

August 22, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On May 25, 2011, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition. You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act and that the approved screening device (the "ASD") registered a warn or a fail.

I must revoke your driving prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any vehicle impoundment if I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1), or that the ASD did not register a warn or a fail.

Section 215.5(2) of the Act states that if I determine that you were prohibited from driving for a longer time period than the Act requires, I must substitute the correct prohibition, vary the monetary penalty for which you are liable under section 215.44(1), and vary or revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me, including the peace officer's report.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office indicate that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you.

Issues

There are two issues in this review:

1. Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
2. Did the ASD register a "FAIL"?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

In the Report to Superintendent for the IRP, the investigating officer indicated 'ROADBLOCK' to establish the time of driving. He recorded the date and time of driving/care or control as May 25, 2011, at 0053 hours.

There is no evidence before me to contradict the officer's evidence. Based on the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act on May 25, 2011, at 0053 hours.

Did the ASD register a "FAIL" or a "WARN"?

Although the officer stated that you provided a fail result on an ASD 069348 on May 25, 2011, at 0109 hours, the calibration expiry date was December 22, 2011, several months in the future. As such, I am not satisfied that an ASD registered a "FAIL" on May 25, 2011, at 0109 hours.

Decision

I am not satisfied that an ASD registered a 'FAIL' on May 25, 2011, at 0109 hours.

I therefore revoke your driving prohibition and monetary penalty, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving once you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia.

AUGUST 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No

s.22

Introduction

On July 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 100 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“100 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon confirmed that she had received all of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation. Your lawyer provided written material, in addition to making submissions at the oral hearing on July 25, 2013.

Your lawyer made an initial argument referencing the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* (the “Charter”), arguing that to admit or rely on the ASD test results amounts to a violation of your Charter rights. The results of roadside screening devices are not to be utilized as evidence to incriminate drivers. Without the right to counsel, an ASD can only be used as an

investigative tool to confirm or reject the police officer's suspicion that a driver might be impaired. I have no authority under the Act to consider whether or not being issued an IRP based on an ASD "FAIL" result is a contravention of your *Charter* rights. Section 215.41 of the Act allows police to rely on ASD results to issue driving prohibitions. My review is authorized under, and limited to the grounds set out in, Section 215.5 of the Act I have conducted this review accordingly.

Ms. Leamon also cited and referred to the principles of fundamental justice and procedural fairness set out in *Spencer v. British Columbia*, which I am mindful of, and have applied, in this review.

For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances

Issues

The issues considered in this review are:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL"?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 100 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue which is determinative of this review.

Was your BAC less than 100 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

I find it more likely than not that the ASD registered a "FAIL" due to alcohol in your mouth and not alcohol in your blood.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an

appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Sarah Leamon (by fax)
(604) 370-2505

August 16, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 2, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to your lawyer in this hearing is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Act*?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and AnalysisDid you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand, and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

I do not find the evidence before me to be sufficient to establish that you failed to comply with the ASD demand.

I am satisfied that you did not fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 25, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the ASDs were reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

AUGUST 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 80 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me prior to the scheduled hearing. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The issues considered in this review are:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL"?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

My decision on one issue is determinative of this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee (by fax)
(604) 685-8308

August 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On August 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Some of the grounds on which you applied for this review are not applicable to your situation because the officer alleged that you were being prohibited from driving because an ASD test resulted in a “fail”. Therefore, I will consider all of the grounds available to you in this review that are appropriate to those circumstances.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the second ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
By Fax 604-685-8308

August 15, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 15, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On July 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 13, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Darren Kautz
Fax: 250-542-7273

August 27, 2013

s.22

AMMENDED

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No s.22

Introduction

On August 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court's decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the "Superintendent's Report on Approved Screening Devices" which may have been disclosed to you is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

In your submission you state that your driver's licence is quoted incorrectly on some of your paperwork. I have observed this error; however, I do not find it to be fatal to the officer's evidence. I am satisfied that the evidence provided pertains to you.

Please note that the original letter sent on August 22, 2013 incorrectly indicated that your prohibition was confirmed. Please find the amended language below.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me I do find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that the ASD was properly calibrated.

I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further evidence in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date your vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition ("IRP") No. s.22

Introduction

On August 2, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device ("ASD"); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court's decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the "Superintendent's Report on Approved Screening Devices" which may have been disclosed to you in this hearing is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

You applied on the ground that: "The ASD registered a WARN, but my blood alcohol content was less than 0.05 (50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood)." However, this ground is not applicable to your situation because on the Notice the investigating officer alleged you were being prohibited from driving because you failed or REFUSED, without a reasonable excuse, to

comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device.

In your IRP Application for Review, you indicate two grounds for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

I am not satisfied that you failed or refused to comply with the ASD demand.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 9, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

Based on the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you did not fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Richard Neary
Fax: 250-381-9702

AUGUST 14, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 31, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

I confirm receipt of the cases referred to by Mr. Marion during your hearing, being *Helgesen v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* 2002 BCSC 1391, and *Grant v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* 2012 BCSC 1536.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

Cc: Douglas Marion
By Fax 250 287-7361

August 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 11, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Some of the grounds on which you applied for this review are not applicable to your situation because the officer alleged that you were being prohibited from driving because an ASD test resulted in a “fail”. Therefore, I will consider all of the grounds available to you in this review that are appropriate to those circumstances.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Lolita Rudovica. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the second ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Lolita Rudovica
by fax 604-581-2017

August 26, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No s.22

Introduction

On August 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

After considering all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: John Hope
By Fax 1-250-785-2555

August 27, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 17, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 27, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

AUGUST 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On July 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

One of the grounds on which you applied for a review on the ground that you were not advised of your right to a second test on an approved screening device. That ground is not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply to your situation.

Issues

The following are the issues considered in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue which is determinative of this review.

Was a valid demand to provide a sample of your breath made on you?

I am not satisfied that a valid ASD demand was made on you. The officer who made the demand did not comply with the provisions of Section 215.41(3.1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including July 31, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 7, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On June 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing I confirmed that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Mitch Foster. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Records show that your vehicle was impounded and has since been released. Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release. **Original receipts and invoices** with proof of payment must be attached. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

AUGUST 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 28, 2013 a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 80 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jennifer Currie prior to the review date. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. Your lawyer provided written information on August 14, 2013. In reaching my decision in this written review, I have considered all of the relevant information available to me.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to you is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

Two of the grounds on which you applied for a review do not apply to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The issues considered in this review are:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL"?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue on which my decision is determinative of this review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

There is credible evidence before me that you consumed alcohol after you were driving. I am not satisfied that the ASD results accurately reflected your BAC at the time of driving.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle

August 22, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition No. s.22

Introduction

On June 16, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the "Notice"). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device ("ASD"); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that your lawyer, Phillip Riddell, received full disclosure of all of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

If so, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Based on the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you had a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to provide a breath sample.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

The prohibition has been removed from your driving record and you may resume driving once you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. Records show that your vehicle was impounded and has since been released. Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be attached.** You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc. Philip Riddell
Fax 604-520-6035

AUGUST 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 23, 2013 a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Corporal Lescarbeau and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

In the Report to Superintendent, Corporal Lescarbeau indicated that you provided a breath sample into an ASD with serial number 079129. However, the officer did not provide any information relating to the calibration of this ASD, pursuant to section 215.47(e) of the Act. As a result, I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable. Having made this finding, I do not need to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

A refund of your IRP and renewal fees has been authorized and will be sent to you in approximately 6 - 8 weeks. If you have any outstanding fines or debts owing to the Province of British Columbia, your refund may be used to help offset those debts.

AUGUST 19, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Joven Narwal, confirmed that he had received all of the documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation. Your lawyer submitted written information and made submissions at the oral hearing on August 15, 2013 which I have considered in reaching my decision.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to you is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

You checked off all of the grounds for review provided on the Application for Review form. Some of those grounds do not apply to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The following are the issues considered in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue on which my decision is determinative in this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

I am not satisfied that you failed or refused to comply with an ASD demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including [the date of this letter/ the date your vehicle was eligible for release]. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Joven Narwal (by fax)
(604) 681-1117

August 16, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No.

s.22

Introduction

On August 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Greg Cranston. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to you in this hearing is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

IRP Review Decision
Page 2

You applied on the ground that: “I did not refuse or fail to comply with the officer’s demand to provide a breath sample.” However, this ground is not applicable to your situation because on the Notice the investigating officer alleged you were being prohibited from driving because an ASD test resulted in a “FAIL”.

In your IRP Application for Review, you indicate five grounds for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be thoroughly considered in this review.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASDs register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Were the ASDs reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

I am not satisfied that you were advised of your right to a second analysis.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Greg Cranston (by fax)
604-608-5588

AUGUST 6, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On July 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Lolita Rudovica. I proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Barrie and your lawyer's submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the second analysis provided by the officer?

Based on all the evidence before me, I am satisfied that the second analysis was not provided by the officer. Having made this finding, I do not have to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 6, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 16, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On October 4, 2012, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

As the Report to Superintendent in your case has not been sworn or solemnly affirmed, I cannot consider it.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. A refund of your \$500 IRP penalty, \$250 reinstatement fee and \$31 renewal fee has been authorized and will be sent in approximately six to eight weeks. However, the refund may be used to offset any outstanding fines or debts owed to the Province of British Columbia.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs from October 4, 2012 up to and including November 6, 2012. Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be attached. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you. You may send your receipts and invoices to the address on page one of this letter.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
Fax: 604-685-8308

AUGUST 15, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 1, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 80 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee, prior to the review date. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. Your lawyer submitted written information on August 13, 2013. In reaching my decision in this written review, I have considered all of the relevant information available to me.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to you is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The issues considered in this review are:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL"?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

My decision on one issue is determinative of this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41 of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Records show that your vehicle was impounded and has since been released. Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date the vehicle was eligible for release. Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be attached. You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you.

August 22, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 7, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing, your lawyer confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

Was the ASD reliable?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied the second ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Jack Harris
By Fax 604-859-1375

August 22, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No

s.22

Introduction

On August 4, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

August 1, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No

s.22

Introduction

On July 14, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "WARN", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was the ASD reliable?

In the Report to Superintendent (the "Report"), the officer indicated that you provided a breath sample into an ASD with serial number 086043. The officer provided a Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator (the "Certificate") for the ASD. In reviewing the Certificate I note that the calibration expiry date is 2013-06-19, or June 19, 2013. Given that you provided your sample of breath on July 14, 2013, 25 days after the ASD's calibration expired, I cannot be satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
Fax: 604-685-8308

August 29, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was the ASD reliable?

In the Report to Superintendent (the “Report”), the officer indicated that you provided your first breath sample into an ASD with serial number 040226. The officer provided a Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator (the “Certificate”) for the ASD. In reviewing the Certificate I note that the calibration expiry date is 2013-08-09, or August 9, 2013. Given that you provided your sample of breath on August 10, 2013, 1 day after the ASD’s calibration expired, I cannot be satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 29, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee, Acumen Law Corporation
Fax: 604-685-8308

AUGUST 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On July 22, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you prior to the review date. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. You submitted written information on July 31, 2013. In reaching my decision in this written review, I have considered all of the relevant information available to me.

For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The following are the issues considered in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue which is determinative of this review.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand.

I am not satisfied that a valid ASD demand was made on you.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 27, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 7, 2013 a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, P. James Butler. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In your IRP Application for Review, you indicate one ground for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

In his written submission, Mr. Butler references two cases: *Taylor v. SMV*, 2004 BCCA 641 and *Buhr v. SMV*, 2013 BCSC 1443. These cases were not disclosed at the time of the review. I am satisfied that Mr. Butler listed these cases in support of his argument that I must assess the evidence, with the onus being on the investigating officer, to justify the driving prohibition on a balance of probabilities; not to prove specific facts of your case.

I note that Mr. Butler disclosed multiple sections of the Act that reference a driver. I am familiar with the Act and have considered it in reviewing the facts of your case and in making my decision.

Mr. Butler disclosed three past decisions from this office. Based upon the judge's ruling in *Modhgill v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, adjudicators are obliged to consider the facts of past decisions and distinguish them from the facts in the case before them. However, adjudicators are not bound to follow earlier cases and related decisions when reaching their decision on a case before them. I have reviewed your prohibition based on the specific facts of your case.

Mr. Butler provided two cases: *R. v. Sedore* and *R. v. Kawai*. I have read these cases, but note that they are criminal cases and do not address the law under which you were prohibited from driving. Criminal cases are illustrative not determinative of an administrative review. I have reviewed your prohibition based on the specific facts of your case.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASDs register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Were the ASDs reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: P. James Butler (by fax)
604-739-9888

August 9, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 28, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a number of grounds that are not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, William Jessop. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the second analysis performed on a different ASD?

In the Report, the officer indicates that both ASD analyses were conducted using the same ASD.

I am satisfied that the second analysis was not performed on a different ASD.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: William Jessop
fax: 604 590-5626

August 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On July 29, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the review, I confirmed that you had received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with my review based on this confirmation.

The investigating officer did not provide a Report To Superintendent, as required under the Act. Consequently, I cannot proceed with the review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 13, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

AUGUST 14, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On July 26, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 80 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jeremy Carr prior to the review date. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation. Your lawyer provided written submissions on August 12, 2013. In reaching my decision in this written review, I have considered all of the relevant information available to me.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to you is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

You checked off all of the grounds for review provided on the Application for Review form. Some of those grounds do not apply to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The issues considered in this review are:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a "FAIL"?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

My decision on one issue is determinative of this review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a "FAIL"?

I am not satisfied that your BAC was over 80 mg% even though an ASD registered a "FAIL."

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 14, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

AUGUST 28, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Some of the grounds on which you applied for this review are not applicable to your situation because the officer alleged that you were being prohibited from driving because you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an ASD. Therefore, I will consider all of the grounds available to you in this review that are appropriate to those circumstances.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Michael Klein. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

In the Report to Superintendent, the peace officer did not record whether an ASD demand was made or a time at which a demand was made. From the evidence before me, I am unable to determine whether a valid demand was made. As a result, I cannot determine whether you failed or refused to comply with a demand. Having made that finding, I need not consider any other issues in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 27, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc Michael Klein
by fax 604-687-4299

AUGUST 15, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Underhill and your lawyer’s submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the officer’s evidence, I am not satisfied that the second ASD was reliable. Having made this finding, I do not have to consider other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 15, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 7, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

August 1, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 14, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing you confirmed that you received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that the ASD result was reliable. Consequently, I am satisfied that your BAC was less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 1, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 7, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 29, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing

I find that the Report to Superintendent is not in the form established by the Superintendent pursuant to Section 215.47(d) of the Act and as such it is not properly before me.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

August 8, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No.

s.22

Introduction

On July 18, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a number of grounds that are not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Kyla Lee, confirmed that she had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Was the ASD reliable?

Based on the evidence before me, I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to show that the result of the ASD is reliable.

I am satisfied that the ASD was not reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not find I need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

AUGUST 22, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 4, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

I find that, on a review of all of the evidence, the Report to Superintendent is not properly before me.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Philip Riddell
By Fax 604-520-6035

August 1, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

In reviewing the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to support the allegation set out in the Notice. Consequently, I cannot uphold this prohibition.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 1, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
Fax: 604-685-8308

AUGUST 12, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 21, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

When you applied for this review, you checked six grounds listed on the application form; however, not all of these grounds are applicable to your situation, because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all grounds that apply in your case.

At the beginning of the hearing, you confirmed that you had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Corporal Lescarbeau and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Did you have a reasonable excuse?

Based on the specific set of circumstances you described in this case, I am satisfied that you had a reasonable excuse to fail or refuse to comply with the ASD demand. Having made this finding, I do not have to consider any other issues.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 12, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

AUGUST 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 12, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device (“ASD”); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Joseph Saulnier. I confirm receipt of Mr. Saulnier’s letter dated August 19, 2013, together with attachments totaling 42 pages. I have proceeded with this review based on the above.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?

There are two matters for me to determine in this issue. I must determine whether the peace officer made a valid demand, and whether you failed or refused to comply with that demand.

I do not find the evidence before me to be sufficient to establish that you failed to comply with the ASD demand.

Decision

As a result of my findings I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

CC Joseph Saulnier
By fax 604-687-6298

AUGUST 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 4, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition. I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 80 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Sarah Leamon, confirmed that she had received all of the documents before me prior to the scheduled hearing. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation. Your lawyer provided written information to me prior to the hearing and made submission at the oral hearing conducted August 20, 2013. I have considered all of this when reaching my decision in this review.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to the applicant is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

Your lawyer made preliminary submissions referencing the case of *Regina v Brigitte Schultz*

2009 BCSC 1521 and arguing that the results of an ASD test cannot be used to incriminate a driver or to form the basis of the prohibition but only as a tool in the investigation process. I acknowledge these submissions. The scope of this review is limited to the grounds defined in the Act which does not grant me jurisdiction to resolve constitutional issues or to apply *Charter* remedies. The *Schultz decision* predates the amendments to the Act proclaimed on June 15, 2012. Section 215.41 of the Act permits the police to rely on ASD results to issue a driving prohibition such as yours.

Ms. Leamon also referenced the case of *Spencer v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)* requesting that I pay particular attention to the principles of fairness and fundamental justice in this hearing. I am aware of, and applied, these principals in reaching my decision.

For your benefit in this review I have considered all of the grounds which apply in your circumstances.

Issues

The issues considered in this review are:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?
- Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASD registered a “FAIL”?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue on which my decision determines of this review.

Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

I am not satisfied that you were advised of your right to a second analysis.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any licensing requirements or other prohibitions from driving.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for

s.22

IRP s.22 Review Decision
Page 3

any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

cc: Sarah Leamon (by fax)

August 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 19, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Michael Mulligan. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

In reviewing the evidence before me, I note that the Notice of Driving Prohibition states that you are prohibited from driving because a sample of your breath on an ASD registered a "FAIL" and your ability to drive was affected by alcohol. There is no evidence before me to support this allegation. Consequently, I cannot uphold this prohibition.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 2, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Michael Mulligan
250-480-0004

August 23, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No s.22

Introduction

On August 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied for this review on four grounds, one of which was not applicable to your situation because of the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all of the grounds available to you.

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was the second analysis performed on a different ASD?

Having considered all of the evidence before me, I am satisfied that the second analysis was not performed on a different ASD.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 23, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15

Adjudicator

August 28, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”)

s.22

Introduction

On August 12, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Based on the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act. Please note that the corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. The owner of the vehicle will be notified by separate letter that I am releasing the vehicle.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Jennifer Currie
Fax: 604-590-5626

August 22, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 4, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Jennifer Currie. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

There is one issue that is determinative of this hearing.

After considering all of the evidence before me, I am not satisfied that you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Jennifer Currie
By Fax 604-590-5626

August 1, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 12, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the oral review, I confirmed with you that had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed all of the evidence before me, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

After reviewing all of the evidence before me, I note that you were served this IRP because a sample of your breath registered a “FAIL” on an ASD. The officer has indicated in his evidence that he administered an ASD test using ASD serial number 101401. However, I have not been provided with any information relating to the calibration of the ASD serial number 101401.

Consequently, I cannot be satisfied ASD serial number 101401 was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act. You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 1, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

August 2, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 18, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that you were a driver or in care or control of a motor vehicle at a relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41, of the Act.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further evidence in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator

cc: Kyla Lee
fax: 604 685-8308

August 8, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 20, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the review, I checked that you had received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with my review based on this confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Were the ASDs reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of my decision in this review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASDs registered a “FAIL”?

The Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices (ASDs) (the “Superintendent’s Report”), indicates that breath samples are taken at least 15 minutes after the last drink was consumed to allow for elimination of mouth alcohol.

Having reviewed the evidence before me, because of the possibility of a falsely elevated result from mouth alcohol prior to the ASD test, I am not satisfied that your BAC was at least 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver’s licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver’s Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 8, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 7, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 27, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office indicate that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Andrew Tam. I have proceeded with my review based on this confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Were the ASDs reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of my decision in this review.

Was your BAC less than 80 mg% even though the ASDs registered a "FAIL"?

The Superintendent's Report on Approved Screening Devices (ASDs) (the "Superintendent's Report"), indicates that breath samples are taken at least 15 minutes after the last drink was consumed to allow for elimination of mouth alcohol.

Having reviewed the evidence before me, because of the possibility of a falsely elevated result from mouth alcohol prior to the ASD test, I am not satisfied that your BAC was at least 80 mg%.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 7, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 20, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No

s.22

Introduction

On August 2, 2013 a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court’s decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the “Superintendent’s Report on Approved Screening Devices” which may have been disclosed to you in this hearing is not

admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

In your IRP Application for Review, you indicate one ground for review. For your benefit, all grounds for review that apply to your case will be considered in this review.

You applied on the ground that: “I did not refuse or fail to comply with the officer’s demand to provide a breath sample.” However, this ground is not applicable to your situation because on the Notice the investigating officer alleged you were being prohibited from driving because an ASD test resulted in a “FAIL”.

You indicate that you are, “begging for [my] understanding and compassion... [you] are willing to go and do anything that [I] feel fit for [you] to do.” I do not have delegated authority to shorten or alter the terms of a 90-day IRP.

You submit that after being issued the Notice and explaining to the officer that you were very
 been arrested that the officer was, ^{s.22} because you had ^{s.22}
^{s.22} Any complaints you may have
 regarding officer conduct, during the investigation, must be made to the appropriate police authority.

You submit that you are the ^{s.22}

^{s.22}

^{s.22} I can appreciate that a 90-day driving prohibition can have far reaching effects. However, under the Act, I am not able to consider hardship including personal circumstances, employment or transportation needs. The scope of this review is limited to the grounds as defined in the Act.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence, I find there is one issue that is determinative in this review.

Was the ASD reliable?

I am not satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Having made this finding, I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 16, 2013

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 3, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

You applied on a number of grounds that are not applicable to your review due to the reason for which you were prohibited. For your benefit, I have considered all the grounds available to you.

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

In accordance with the BC Supreme Court's decision in *Buhr v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles)*, 2013 BCSC 1443, the "Superintendent's Report on Approved Screening Devices" which may have been disclosed to your lawyer in this hearing is not admissible in this review hearing and, accordingly, I have not relied upon that report in making my decision.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Was the second analysis provided by the officer?

I do not have any evidence before me to indicate that the ASD used for the second analysis was functioning correctly.

I am satisfied that the second analysis was not provided by the officer.

Having made this finding, I do not need to consider any further grounds in this review.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 10, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the Act) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act;
- the approved screening device (ASD) registered a “fail” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Jack Harris, confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “fail”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?

After considering the evidence before me, I am not satisfied you were advised of your right to a second analysis.

Having made this finding I do not have to consider anything further.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 30, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

s.15
Adjudicator

cc: Jack Harris
by fax 604-859-1375

AUGUST 8, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No s.22

Introduction

On September 20, 2012, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- you failed or refused to comply with a demand made under the *Criminal Code* to provide a sample of breath for analysis by means of an approved screening device ("ASD"); and
- you did not have a reasonable excuse for failing or refusing to comply with a demand.

Section 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did you fail or refuse to comply with an ASD demand?
- If you failed or refused to comply with the demand, did you have a reasonable excuse?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As there is only one issue that is determinative in this review, I have only addressed that issue.

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?

Having carefully considered the evidence before me, I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41, of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

A refund of the IRP penalty and the driver's licence reinstatement fees that you paid in April, 2013, has been authorized and will be sent to you in approximately 6 – 8 weeks. If you have any outstanding fines or debts owed to the province of British Columbia, your refund may be used to help offset those debts.

August 1, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 13, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (Notice). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the "Act") requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- an approved screening device (ASD) registered a "FAIL" as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (BAC) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (80 mg%);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the hearing your lawyer, Ian Donaldson Q.C. confirmed that he had received all of the disclosure documents before me. I have proceeded with the review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act*?
- Did an ASD register a "FAIL", and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

Having reviewed the evidence before me I do not find that I have sufficient evidence to indicate that you were the driver or in care or control of a motor vehicle at a relevant time.

I am satisfied that you were not a driver within the meaning of section 215.41, of the Act.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by section 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of your vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including the date of this letter. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 30, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 11, 2013 a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”)
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to you. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

Having reviewed the evidence of Constable Amoako and your submissions, I find there is one determinative issue in this review.

Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed with a different ASD?

I find that you requested but were not provided with a second analysis.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

A refund of your licence reinstatement and licence renewal fees has been authorized and will be sent to you in approximately 6 - 8 weeks. If you have any outstanding fines or debts owing to the Province of British Columbia, your refund may be used to help offset those debts.

The corresponding vehicle impoundment is also revoked. Records show that your vehicle was impounded and has since been released. Upon receipt of your proof of payment, the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 19, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. **Original receipts and invoices with proof of payment must be attached.** You must also enclose a copy of this letter to ensure the correct charges are refunded to you. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 13, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On July 23, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the approved screening device (“ASD”) registered a “FAIL” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 80 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“80 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different ASD;
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

At the beginning of the review, I confirmed that you had received full disclosure of the documents before me. I have proceeded with my review based on this confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?

- Did the ASD register a “FAIL”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 80 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Were the ASDs reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

There is one issue that is determinative of my decision in this review.

Were the ASDs reliable?

The officer did not provide a Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator for ASD Serial Number 038140. As a result, I cannot be satisfied that ASD 038140 was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence.

Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

If you have not already done so, you may go directly to the location where your vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 13, 2013. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date. You should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

August 27, 2013

s.22

REVIEW DECISION Immediate Roadside Prohibition (“IRP”) No. s.22

Introduction

On August 11, 2013, a peace officer served you with a Notice of Driving Prohibition (the “Notice”). You applied to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles for a review of your driving prohibition and I am delegated the authority to conduct this review.

Section 215.5(1) of the *Motor Vehicle Act* (the “Act”) requires me to confirm your prohibition, along with the corresponding monetary penalty and vehicle impoundment, if I am satisfied that:

- you were a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1);
- the ASD registered a “WARN” as a result of your blood alcohol concentration (“BAC”) being not less than 50 milligrams of alcohol in 100 millilitres of blood (“50 mg%”);
- you were advised of your right to request a second analysis;
- if requested, it was provided and performed with a different approved screening device (“ASD”);
- the Notice was served on the basis of the lower analysis result; and,
- the result of the analysis on the basis of which the Notice was served was reliable.

Section 215.5(4) of the Act requires me to revoke your prohibition, cancel the monetary penalty, and revoke any corresponding vehicle impoundment if I am not satisfied of any of the above.

In reaching my decision on this review, I must consider all relevant information provided to me.

Preliminary Matters

Records at this office confirm that full disclosure of the documents before me was provided to your lawyer, Kyla Lee. I have proceeded with this review based on that confirmation.

Issues

The following are the issues in this review:

- Were you a driver within the meaning of section 215.41(1) of the Act?
- Did the ASD register a “WARN”, and was it as a result of your BAC exceeding 50 mg%?
- Were you advised of your right to a second analysis?
- Was the second analysis provided by the officer and performed using a different ASD?
- Was the Notice served on the basis of the lower analysis result?
- Was the ASD reliable?

Facts, Evidence and Analysis

As it is determinative of this review, I will only address the following ground:

Was the ASD reliable?

In the Report to Superintendent (the "Report"), the officer indicated that you provided a breath sample into an ASD with serial number 101692. The officer provided a Certificate of a Qualified ASD Calibrator (the "Certificate") for the ASD. In reviewing the Certificate I note that the calibration expiry date is 2013-08-07. Given that you provided your sample of breath on August 11, 2013, I cannot be satisfied that the ASD was reliable.

Decision

As a result of my findings, I revoke your driving prohibition, monetary penalty, and vehicle impoundment, as required by s. 215.5(4) of the Act.

You may resume driving after you have obtained a driver's licence from the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. If you hold an Enhanced Driver's Licence, you must make an appointment to reapply for that licence. Please note that this decision does not change any other prohibitions from driving or licensing requirements.

You may go directly to the location where the vehicle is impounded for the immediate release of the vehicle. The Superintendent of Motor Vehicles will pay towing and storage costs up to and including August 27, 2013, the date the vehicle was eligible for release. You are responsible for any storage costs beyond that date, and you should know that if the vehicle is not reclaimed, the impound lot may apply to the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles to dispose of the vehicle.

Adjudicator s.15

cc: Kyla Lee
Fax: 604-685-8308