatments he needs ASAP. And would you advise if there is any other assistance the ministry provides to a family that has this kind of experience.

Thank you.

Best regards,

Tanya Tan
Constituency Assistant to
Hon. Suzanne Anton, QC
MLA, Vancouver-Fraserview
Office: (604) 660-2035
Mobile: (778) 873-9409
Fax: (604) 660-2368

Text Attachment: Log ID 215852

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

From: Devnich, Desmond M [mailto:Desmond.Devnich@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 3:46 PM
To: Bates, Christina MCF:EX
Cc: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
Subject: MCFD briefing on s.22

Hi Christina,

Would it be possible to request a briefing for John from MCFD regarding a particularly complex constituent case? We have met on two occasions with s.22 and have a number of questions and concerns. Please let me know what information is required ahead of time. John will bring all relevant documents and records that we have been provided. Thank you.

All the best,

Desmond Devnich
Constituency Assistant to
John Martin, MLA for Chilliwack
Ph: 604.702.5214 | F: 604.702.5223
www.johnmartinmla.ca

Not Responsive

From: Fedyshen, Carly [<mailto:Carly.Fedyshen@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 05:41 PM
To: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
Subject: email about family/adoption

Yes!
Here it is.
Thank you so much for checking back!!!
Carly

From: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX [<mailto:Brandon.Reddy@gov.bc.ca>]
Sent: January 31, 2014 3:37 PM
To: Fedyshen, Carly
Subject: RE: New submission from Feedback form

Hey Carly.

Did we end up getting a consent for this?

From: Fedyshen, Carly [<mailto:Carly.Fedyshen@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: January-24-14 9:54 AM
To: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
Cc: Duyns, Mark LASS:EX
Subject: FW: New submission from Feedback form

Hi Brandon,

We received this email in Marc's account.
How should we proceed?

Thank you,

Carly Fedyshen
Constituency Assistant to Marc Dalton MLA
102-23015 Dewdney Trunk Road

Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 3K9
Phone: 604-476-4530

From: s.22
Sent: January 17, 2014 11:45 AM
To: Dalton.MLA, Marc
Subject: New submission from Feedback form

Name:

s.22

Email:

s.22

Postal Code

s.22

Message:

hello, I would like to know if you could help me with an issue I am having with the ministry of children and family services s.22
s.22 mv son was taken away from me at birth for reasons. what it comes down to is that s.22
s.22 and in constant contact with the ministry of s.22 where my son was taken to ,
to live with s.22 he was adopted some time this year, without any consent or knowledge of me or my the father.
we are actually in the process for the last s.22 going through courts and lawyers trying to get him back. but we
had just found out s.22 that he had been adopted. I had assumed since there was an ongoing
case in court an since the ministry new we were trying to gain full custody and in constant contact with us they had
to let us know in some way that they were even thinking of adoption. let alone already done the whole process. so I
had asked my lawyer why we were not informed. he received a letter from the ministry s.22 stating that
they had no knowledge of our whereabouts s.22 and went through with adoption,. well I have letters
to prove that they knew where we were and had contact and knew how to contact us.
my question now is how do I go about getting my son back and prove that they had committed some sort of fraud.
and I I have a lawyer still and am not worried about how much it is going to cost I have s.22 to
get this far. please help me.
send me in the right direction, my lawyer does say that he can take it to court and try to prove their was fraud going
on. but he says that is very hard to do with the ministry.
I need to know if you can investigate this issue to see if this adoption was done legally. please and thank you. I
would greatly appreciate this from the bottom of my heart.
sincerely yours.
s.22

Not Responsive

From: Quigley, Janta [<mailto:Janta.Quigley@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: February-13-14 4:02 PM
To: Bates, Christina MCF:EX; Lalari, Terry MCF:EX
Subject: FW: Okanagan Nation Alliance funding cuts to Indigenous Approaches Project

Hi Christina/ Terry,

In Brandon's absence can you please help me with the request below?

Thanks very much!

Janta

From: Quigley, Janta
Sent: February-13-14 4:00 PM
To: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
Subject: Okanagan Nation Alliance funding cuts to Indigenous Approaches Project

Hi Brandon,

Norm is looking for some information about the funding cuts to the Indigenous Approaches Project implemented by MCFD, as per the attached letter.

Can you provide any speaking points in advance of a meeting with the Okanagan National Alliance at the beginning of March?

Thank you!

Janta

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

From: Tegart.MLA, Jackie [<mailto:Jackie.Tegart.MLA@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: Monday, February 17, 2014 01:54 PM
To: Sem, Edward SDSI:EX; Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
Subject: FW: Desperate constituent

Hello Ed (and Brandon)

I am forwarding this to the two of you. This is an 'emergency' situation. The constituent will have to leave her hotel room at 3pm today. She has moved herself, pets and several boxes to the hotel and needs to be able to arrange transportation to Langley.

Can either of your Ministries provide help?

Thanks so much,

Shirlee

From: s.22
Sent: February 17, 2014 12:53 PM
To: Tegart.MLA, Jackie
Subject: Desperate constituent

Hi Jackie,

I had a call from a woman who is desperate about the situation below. She is in a pickle, and needing help getting to a shelter in Langley that will accept her s.22 .
She left a potentially violent situation s.22 and was allowed to spend the weekend in s.22 motel in s.22 – until today. She is now supposed to move to a transitional home – but no one s.22 for that matter – will take her pets.
s.22
s.22

She has no vehicle, but a Langley shelter would take her if she can get there. Not sure if you have any ideas – but if you can help her name is s.22 (she is registered under s.22 . If you need to find out more the phone she left is: s.22

That's the gist. Good Luck.

s.22

s.22 woman is in desperate need of a ride to a Langley shelter today -- or she'll be out in the street.

s.22 was granted emergency shelter at a motel by Social Services over the weekend to keep her safe from a violent domestic situation.

But time is up, and she needs to move to a transition home.

However --

s.22

But the only transition home that

will take her pet is in Langley -- and she has no way of getting there.

s.22

If you can help s.22 get to Langley -- contact our newsroom and we'll put you in touch with her. s.22

s.22 adds there needs to be more options for people whose pets are a comfort to them in these situations -- not to mention, s.22

Text Attachment: Log ID 215965

Incoming email

From: Johnson, Shirlee [mailto:Shirlee.Johnson@leg.bc.ca]
 Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2014 04:28 PM
 To: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX; Sem, Edward SDSI:EX
 Subject: FW: Constituent Question

Hello to both of you,

Thanks so much for responding so quickly earlier. I did get back to both the constituent and her advocate and wish to revise the information. I have received verbal consent to inquire on her behalf.

Background:

Constituent: s.22
 Age s.22
 Receives income from CPP in the amount of s.22
 Caregiver for s.22 children – s.22 – since death of her daughter their mother
 s.22

Applied for Social Assistance s.22 Did receive emergency funding but then deemed not eligible
 Applied for support through MCFD and was offered grief counselling.
 Her doctor recommended that she receive a disability allowance – her understanding is that she needs to receive Social Assistance for 1 year first – but she was turned down.

I spoke with s.22 in s.22 (as recommended) but apparently s.22 is served by s.22 – s.22
 recommended applying for S/A.

Her advocate has told me that when she tried to apply...they were told they had to 'go home and apply on line'. They weren't able to speak with a 'real' person.

I also referred her to the s.22 (wellness)...for possible support.

I sure would like some help in knowing where else to go to find some support for her.

Thanks again,

Shirlee

From: Johnson, Shirlee
 Sent: January 29, 2014 12:17 PM
 To: Reddy, Brandon CFD:EX
 Subject: Constituent Question

Hello Brandon,

At this point this is a general inquiry since I don't have a consent form. I would appreciate having some background information to be able to provide to a constituent.

Background:

A senior who is raising s.22 grandchildren since the death of their mother
 Very little income. s.22 ...(not sure if she receives oas?)
 s.22 as well.

Is there any financial support that the province provides to a senior who is left with children to support?
 She claims she is not eligible for Social Assistance because s.22 (is this correct?)

I appreciate your help in trying to find any programs that are available to assist this family.

Many thanks,

Shirlee Johnson

Assistant to
Lori Pilon, Constituency Assistant to
Jackie Tegart, MLA Fraser-Nicola
Box 279, Ashcroft, BC, V0K 1A0
Office (250) 453-9726
Fax (250) 453-9765

Text Attachment: Log ID 215932**Incoming email**

I can't begin to tell you how sickened I was to read Daphne Bramham's article in today's Vancouver Sun: Cries for help from a homeless straight-A student.

This evening I visited the Government of BC website and I was drawn to this statement, "Residents come first in B.C. This is reflected in the wide array of information and services available to residents. In fact, our province celebrates the diversity of its citizens and works hard to meet everyone's needs and help make life a little easier."

Today, after reading Ms. Bramham's article, I know for a fact that the statement simply is not true. Residents do not come first. Bureaucracy comes first. Rules and regulations come first. And the problem is not "just" one straight-A-student (although that would be reason enough to cry out), it is dozens and dozens (possibly hundreds) of children and youth who are being failed by a system that is not listening and that does not have adequate resources to make wise decisions.

You simply must act on this. We must not fail this child or any child. If we want to celebrate all that is good about British Columbia, we must examine where we are failing and take immediate steps to find solutions - especially for those who are disadvantaged and/or marginalized.

Paige Robertson
Constituency Assistant to
John Yap, MLA
Richmond-Steveston
Phone: 604-241-8452
Fax: 604-241-8493
Twitter: @john_yap
Facebook: johnyapsteveston

Text Attachment: Log ID 216063

Incoming email

From: Fedysheh, Carly [mailto:Carly.Fedysheh@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: February-26-14 5:57 PM
To: Lalari, Terry MCF:EX
Subject: Autism Funding

Hi Terry,

I'm sorry I meant to call you before the end of the day to give you a heads up about this case.

I had the mother, s.22 in my office for hours explaining the situation. There are many layers, but what I am trying to get her help for right now is that her son was only diagnosed with autism a day before his 5th birthday. That means she was given a large sum of money to work with to try to do some early prevention, but that all ends s.22 when he turns 6 years old. She has tried very hard to keep costs down, wanting to use the funds wisely. There has already been a drastic improvement though the therapies, he has gone from s.22

child. s.22 The professionals who know him are amazed it is the same

In the allotted money she is allowed to use 20% for equipment for her son. She has only requested things that the therapists have asked for. Everything she has requested has been denied. On top of that the things being denied are being allowed when other families request them. The therapists are a bit confused by the denials and when she has asked for explanations she is told "read the parents handbook" and given no further information. The parents handbook does not go into these details. She was told she can get him a tablet, but cannot have a docking station. The therapist says he needs the docking station to use the tablet because it will be the way he communicates and the docking station keeps the tablet upright and also charged. She was also told she cannot purchase a warrantee or the parental protection that was recommended. She wants to know why. She chose a cheaper tablet thinking she was stretching his money and all these accessories are less than a ipad, which most the autistic children have. The one denial she is very frustrated about is a machine that is meant to help him with muscular controls. The therapist believes s.22 There is a similar more expensive unit that does less and is more of a toy and will not be usable past a certain height and weight and many other parents have had that accepted. She does not understand why, when the professional requests it, and must keep the government informed on how it is being used and how it is beneficial, that she keeps getting denied. She has the ability to appeal, but that takes a month. If she does not get the tablet and the equipment soon she will no longer have the funding to accesses to have the therapist work with these tools and make them useful.

Is there anything that can be done to help her?

Thank you!

Carly Fedysheh
Constituency Assistant to Marc Dalton MLA
102-23015 Dewdney Trunk Road
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 3K9
Phone: 604-476-4530

Text Attachment: Log ID 216073

Incoming email

From: Thornthwaite.MLA, Jane [mailto:Jane.Thornthwaite.MLA@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: February-27-14 12:10 PM
To: Lalari, Terry MCF:EX
Cc: Thornthwaite.MLA, Jane LASS:EX
Subject: FW: YOUTH CARE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Hi Terry,

It was good to see you at the CA conference.

I have a constituent who is concerns about provincial funding/services for youth in care and states that she wants to have the age of youth in Care to become 21 from 19.

Can you please provide me with some information on how much the provincial government spends on youth in care and a list of services the Ministry offers?

Also, a response to whether or not the Ministry will consider changing the age from 19 to 21.

Thank you very much for your help!

Alysia

--

Alysia MacGrotty | Constituency Assistant
Jane Thornthwaite, MLA
North Vancouver – Seymour
#217-1233 Lynn Valley Road
North Vancouver, B.C.
P: 604.983.9852 | F: 604.983.9978
www.janethornthwaitemla.bc.ca

From: s.22
Sent: February 26, 2014 3:26 PM
To: Thornthwaite.MLA, Jane
Subject: RE: YOUTH CARE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Hello, my mailing address is as follows:

s.22

From: Thornthwaite.MLA, Jane [mailto:Jane.Thornthwaite.MLA@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 11:15 AM
To: s.22
Cc: Thornthwaite.MLA, Jane
Subject: RE: YOUTH CARE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to write Jane Thornthwaite, MLA for North Vancouver-Seymour. Ms. Thornthwaite receives dozens of messages each day and the letters from constituents are given the highest priority.

Please confirm your home postal mailing address including the postal code and MLA Thornthwaite will reply to you as soon

as possible.

We look forward to hearing from you in this regard.

Sincerely,

Alysia MacGrotty
Constituency Assistant to
MLA Jane Thornthwaite| North Vancouver - Seymour
Office: 604-983-9852
Facsimile: 604-983-9978

www.janethornthwaitemla.bc.ca

From: s.22
Sent: February 22, 2014 10:33 AM
To: Thornthwaite.MLA, Jane
Cc: s.22
Subject: YOUTH CARE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Hello Ms. Thornthwaite:

I am writing to you as you are the MLA for North Vancouver Seymour. I have been reading with interest and sadness the articles in the Vancouver Sun as they relate to youth in care in British Columbia. I am tremendously disheartened to hear that so many of these young people are aged out of care at age 19 and that they suffer homelessness, drug abuse, sexual abuse and so much more. Words cannot convey how incredibly scary this must be for these young people! I can't even imagine it, nor consider myself strong enough to go through what they must go through.

I would like to express to you and to the Government of British Columbia that I would like to see our youth protected further than age 19. If this means paying higher taxes, then so be it. We need to look after these incredibly vulnerable young people. This is a moral obligation. It speaks volumes to us as caring individuals. It should be done.

I never knew that this was occurring and I am ashamed to say that this has never crossed my mind. I haven't written a letter to government in many years, but just felt so compelled by these articles.

The time is now to ensure that our youth are protected. I will vote for a government that promotes and ensures that this happens. I am hoping that the Liberal Government of British Columbia is willing to do the right thing. Raise the age of Youth in Care to 21 or even further. Ensure that they can be educated – they are our future. They are our children. I hope that you can ensure that my letter is sent to the right person in the right Ministry. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

s.22