

Not Responsive

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: MacIntyre, Sara PREM:EX

> Sent: Monday, October 15, 2012 09:28 PM

> To: Tarras, Lynda PSA:EX

> Subject: Re: Question

>

> Respectfully Lynda, before I make any decisions I would like to see -in writing- a job description.

>

> Also, do I have any vacation time? As you can imagine, today's events
s.22 particularly without a job description.

s.22

>

s.22

>

>

s.22

s.22

I would think as head of the PSA you would

concur.

>

> Regards.

>

>

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: MacIntyre, Sara PREM:EX

> Sent: Mon, October 15, 2012 8:06 PM

> To: Tarras, Lynda PSA:EX

> Subject: Re: Question

>

>

> Thanks for your email Lynda that I received at 645 this evening. And
> thank you for the record of decision regarding my reassignment. I would
> like to further request some sort of written job description with duties
> and obligations, reporting structure and terms of employment as well.
> Further, could I please request that you provide me with the original
> documentation I signed when I first signed on as the Premier's Director
> of Communications? I understand that Michelle Leamy has those documents.

>

>

> I will await for those documents as per a standard procedure with a new
> or re-assigned appointment.

>

> Kind Regards,

> Sara

>

>

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: MacIntyre, Sara PREM:EX
> Sent: Mon, October 15, 2012 2:12 PM
> To: Tarras, Lynda PSA:EX
> Subject: Re: Question
>
> Thanks Lynda.
>

s.22

>
> Thanks,
> Sara
>

Not Responsive

>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: MacIntyre, Sara PREM:EX
> Sent: Monday, October 15, 2012 10:56 AM
> To: Tarras, Lynda PSA:EX; Doyle, Dan PREM:EX
> Subject: Question
>
>
> s.22
>
> Thanks,
> S

RE: external GAL listing

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:19 PM

Subject	RE: external GAL listing
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Milne, Jillian GCPE:EX
Sent	Tuesday, October 23, 2012 2:58 PM

That's so bizarre. I used to be the BC Director for the Canadian Taxpayers Federation (2004-2007) and that was our office address until I moved it to Vancouver. I have since worked at NaiKun and the Prime Minister's Office. But I am still not clear what you are asking me, I don't understand.

Not Responsive

From: MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2012 2:16 PM
To: Milne, Jillian GCPE:EX
Subject: Re: external GAL listing

I'm not sure Jill. How do I find out?

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

Project

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:18 PM

Subject	Project
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Tuesday, October 23, 2012 5:42 PM

Hey Athana-

I don't really have anything for you yet. I'm still doing some research, maybe I'm taking too broad of an approach. But with the 25 anniversary of FTA there is lots out there to review, including s.13

s.13

Anyways, if

this is time sensitive or if you just want my general feedback on the issues I can get that to you in the morning. If not, ill plug along and then we can't chat about it, and my role on Thursday when you are back in the office?

Is that alright?

S

Re: FIPA QAs

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:21 PM

Subject	Re: FIPA QAs
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX; Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Thursday, October 25, 2012 1:00 PM

Sorry, I would also add, the amount of BC trade with China is up 23 percent in the past year, how much chinese investment in BC a dollar figure and jobs, how many jobs are created.

From: MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 12:50 PM

To: Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX; Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX

Subject: Re: FIPA QAs

s.13

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

FIPA TPs

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:23 PM

Subject	FIPA TPs
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Cc	Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX
Sent	Thursday, October 25, 2012 2:52 PM

Here's what I am thinking right now....

s.13

s.13

Cell phone bill

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:25 PM

Subject	Cell phone bill
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Olson, Alisha PREM:EX
Sent	Friday, October 26, 2012 11:30 AM

Hey Alisha-

s.22

Thanks,
Sara

RE: Upcoming Mtg

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:28 PM

Subject	RE: Upcoming Mtg
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Friday, October 26, 2012 11:54 AM

Will do.

Anything else you need me to start on?

Page 9 redacted for the following reason:

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

FW: CFAF: Premier: Not happy with NGP answers

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:29 PM

Subject	FW: CFAF: Premier: Not happy with NGP answers
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Friday, October 26, 2012 12:12 PM

s.13

From: tno@gov.bc.ca [<mailto:tno@gov.bc.ca>]
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2012 11:39 AM

Pages 11 through 16 redacted for the following reasons:

Out of Scope

Out of Scope

[TNO...](#)

This e-mail is a service provided by Today's News Online and is only intended for the original addressee. All content is the copyrighted property of a third party creator of the material. Copying, retransmitting, redistributing,

selling, licensing, or emailing the material to any third party or any employee of the Province who is not authorized to access the material is prohibited.

Re: Confirmation of Appointment

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:30 PM

Subject	Re: Confirmation of Appointment
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Champion, Denise GCPE:EX
Cc	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Saturday, October 27, 2012 5:17 PM

Thanks!

Not Responsive

NorKam

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:40 PM

Subject	NorKam
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	s.22
Sent	Tuesday, October 30, 2012 9:30 AM

Out of Scope

TRU

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:42 PM

Subject	TRU
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	s.22
Sent	Tuesday, October 30, 2012 9:34 AM

Out of Scope

RE: Trade Updates

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:32 PM

Subject	RE: Trade Updates
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX; Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Thursday, November 1, 2012 4:00 PM

s.13

The PM said today in question period (I don't have the transcript yet) that there would be greater certainty coming for investments regarding state owned enterprises. That will be, I presume right after

Nexen on the 11th. And that it will allow past deals to be submitted and reviewed again (although this is just my suspicion).

1

Anyway, as much as I hate to admit it, John Ibbitson is perhaps the most considered on this issue and his little video talk back is linked below as well as Mulcair's over the top claims.

<http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/news-video/video-john-ibbitson-explains-why-the-ndp-stance-on-china-could-backfire/article4831212/>

<http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/news-video/video-opposition-grills-harper-over-china-canada-trade-deal/article4796558/?from=4831212>

Foreign Investment



[\[Table of Contents\]](#)

Hon. Thomas Mulcair (Leader of the Opposition, NDP):



Mr. Speaker, on the eve of the ratification of the Canada-China Foreign Investment Promotion and Protection Agreement, the Conservatives have failed to consult Parliament or Canadians. They are trying to tie the hands of Canadians for 31 years with no study, no debate and no consultation.

The Conservatives have brought to Parliament the trade deals with Costa Rica, Jordan and Panama, so why not this trade deal with China? Why will the [Prime Minister](#) not allow this constraining deal with China to even be debated in Parliament? Will he delay the ratification until this agreement with China has been properly studied here in the House?



[\[Table of Contents\]](#)

Right Hon. Stephen Harper (Prime Minister, CPC):



Mr. Speaker, for almost two decades, Canadian governments have been trying to get a foreign investment protection and promotion agreement with China for one very simple reason: Canadian investors have not had the kind of protection in China that Chinese investors have in Canada.

This agreement would allow us to move forward. That is why it has seen an almost entirely positive response from Canadian investors. They want to see this go forward and we are committed to giving them the kind of protection they need.



[\[Table of Contents\]](#)

Hon. Thomas Mulcair (Leader of the Opposition, NDP):



Mr. Speaker, the [Prime Minister](#) said:

It is a very fundamental constitutional principle that a previous government cannot bind the actions of a future government.

A year has not even passed since the [Prime Minister](#) said that and now he is trying to tie the hands of future governments for 31 years.

I want to be very clear. The Conservatives will not tie the hands of the NDP. We will revoke this agreement if it is not in the best interests of Canadians.

Why will the [Prime Minister](#) not allow the study of this deal? What does he have to hide?



[\[Table of Contents\]](#)

Right Hon. Stephen Harper (Prime Minister, CPC):



Mr. Speaker, the leader of the NDP says that he would revoke the hard-earned right of Canadian investors to be protected in a marketplace like China. That is precisely why Canadian investors, the Canadian business community and the Canadian public at large do not trust the NDP with economic policy.

We know that China is an important market. We know that Canadians need investment protection there. This agreement does that and we are very pleased to be moving it forward.



[\[Table of Contents\]](#)

Hon. Thomas Mulcair (Leader of the Opposition, NDP):



Mr. Speaker, the New Democrats support trade. We just do not support selling out Canada.

[Translation]

This agreement with China will have a major impact on matters like the Nexen deal. I would remind the [Prime Minister](#) that the deadline is only 11 days away and that the criteria used to make a decision were never made public. This agreement with China raises many concerns. The arbitration process will be kept secret. Canadian investors will not have reciprocal access to Chinese markets.

It leaves the door wide open to lawsuits, and Canadians will pay the price. So why not consult them?



[\(1425\)](#)



[\[Table of Contents\]](#)

[Right Hon. Stephen Harper \(Prime Minister, CPC\):](#)



Mr. Speaker, that is completely false. For the first time, Canadian investors will have protection in China. That is why the business community is nearly 100% in favour of this agreement. We are committed to pursuing this objective.

[English]

The NDP says that it is for trade but it has opposed trade with anybody. The NDP even said that it was a sellout for Canada to have a free trade agreement with the United States. That kind of extremism on trade is why Canadians will never entrust economic policy to the NDP.

* * *

[Translation]

Out of Scope

Page 23 redacted for the following reason:

Out of Scope

Out of Scope

From: MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX

Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2012 1:56 PM
To: Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Subject: Trade Update

Hey Athana-

Did you want me to do anything further on our trade negotiations and communications planning? It doesn't look like anything will be happening imminently.

s.13

s.13

What do you think?

Quick Q

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:36 PM

Subject	Quick Q
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX
Sent	Friday, November 2, 2012 10:21 AM

The PM said this in the House yesterday:

[Right Hon. Stephen Harper \(Prime Minister, CPC\):](#)



Mr. Speaker, the government will make its decision on these matters when it has all the information necessary to evaluate what is in the net benefit of this country. That is the government's policy.

In terms of the Investment Canada Act, the government has already made several changes to bring in additional criteria on national security, **to bring in additional criteria on state-owned enterprises, and to improve transparency.**

The government will continue going forward in the changing investment environment in which we live. The government will continue to evaluate foreign investments and assess whether or not they are in the best interests of this country.

Do you know which and what additional criteria on SOEs he is referring to and when they were brought in?

Cheers,

Sara

RE:

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:38 PM

Subject	RE:
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX; Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Tuesday, November 6, 2012 1:28 PM

I hadn't seen it, thanks. But the below story is all the more troubling for BC in particular. Now what most people don't understand that as Canada and China are both WTO members, China could do something similar already. I am not concerned about this type of subsidy but anything that would be seen as industry specific incentive China could file and I suspect after CNOOC that will be aggressive. http://www.upi.com/Business_News/Energy-Resources/2012/11/06/China-files-solar-WTO-complaint/UPI-29221352223030/

Not Responsive

Moody's agrees with me

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:38 PM

Subject	Moody's agrees with me
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Dean, Sharon GCPE:EX
Sent	Tuesday, November 6, 2012 2:15 PM

- <http://business.financialpost.com/2012/11/06/harper-to-approve-cnooc-petronas-deals-after-revising-rules-moodys/>

Feds

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:39 PM

Subject	Feds
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX

To	Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX; Gleeson, Kelly T GCPE:EX
Sent	Tuesday, November 6, 2012 2:29 PM

Hey Guys-

Have the feds given any indication when they expect to conclude their review of the TWPs for the long wall mine and if they will put out a release and have someone speak to it?

RE: Canada China FIPA

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:43 PM

Subject	RE: Canada China FIPA
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX; Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX
Sent	Thursday, November 8, 2012 1:37 PM

Never mind I figured out the 31 year thing, after 15 years either party can terminate with one year's notice. However for any investments made during the 15 years while the agreement was in force, the provisions shall remain in place for an additional 15 years.

From: MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX

Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2012 1:07 PM

To: Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX

Subject: Canada China FIPA

Hey- Have you read or has anyone in your shop become intimately familiar with this agreement? I read it and compared it to the Kuwait FIPA (one of the most recent) and they are very similar except the dispute resolution mechanisms. But one thing I didn't find was this claim that it will be in force for 31 years. The agreement as I read it is in force for 15 years and at the point and time either party can terminate. I don't know where this 31 year time frame is coming from. Also, in terms of the substantive differences with other FIPAs and dispute mechanisms I have concluded that other FIPAs use the standard UN Arbitration rules UNCITRAL or the ICSID from IMF. They are pretty well known and is a body of case law, the only difference for the Canada China is that they did not stipulate that they would use these arbitration rules and instead it would be determine by the 3 arbitrators. Have we had a lawyer look it over?

Cheers,

S

China-Canada FIPA, CNOOC, and CETA

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:45 PM

Subject	China-Canada FIPA, CNOOC, and CETA
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Cc	Gleeson, Kelly T GCPE:EX; Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX
Sent	Friday, November 9, 2012 4:09 PM
Attachments	Trade, China and FTA Communications Advice SM v2.docx

Hi there,

Sorry this first project has taken me so long but I think you will find that I did do an extensive bit of research to make sure we are working with the facts when dealing with some of these very sensitive issues. I am not sure about the format as it is a confluence of somewhat related issues I tried to delineate when necessary and logical. Let me know if I am missing anything or if you would like me to flesh some more ideas out.

I will provide, under separate cover, a summary of Opposition statements and a media analysis.

I'm available to discuss at any time.

Cheers,
Sara

RE: South Korea

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:46 PM

Subject	RE: South Korea
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Lansdell, Hayden GCPE:EX; Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Friday, November 9, 2012 4:14 PM

Sure...I just sent you what I've been working on this past week. I can identify some key facts and figures we should be using.

Not Responsive

Pages 29 through 30 redacted for the following reasons:

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

RE: today

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:47 PM

Subject	RE: today
From	MacIntyre, Sara GCPE:EX
To	Mentzelopoulos, Athana GCPE:EX
Sent	Monday, November 12, 2012 9:33 AM

Did you want to keep the topics as BC Jobs Plan, Families First and Open Government? Or do you want to re-orient it towards job creation and family affordability. Yes, s.22 thanks!

Not Responsive

RE: Meeting on COF

Wednesday, November 21, 2012

1:48 PM

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

Not Responsive

Request

Wednesday, November 21, 2012
1:49 PM

Not Responsive

Pages 34 through 37 redacted for the following reasons:

s.13

s.13

A media summary and analysis will follow under separate cover.

Media and Opposition Scan Summary

s.13

Federal NDP

Out of Scope

Pages 40 through 49 redacted for the following reasons:

Out of Scope