

From: Caitlin Fennessy [<mailto:Caitlin.Fennessy@trade.gov>]
Sent: February-07-12 8:27 AM
To: Nikolejsin, Dave CITZ:EX; Lowe, Charmaine CITZ:EX
Cc: McHale, Jonathan; Emrich, Sigrid; Cindy Biggs; 'Callaghan, Anne T'
Subject: British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

Dear Mr. Nikolejsin and Ms. Lowe,

Good morning. I am reaching out to you from the U.S. International Trade Administration at the suggestion of Ms. Catherine Tully with the Office of British Columbia's Information and Privacy Commissioner. I hope my email finds you well. I am writing to inquire if you would be available for a conference call with my colleague Jonathan McHale, with the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, and I sometime this week. We were hoping to touch base with you regarding British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and in particular the provisions prohibiting the transfer of personal data held by public bodies outside of Canada. ITA has worked in the past with Industry Canada on issues concerning transborder data flows and how businesses can comply with privacy laws as they engage in trade involving data flows. We were also aware of the 2010 review of the Act. We would like to touch base with you on these and some related issues. Would you or a colleague be available for a call on this topic this week or next? If so, could you let me know what times would work best, and I will coordinate with my colleague at USTR and then send you call-in information? If I should direct my request to someone else in your office, please just let me know.

Best regards,

Caitlin

Caitlin Fennessy, CIPP
International Privacy Policy Analyst
Office of Technology and E-Commerce
U.S. Department of Commerce
(202)482-0396

From: Nikolejsin, Dave CITZ:EX
Sent: February-07-12 10:50 AM
To: Caitlin Fennessy; Lowe, Charmaine CITZ:EX
Cc: McHale, Jonathan; Emrich, Sigrid; Cindy Biggs; 'Callaghan, Anne T'; MacKenzie, Kelly L CITZ:EX
Subject: RE: British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

I will delegate Charmaine Lowe to handle this on behalf of my office.

Please organize a time with her directly.

Thanks.

Dave Nikolejsin

Associate Deputy Minister

Chief Information Officer

Province of British Columbia

tel: (250) 387-8509 fax: (250) 387-1940

Dave.Nikolejsin@gov.bc.ca

This email message, including any attachments, is confidential. It is intended only for the use of the person or persons to whom it is addressed unless I have expressly authorized otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please delete the message, including any attachments, and notify me immediately by email or telephone.

From: Caitlin Fennessy [<mailto:Caitlin.Fennessy@trade.gov>]
Sent: February-13-12 10:32 AM
To: Lowe, Charmaine CITZ:EX
Subject: RE: British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

Dear Ms. Lowe,

Thank you for taking the time to speak with Jonathan and I this morning. We both thought that it was a very helpful discussion. As Jonathan mentioned during the call, we wanted to share with you the attached set of ICT principles. As you can see, the attached document is a set of principles we agreed to with Japan. As indicated in the attachment, we agreed to promote these principles bilaterally and in trade negotiations with third countries going forward. Principles two and six are the most relevant to our conversation this morning.

I was able to find the 2011 amendments to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act online. Thank you for drawing my attention to these changes. Should any new developments with regard to the data transfer/storage provisions arise on your end, we would greatly appreciate your letting us know.

Please feel free to reach out at any time if I can be of any help. If you could pass my email along to your colleague, Ms. Francis, I would appreciate it, as I do not have her email address. I hope to stay in touch.

Thank you, again, and best regards,

Caitlin

Caitlin Fennessy, CIPP
International Privacy Policy Analyst
Office of Technology and E-Commerce
U.S. Department of Commerce
(202)482-0396

From: Caitlin Fennessy [<mailto:Caitlin.Fennessy@trade.gov>]
Sent: Tue, February 7, 2012 11:06 AM
To: Nikolejsin, Dave CITZ:EX; Lowe, Charmaine CITZ:EX
Cc: McHale, Jonathan; Emrich, Sigrid; Cindy Biggs; 'Callaghan, Anne T'; MacKenzie, Kelly L CITZ:EX
Subject: RE: British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

Thank you, Mr. Nikolejsin.

Ms. Lowe, please just let me know what times would work best for you.

Best regards,
Caitlin

Caitlin Fennessy, CIPP
International Privacy Policy Analyst
Office of Technology and E-Commerce
U.S. Department of Commerce
(202)482-0396

From: Nikolejsin, Dave CITZ:EX [<mailto:Dave.Nikolejsin@gov.bc.ca>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 1:50 PM
To: Caitlin Fennessy; Lowe, Charmaine CITZ:EX
Cc: McHale, Jonathan; Emrich, Sigrid; Cindy Biggs; 'Callaghan, Anne T'; MacKenzie, Kelly L CITZ:EX
Subject: RE: British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

I will delegate Charmaine Lowe to handle this on behalf of my office.
Please organize a time with her directly.

Thanks.

Dave Nikolejsin
Associate Deputy Minister

Chief Information Officer
Province of British Columbia
tel: (250) 387-8509 fax: (250) 387-1940
Dave.Nikolejsin@gov.bc.ca

This email message, including any attachments, is confidential. It is intended only for the use of the person or persons to whom it is addressed unless I have expressly authorized otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please delete the message, including any attachments, and notify me immediately by email or telephone.

From: Caitlin Fennessy [<mailto:Caitlin.Fennessy@trade.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 8:27 AM
To: Nikolejsin, Dave CITZ:EX; Lowe, Charmaine CITZ:EX
Cc: McHale, Jonathan; Emrich, Sigrid; Cindy Biggs; 'Callaghan, Anne T'
Subject: British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

Dear Mr. Nikolejsin and Ms. Lowe,

Good morning. I am reaching out to you from the U.S. International Trade Administration at the suggestion of Ms. Catherine Tully with the Office of British Columbia's Information and Privacy Commissioner. I hope my email finds you well. I am writing to inquire if you would be available for a conference call with my colleague Jonathan McHale, with the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, and I sometime this week. We were hoping to touch base with you regarding British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and in particular the provisions prohibiting the transfer of personal data held by public bodies outside of Canada. ITA has worked in the past with Industry Canada on issues concerning transborder data flows and how businesses can comply with privacy laws as they engage in trade involving data flows. We were also aware of the 2010 review of the

Act. We would like to touch base with you on these and some related issues. Would you or a colleague be available for a call on this topic this week or next? If so, could you let me know what times would work best, and I will coordinate with my colleague at USTR and then send you call-in information? If I should direct my request to someone else in your office, please just let me know.

Best regards,

Caitlin

Caitlin Fennessy, CIPP
International Privacy Policy Analyst
Office of Technology and E-Commerce
U.S. Department of Commerce
(202)482-0396



January 27, 2012

Japan-United States Trade Principles for Information and Communication Technology Services

The Government of Japan and the Government of the United States have jointly developed the following set of trade-related principles for the information and communication technology (ICT) services sector and intend to promote the implementation of these principles within their bilateral economic relationship and in their trade negotiations with third countries.

These principles are without prejudice to governments' rights and obligations under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO), and to the exceptions contained in the WTO General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS). They are also without prejudice to the policy objectives and legislation of the Government of Japan and the Government of the United States in areas such as the protection of intellectual property and the protection of privacy, including of health information, and of the confidentiality of personal and commercial data. These principles are not intended to apply to financial services. For greater certainty, they do not create any legally binding obligations.

The Government of Japan and the Government of the United States intend to cooperate with third countries to enhance national regulatory capacity and support the expansion of ICT networks and services, which are powerful tools for promoting economic development. The Government of Japan and the Government of the United States intend to review these principles annually, with a view to discussing their implementation and use and to further refining and expanding them, as appropriate.

Governments seeking to enhance their national regulatory capacity and support the development of ICT networks and services should embrace the following principles and, as appropriate, work to integrate them, in a technologically neutral manner, into bilateral and multilateral trade disciplines:

1. Transparency: Governments should ensure that all laws, regulations, procedures, and administrative rulings of general application affecting ICT and trade in ICT services are published or otherwise made available, and, to the extent practicable, are subject to public notice and comment procedures.
2. Cross-Border Information Flows: Governments should not prevent service suppliers of other countries, or customers of those suppliers, from electronically transferring information internally or across borders, accessing publicly available information, or accessing their own information stored in other countries.

3. Open Networks, Network Access and Use: Governments should promote the ability of consumers to legitimately access and distribute information and run applications and services of their choice. Governments should not restrict the ability of suppliers to supply services over the Internet on a cross-border and technologically neutral basis, and should promote the interoperability of services and technologies, where appropriate. Governments recognize that Internet access providers should strive to avoid unreasonable discrimination in transmitting lawful network traffic.¹

4. Interconnection: Consistent with the GATS Telecommunications Annex's access and use provisions, governments, through their regulators, should ensure that public telecommunications service suppliers in their respective territories provide directly, or indirectly within the same territory, interconnection with public telecommunications service suppliers of other countries on commercial terms. In addition, in accordance with the GATS Reference Paper on basic telecommunications services,² governments should ensure that public telecommunications service suppliers in their respective territories are able to interconnect with major suppliers at cost-oriented, non-discriminatory and transparent rates.

5. Unbundling of Network Elements: Governments, through their regulators, should have the authority to require major suppliers in their respective territories to offer public telecommunications service suppliers access to network elements on an unbundled basis on terms and conditions, and at cost-oriented rates, that are reasonable, non-discriminatory and transparent. Regulators should seek and consider the views of interested persons before deciding which network elements should be unbundled.

6. Local Infrastructure and Local Presence: Governments should not require ICT service suppliers to use local infrastructure as a condition of supplying services. Governments should not require ICT service suppliers to establish a local presence as a condition for the cross-border supply of a service. In addition, governments should not give priority or preferential treatment to national suppliers of ICT services in the use of local infrastructure, national spectrum, or orbital resources.

7. Foreign Ownership: Governments should allow full foreign participation in their ICT services sectors, through establishment or other means.

8. Use of Spectrum: Governments should maximize the availability and use of spectrum by working to ensure that it is managed effectively and efficiently, and, where appropriate, in accordance with applicable International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R) recommendations. The allocation of spectrum for commercial purposes should be carried out in an objective, timely, transparent, and non-discriminatory manner, with the aim of fostering competition and innovation. Governments should have the authority to assign terrestrial spectrum to commercial users through impartial and market-oriented means, which can include auctions.

¹ In the United States, the rule on no unreasonable discrimination only applies to fixed (wireline) broadband Internet access providers. 47 C.F.R. § 8.7. However, both fixed and mobile broadband Internet access providers are subject to "no blocking" rules. 47 C.F.R. § 8.5.

² Reference Paper, Negotiating Group on Basic Telecommunications, Job No. 2104 (24 April 1996).

9. Digital Products: Governments should provide treatment no less favorable to some digital products as compared to other like digital products based on place of creation or production, and nationality of author.

10. Regulatory Authorities: Governments should ensure that the regulatory authorities that oversee ICT services sectors are legally distinct and functionally independent from all service providers, and have sufficient legal authority and adequate resources to perform their functions effectively. Regulatory decisions and procedures should be impartial with respect to all market participants. Regulatory decisions regarding ICT services, and the results of appellate proceedings regarding such decisions, should be publicly available.

11. Authorizations and Licenses: Governments should authorize the provision of competitive telecommunications services, wherever possible, on simple notification by a service provider, and should not require legal establishment as a condition of supplying a service. Licenses should be restricted in number only for the purpose of addressing a limited set of specified regulatory issues, such as the assignment of frequencies.

12. International Cooperation: Governments should cooperate with each other to increase the level of digital literacy globally and reduce the "digital divide".
