

March 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition No. s.22

Introduction

On January 2, 2011, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition. You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* ("Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Act* and that the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a warn or a fail.

I must revoke your driving prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any vehicle impoundment if I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1), or that the ASD did not register a warn or a fail.

Section 215.5(2) of the *Act* states that if I determine that you were prohibited from driving for a longer time period than the *Act* requires, I must substitute the correct prohibition, vary the monetary penalty for which you are liable under section 215.44(1), and vary or revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me, including the peace officer's report.

Issues

There are two issues in this review:

1. Did the ASD register a warn or a fail?
2. Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Act*?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did the ASD register a warn or a fail?

I note that the ASD Calibration Expiry date was recorded in the Report to Superintendent as Jan 25.

I do not find that I have sufficient evidence before me to indicate that the ASD properly registered a fail result.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including February 2, 2011. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On April 7, 2011, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition. You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act and that the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a warn or a fail.

I must revoke your driving prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any vehicle impoundment if I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1), or that the ASD did not register a warn or a fail.

Section 215.5(2) of the Act states that if I determine that you were prohibited from driving for a longer time period than the Act requires, I must substitute the correct prohibition, vary the monetary penalty for which you are liable under section 215.44(1), and vary or revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me, including the peace officer’s report.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records before me confirm that your lawyer, Jamie Gopaulsingh, has received all of the disclosure documents. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

There are three issues in this review:

1. Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
2. If so, did you fail or refuse to comply with a demand?
3. If so, did you have a reasonable excuse?

As it is determinative of this matter, I will only consider the first issue.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act. The evidence provided by the officer does not establish as fact that you were in care or control of the motor vehicle at the time she encountered you at roadside on April 7, 2011.

Decision

I therefore revoke your driving prohibition and monetary penalty, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving once you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced License, you must make an appointment to reapply for that license.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP was released on May 10, 2011. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including May 10, 2011. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jamie Gopaulsingh
Lindsay Kenney LLP
Fax: 604-687-2347

March 5, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 17, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 6, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 11, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 21, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

In your application for review, you selected 11 grounds for review. For your benefit, I have considered all of the grounds available to you that relate to the reason for which you were prohibited.

Records at this office confirm that your lawyer, Kevin Filkow received full disclosure of the documents before me prior to your scheduled hearing. At the outset of the oral hearing Mr. Filkow confirmed that he had. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD registered a "FAIL" as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle was notified by telephone that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: Kevin Filkow by fax: 604 370 2505

March 3, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 9, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Nassichuk and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

The evidence before me indicates that you did not provide a suitable breath sample into an ASD. However, after considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find the officer did not provide sufficient evidence that the device he used was reliable.

I am satisfied on a balance of probabilities that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

March 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 8, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your legal counsel, Julian van der Walle, received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?

- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the ASD "FAIL" a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?

Facts, Evidence and AnalysisWere you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

In reviewing your evidence and the police documents before me, I find that Officer Gauthier has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act on March 8, 2014 at 03:05 hours.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

Based on the evidence, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you or someone you authorize, may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 28, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date and should be aware that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc : Julian van der Walle via fax

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 28, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD used in your analysis was reliable.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

March 12, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 25, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the start of the oral hearing your lawyer, Jeffrey Arndt, acknowledged that he received disclosure.

You applied on three grounds. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified.

Adjudicator

cc: Jeffery Arndt
778-455-3999

March 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

When you applied for this review, you checked all grounds listed on the application form; however, not all grounds are applicable to your situation, because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your case.

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues:

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Germain and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you operate or have care or control of a motor vehicle?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find on a balance of probabilities that the officer did not provide sufficient evidence to support the prohibition imposed.

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you operated or had care or control of a motor vehicle on March 1 2014, at 1650 hours. Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

March 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 7, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on four grounds; however, not all of the grounds are applicable to your situation because of the reason you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your situation.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Stephen D. Taylor. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the totality of the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 21, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Stephen D. Taylor
Fax: 250-753-9186

March 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Paul Pearson. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?

- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Thompson and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the officer advised you of your right to request a second analysis.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP was released on December 9, 2013. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 9, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

A peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the oral review, your lawyer, Jamie Butler, confirmed that he received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review on this basis.

You applied on only two review grounds; however, for your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed all of the evidence before me, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

After reviewing all of the evidence before me, on a balance of probabilities, I cannot be satisfied that the ASD results were reliable. Consequently, I am satisfied that your BAC was less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 9, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.22

IRP Review Decision
Page 3

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jamie Butler

Fax: [604] 739-9888

March 10, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 11, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I have been delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

The hearing in this matter took place on January 30, 2014. Your lawyer, Jeremy Mills, confirmed that he received full disclosure of the documents that are before me. I confirm receipt of Mr. Mills' submissions, together with your Statutory Declaration sworn on October 23, 2013.

Mr. Mills said that there has been a basic lack of procedural fairness accorded to you, and that the Superintendent's process in obtaining a properly sworn Report to Superintendent (the "Report") demonstrates clear bias against you.

I acknowledge Mr. Mills' submission on this point; however, in the case of *Murray v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCCA, the Court of Appeal decided that where no sworn Report has been sent in by the officer, the Superintendent cannot make a determination on the driving prohibition. In addition, the process of adjourning a review hearing

and making a follow-up request of a police officer is one that has been established and sanctioned by the Court of Appeal. In the case of *Mitchell v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2009 BCCA 272, the Court of Appeal said that the Superintendent can make a demand on the officer for a mandatory document which is what the Superintendent has done in this case. Since a solemnly affirmed Report has now been received, I find that I can proceed with the review hearing and make a decision

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “fail”?

Based on all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that your BAC was 80 mg% or higher, even though the ASD registered a fail.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release, being October 22, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

CC Jeremy W.D. Mills
 By fax 250-381-9702

March 13, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 5, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Michael Shapray. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Aadmi and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you operate or have care or control of a motor vehicle?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find on a balance of probabilities that the officer did not provide sufficient evidence to support the prohibition imposed.

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you operated or had care or control of a motor vehicle on October 5, 2013, at 2342 hours. Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including October 18, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero

s.22

IRP Review Decision

Page 3

balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. Michael Shapray
604-590-5626 (fax)

March 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 24, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I have been delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, I confirmed that you had received all of the documents that are before me. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on a consideration of the evidence, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release, being January 30, 2014. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 15, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand, and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that a valid ASD demand was made on you.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

March 26, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 11, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Jennifer Currie, confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable McGorman and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that the officer has provided evidence to support the allegation that you were a driver on March 11, 2014.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc. Jennifer Currie (by fax)
604-590-5626

March 26, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 16, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand, and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that a valid ASD demand was made on you.

I am satisfied that the peace officer did not make a valid ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter.

March 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 10, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, David Milburn. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Davidson and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find the officer did not provide sufficient evidence to support the IRP.

I am satisfied on a balance of probabilities that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, as set out in the officer's evidence. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by Section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc. David Milburn (by fax)
(604) 526-8056

March 17, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 9, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on the ground that the result of the ASD is not reliable.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?

- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable McLuckie and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.15

Adjudicator

March 11, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 24, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Sukhbinder Nunnha. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the first ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Sukhbinder Nunnha
fax: 604 594-8280

March 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 8, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Harpreet Nirwan. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Jordan and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find on a balance of probabilities that the officer did not provide sufficient evidence to support the prohibition imposed. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including [the date of this letter/ the date your vehicle was eligible for release]. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

A peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Having reviewed the evidence, I do not find that the Report to Superintendent provided by the officer is properly sworn.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

March 7, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 2, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Jamie Butler. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Having reviewed the evidence, I am not satisfied that it was the commissioner for taking affidavits who modified the jurat, and as such, I do not find that the Report to Superintendent and attached evidence is properly before me. Consequently, I do not have sufficient evidence before me to support the prohibition indicated in the Notice.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including October 18, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were the ASDs reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASDs used for your analyses were functioning correctly.

I am satisfied that the ASDs were not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

March 25, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 8, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I have been delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jon M. Duncan. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release, being November 28, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Jon M. Duncan
Fax 888-899-4906

March 13, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 22, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Dahlman and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find on a balance of probabilities that the officer's evidence does not support finding that the ASDs were reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASDs were reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 13, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc. Sarah Leamon
604-370-2505 (fax)

March 19, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 7, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on thirteen grounds for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

At the beginning of the oral hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and AnalysisWas the ASD reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD used in your analysis was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

March 20, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 6, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Sarah Leamon. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that the ASD used in your second analysis was properly calibrated at the time.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 26, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 14, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 28, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I do not find that the officer has provided evidence to support the allegation that you were a driver on February 28, 2014.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On September 9, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Sarah Leamon. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Having reviewed the evidence, I do not find that the Report to Superintendent provided by the officer is properly sworn.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 26, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 4, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 22, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I have been delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device ("ASD") registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration ("BAC") being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy G. Carr. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "fail", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the first ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

CC: Jeremy G. Carr

March 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 19, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the prohibition in the Notice.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
604-685-8308

March 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 28, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

After reviewing the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Based on the evidence before me I am satisfied that your BAC was less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a FAIL.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(1) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's license from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's License, you must make an appointment to reapply for that license.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if your vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 26, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 10, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer, Cathryn Waker confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD registered a "FAIL" as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked.

Adjudicator s.15

pc Cathryn Waker by fax: 604 637 1617

March 11, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. No. s.22

Introduction

On October 2, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed that she received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Peers and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you operate or have care or control of a motor vehicle?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find on a balance of probabilities that the officer did not provide sufficient evidence to support the prohibition imposed.

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you operated or had care or control of a motor vehicle on October 2, 2013, at 0238 hours. Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

If you have not already done so, you may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including October 11, 2013. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies

of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Sarah Leamon
604-370-2505

March 12, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 22, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 11, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Jamie Butler. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jamie Butler
fax: 604 739-9888

March 7, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 25, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I have been delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "fail" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Nicholas Preovolos. At the time of the hearing and to date, I have not received any submissions from either Mr. Preovolos or you. I have proceeded with this review based on a consideration of the information I have before me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the first ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

^{s.15}
Adjudicator

cc: Nicholas Preovolos
by fax 604-643-2811

March 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 9, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on all 13 grounds; however, not all of the grounds are applicable to your situation because of the reason you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your situation.

At the beginning of the oral hearing, I confirmed that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Joel A. Whysall. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 27, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Joel A. Whysall
Fax: 604-637-1617

March 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records indicate that your lawyer, Kyla Lee, received full disclosure. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including **December 11, 2013**. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
604-685-8308

March 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 9, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all information provided to me. However, please note that I will only be addressing submissions and evidence that are relevant to the issues in this review.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your legal counsel, Jennifer Currie, received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

In his evidence, Officer Khan indicates that on March 9, 2014 at 0616 hours, he observed you drive up to a roadblock located on s.22

There is no evidence before me to refute this.

Based on the evidence, I am satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, at the time in question.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

The issue of whether you failed or refused to comply with a demand is twofold. First, the evidence must establish that an officer made a lawful demand on you to provide a sample of your breath. Second, the evidence must establish that you failed or refused to comply with the demand.

Ms. Currie submits that Officer Khan has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that he had reasonable grounds to make an ASD demand on you.

I concur.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

Based on the evidence, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 28, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date and should be aware that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Jennifer Currie via fax

AMENDED

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On September 19, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the oral hearing I confirmed with your lawyer, Cathryn Waker, that she had received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have before me a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including October 10, 2013, the day the vehicle was eligible for release. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 7, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Having reviewed the evidence, I do not find that the Report to Superintendent (the "Report") is properly before me. I am not satisfied that the officer and the commissioner for taking affidavits modified the jurat, and as such, I am not satisfied that the Report was properly modified.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 26, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 19, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 28, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

On your application for review you selected the ground that your second test was not performed on a different ASD. For your benefit, I have considered all of the grounds available to you in this review that relate to the reason for which you were prohibited.

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Simpson and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the second analysis performed on a different ASD?

Based on the evidence, I am not satisfied that the second analysis was performed on a different ASD.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 19, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc. Sarah Leamon
604-370-2505 (fax)

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 2, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result;
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”); and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Bryan Fitzpatrick confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the totality of the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 17, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: Bryan Fitzpatrick by fax 250 785 4346

March 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 9, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Cathryn Waker. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the second analysis provided by the officer?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I find that you were not provided with an adequate opportunity to provide a second sample of breath for analysis.

I am satisfied that the second analysis was not provided by the officer.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further evidence in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Cathryn Waker
fax: 604 637-1617

March 11, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 26, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on four grounds for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Brian Juriloff. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Haider and your lawyer’s submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Brian Juriloff
Fax: 604-504-5880

March 13, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 21, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, G. Jack Harris, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

I am not satisfied that you failed or refused to comply with the ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: G. Jack Harris (by fax)
604-859-1375

March 13, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Ms. Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Ehrentraut and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

The evidence before me indicates that you did not provide a suitable breath sample into an ASD. However, after considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find you did not understand the instructions given by the officer at roadside.

I am satisfied on a balance of probabilities that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP was released on September 27, 2013. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 27, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 9, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (the “ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that you received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on this confirmation.

Issues

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”?
- Were you advised of your right to request a second analysis?
- If requested, was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?

- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was the ASD “FAIL” a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD analysis a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?

In considering the evidence in its totality, I find that Officer Bal has failed to provide evidence sufficient to establish that the ASD “FAIL” was a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%.

Having made this finding, there is no need to consider the other issues in this review.

Decision

Based on the evidence, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you or someone you authorize may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 28, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date and should be aware that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 28, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 16, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Anthony Robinson. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used to administer the second ASD test was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Anthony Robinson (by fax)
604-608-9137

March 12, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On November 3, 2011, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Carignan and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having reviewed all of Constable Carignan's evidence, I find on a balance of probabilities that his submissions do not validate the IRP he served on you. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act, as set out by the officer.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

If you have not already done so, you may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified about the revocation by separate letter.

March 19, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the outset of your oral hearing your lawyer, Mitch Foster, confirmed that he received full disclosure. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Upon review of the evidence before me, I find that I do not have a properly sworn Report to Superintendent. As a result, there is insufficient evidence before me to support the charge against you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including December 10, 2013. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

Adjudicator

cc: Mitch Foster
Fax: 604-687-4299

March 13, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 22, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on the ground that you were not advised of your right to a second test on an ASD. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, David W. Dickinson. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Lansdowne and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 13, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: David W. Dickinson
Upper Skeena Counseling & Legal Assistance Society
Fax: 250-842-5987

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On January 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Kurt Froehlich. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were the ASDs reliable?

After reviewing the evidence before me, I am satisfied that the ASDs were not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including January 22, 2014. ***Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.*** Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

March 6, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 13, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on the ground that “I did not refuse or fail to comply with the officer’s demand to provide a breath sample”; however, that ground is not applicable to your situation because you provided samples of your breath. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your situation.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the totality of the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 6, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 26, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 18, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a one ground, which is not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

At the outset of your review, your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she received full disclosure.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 26, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
604-685-8308

March 27, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 14, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Craig D. Sicotte. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence before me, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD used to administer the first ASD test was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 19, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On December 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a number of grounds, one of which is not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Your lawyer, Cathryn Waker, confirmed that she received full disclosure. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Having considered the evidence before me, I find that the ASD used was unreliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified.

Adjudicator

cc: Cathryn Waker
604-637-1617

March 18, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 11, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the oral hearing, I confirmed with your lawyer, Kyla Lee, that she had received full disclosure of all of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

You checked the boxes next to every ground on the application form. However, several of those grounds are not applicable to your situation. I will consider all grounds available to you in this review.

Ms. Lee directed my attention to the officer’s evidence; first to the Report to Superintendent (“Report”) that was forwarded to this office without a signature of a commissioner. Ms. Lee submitted that on the face of this Report it is clear that it is not a sworn document and therefore I cannot rely on it. In addition, Ms. Lee requested that I consider a second Report that had been forwarded by the

officer that is signed by a commissioner. However, Ms. Lee submitted that the problem with this amended Report is that firstly, the commissioner that signed the jurat is

s.22 and with respect to information in the Certificates for the ASD's and the Report was faxed to this office on August 12, 2013 and the officer is swearing that the document is before him on August 11, 2013, which clearly is not the case. Ms. Lee stated that I cannot consider the Report because it is not properly sworn in your case, and as such your prohibition should be revoked. To support this position Ms. Lee provided the decision of *Murray v. the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles* and referred to the case of *Spencer v. the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles*.

I have read and considered the *Spencer* decision, and I acknowledge Ms. Lee's submissions with regard to the assessment of reliability and credibility as it is set out in the cases of *Spencer*. As such, in conducting this review, I am mindful of and have applied the principles of natural justice and administrative fairness, as required by these cases.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis Ilutyreeeaq0

Having reviewed the evidence, I am not satisfied that the Report has been sworn or affirmed in the presence of the Commissioner for taking affidavits. Consequently, I do not have sufficient evidence before me to support the prohibition indicated in the Notice.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records at this office indicate that the vehicle associated with this IRP has already been released. Since your IRP review is successful and the prohibition is revoked, you are eligible to have the towing and storage costs reimbursed by the Superintendent up to the amounts set out in the *Lien on Impounded Motor Vehicle Regulation* under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. Information concerning this Regulation can be found online at:

http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/952568628

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay the towing and storage costs up to and including September 3, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release.

Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be submitted for reimbursement.

Examples of proof of payment include a towing and storage invoice created by the impound lot noting payment and a zero balance owing; invoice and cancelled cheque or credit card transaction slip; invoice and copies of identifiable payments from bank or credit card statements. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

Adjudicator s.15

pc: Kyla Lee

fax: 604 685-8308

March 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On March 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on three grounds. For your benefit, I have considered all of the grounds applicable to your situation.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer Geoffrey Simair. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Sgt Yelovatz, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the Certificates of a Qualified ASD Calibrator, I find them to be irregular. Therefore, I am not satisfied the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Geoffrey Simair (by fax)
(250) 385-4506

March 21, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 7, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on all 13 grounds; however, not all of the grounds are applicable to your situation because of the reason you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your situation.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy G. Carr. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue:

Were the ASDs reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please be advised that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Jeremy G. Carr
Fax: 250-388-7327

March 25, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 4, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Cooper and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

After considering all the evidence before me in this specific set of circumstances, I find on a balance of probabilities that you were not advised of your right to a second analysis. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 25, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 4, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On February 16, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the start of the oral hearing your lawyer, Christopher Drinovz, acknowledged that he received disclosure.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

I find there is one issue that is determinative of my review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having considered the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including March 4, 2014. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Christopher Drinovz
604-852-5194

March 24, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 1, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I have been delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

One of the grounds on which you applied for this review is not applicable to your situation – that you did not refuse or fail to comply with the officer’s demand to provide a breath sample - because the officer alleged that you were being prohibited from driving because an ASD test resulted in a “fail”. I will consider all of the grounds available to you in this review that are appropriate to those circumstances.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the second analysis provided by the officer on a different ASD?

After considering the evidence, I am not satisfied that the second analysis was performed on a different ASD.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

March 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 8, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

In the IRP Application for Review, you indicated one ground for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Mark Swartz. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Fraser and Mr. Swartz's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the officer made a valid ASD demand.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Adjudicator

cc: Mark Swartz (by fax)
(604) 669-5180

March 26, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 10, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I will consider all of the grounds of review which are relevant to the type of prohibition indicated on the Notice the peace officer served.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your representative, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I do not find that there is sufficient evidence to indicate that you were a driver at the relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

March 31, 2014

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On March 10, 2014, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that you were provided full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

You applied on four review grounds, two of which are not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed all of the evidence before me, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing all of the evidence before me, I cannot be satisfied the ASD used in your first ASD test was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.