

From: S22
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 6:10 PM
To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX
Subject: Auditor General Doyle.

Honourable Premier:

The Auditor General is entitled to records re the Basi –Virk defence settlement, please don't hesitate to release such documents to "clear the air".

Thanks,

S22

-----Original Message-----

From: christinecampaign@gmail.com [mailto:christinecampaign@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Christy Clark campaign

Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2011 8:25 AM

To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX

Subject: Fwd: Suggestion!!!!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: S22

Date: Thu, Mar 3, 2011 at 7:38 AM

Subject: Suggestion!!!!

To: yourvoice@christyclark.ca

How about an inquiry into the Basi/Virk fiasco and why we the taxpayers are paying for those felons lawyer fee's? I seriously doubt if I will get a reply to this e-mail.

S22

-----Original Message-----

From: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX

Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2011 12:59 PM

To: S22

Subject: RE: Suggestion!!!!

Thank you for your email to Premier-Designate Clark. Please be assured that we will be sharing it with her at our earliest opportunity. She will appreciate hearing from you.

Again, thank you for sending in your comments.

-----Original Message-----

From: S22

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2011 10:07 PM

To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX; Mills, Shane LASS:EX; yourvoice@christyclark.ca

Subject: New Message from Christy Clark Premier website

Someone has filled out the form on the Christy Clark Premier website. Below are the details.

Name: S22

Email Address: S22

Message: Dear Ms. Clark,
Congratulations on becoming Premier of our great province. I lament the sad end of Gordon Campbell's tenure. He accomplished much for our province. Unfortunately, he lost faith in the people and it cost him. He did, however leave some unfinished business. My issue is with the \$6M spent by the province to pay for the legal defense of the criminals Basi and Virk. Please authorize an independent public inquiry into this matter. This would answer critics who say that the payment to Basi and Virk was for their silence. These critics say that our \$6M was to prevent others in and around the government from being identified as complicit in the scandal. Finally, these critics say that your refusal to authorize an independent public inquiry puts you in the middle of the cover up.
Please initiate an independent public inquiry to put an end to these criticisms.

Best Regards.

S22

Captcha code: S15

User IP Address: S22

Date Submitted: Mar-15-2011 10:07 pm

Thank you for your message. It has been received and will be shared with the Premier at our earliest opportunity. We do appreciate your taking the time to write on this matter.

From: S22
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:21 AM
To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX
Cc: Dix.MLA, Adrian LASS:EX
Subject: "Putting Families First"

If Christy is so oriented at "Putting Families First" then why have you not instructed the Judiciary to re-examine the BASI / VIRK fiasco.

"Fiasco" is the only way to explain how 2 men who collected BRIBE, after BRIBE, after BRIBE.....were given NO jail time and to top it off - (another slap in the face to decent law abiding FAMILIES) their \$14 million legal bill is paid by those same FAMILIES.

So - Miss "Putting Families First" - how can you rationalize allowing FAMILIES being forced to pay for Liberal party criminals ?

Mr Dix - you now have at least 2 legal types (Jenny Kwan and David Eby) who should be taking this matter to a Superior Court Justice, and the Immigration department.

Yes, these 2 criminals (who violated the public trust) should be deported !!

When will their assets be seized - and when will they get the LENGTHY jail time they deserve ?

And, please don't waste more taxpayer's money on a 'public enquiry'. That's just another waste of taxpayers money.

Christy.....time to prove you are 'Putting Families First' !!

S22

From: S22
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2011 1:04 PM
To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX
Subject: Re: Open Government

Mrs Clark

Thank you for your reply. The information you sent is interesting, but you neglected to say what, is anything you are going to have the inquiries.

You made no mention of either the BC Rail sale or the Basi/Virk payment, Why? Are these to be swept under the carpet as before. If so, I question the openness of your government. Are the citizens of B C to be kept in the dark?

I await your positive answer, but with fading hope. I will seriously need to assess how I will vote next election.

Yours truly

S22

Sent from my iPhone

On 2011-05-31, at 12:01 PM, "OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX" <Premier@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

Thank you for your comments. Please be assured that with our new style of governance, we are listening to you and others who have concerns and recommendations on how best to move forward. As you know through media stories and from our website www.gov.bc.ca, we have put our commitment to action with great new announcements like the increase in minimum wage, a review of BC ferry fares, a review of BC Hydro intentions, a stop to ICBC intensions with regard to one violation customers, and our plan to reduce the HST to 10 per cent.

We have done a great deal and we look forward to doing more. Your particular concerns have been noted and we appreciate your taking the time to share them with us.

Again, thank you for contacting us.

From: S22
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 8:17 PM
To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX
Subject: Open Government

Mrs. Clark

It was with great interest that I heard you make many promises of open government. I hope that this was not just something to garner votes, but a promise you actually mean to keep.

I know that Mr. Campbell often spoke of open government, but we ended up with the most secretive government of my 70 years. Your promise to do things differently sounds good.

We British Columbians deserve to have a Public Inquiry to look into the BC Rail sale and the circumstances surrounding this sale. I hope that your promise will lead to this, soon.

We also deserve to have a Public Inquiry into the Basi/Virk trial, the unseemly haste in pleading guilty after a protracted case, just when senior people were about to be called to testify. Not to mention the quick settlement of payment of their costs, with the failure of the government to attempt to get some of the money back for the tax payers.

I eagerly await your positive reply to this concern.

Yours truly

S22

Thank you for your follow-up email. The issue of the BC Rail case was brought up extensively during the Estimates debate of the Ministry of the Attorney General and the Office of the Premier. Here is one quote from the Premier, followed by links to the full passages that you might find of interest:

Hon. C. Clark: I did initiate this. I did ask the Attorney to put this in motion. The details of it are things that his ministry worked out, as should happen. I know the member has canvassed this very extensively — if not this member, other member's have — with, I think, at least another two ministers.

Let me say this: the news release announcing the details of how the review would work, which was released by the Attorney General, does not explicitly exclude anything, including the extension of indemnity in the 1990s and going back.

Dr. Toope is being asked to answer a series of questions. What is the objective of the indemnity policy? How does it work? Who is indemnified and under what circumstances? Is the policy fair, and how does it compare with other jurisdictions? What, if any, changes need to be made? That's what the review is considering.

It's a broad review. It's going to be looking historically. As I said, the Attorney General's ministry worked out the details of exactly how this review would work, and I'd refer the member to the press release, the information bulletin, that describes it in quite a bit more detail.

<http://www.leg.bc.ca/hansard/39th3rd/H10512p.htm>

and

<http://www.leg.bc.ca/hansard/39th3rd/D10601y.htm>

We hope you will find this information helpful.