

**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS. Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

---

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca) [<mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca>]  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Sturdy, MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.



I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is 9.22



Cheers

Jonathan



**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX on behalf of Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:28 PM  
**To:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX; Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Carr, Michelle EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX; Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Woodfibre

In Kevin's absence, I'm looping Michelle in on this email as she is acting for him. Would 3pm work for you?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS. Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,



S

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca) [<mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca>]  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:05 AM  
**To:** Sturdy.MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.



If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is s.22

Cheers

Jonathan



**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Carr, Michelle EAO:EX; Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX; Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

Yes pls. Thanks!

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:28 PM, Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX <[Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

In Kevin's absence, I'm looping Michelle in on this email as she is acting for him. Would 3pm work for you?

Kind regards,  
S,

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS.  
Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.



I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
[\[mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca\]](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Sturdy.MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the



construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is 5.22

Cheers

Jonathan



**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Carr, Michelle EAO:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX; Neilson, Kirsten EAO:EX; Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

Hi Scott.

Let's both take this call this aft. There is a long history to the note below. Chrystal can you pls reach out to Martina's office to set this up? Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:20 PM, Bailey, Scott EAO:EX <[Scott.Bailey@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Scott.Bailey@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS.  
Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.



I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
[\[mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca\]](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Sturdy.MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the



construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon — before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is s.22

Cheers

Jonathan



**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX on behalf of Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:29 PM  
**To:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX; Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Carr, Michelle EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX; Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Woodfibre

In Kevin's absence, I'm looping Michelle in on this email as she is acting for him. Would 3pm work for you?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS. Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMP's office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,



From: Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca [mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca]  
 Sent: January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
 To: Sturdy.MLA, Jordan <Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca>  
 Subject: Woodfibre  
 Importance: High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.



If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is s.22

Cheers

Jonathan



## **Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX on behalf of Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:33 PM  
**To:** Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX  
**Subject:** FW: Woodfibre

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Carr, Michelle EAO:EX; Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX; Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

Yes pls. Thanks!

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:28 PM, Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX <[Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

In Kevin's absence, I'm looping Michelle in on this email as she is acting for him. Would 3pm work for you?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS.  
Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA



West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
[mailto:[Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)]  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Sturdy.MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the



meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is 5.22

Cheers

Jonathan



**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:34 PM  
**To:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Cc:** Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Woodfibre

Should they call your cell?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Carr, Michelle EAO:EX; Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX; Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

Yes pls. Thanks!

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:28 PM, Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX <[Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

In Kevin's absence, I'm looping Michelle in on this email as she is acting for him. Would 3pm work for you?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS.  
Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy



MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
[<mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca>]  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Sturdy, MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities.



Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is: 9.22

Cheers

Jonathan



## **Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:35 PM  
**To:** Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

That would be great Sab. Thx!

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:33 PM, Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX <[Sabrina.Loiacono@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Sabrina.Loiacono@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

Should they call your cell?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Carr, Michelle EAO:EX; Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX; Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

Yes pls. Thanks!

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:28 PM, Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX <[Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Kevin.Jardine@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

In Kevin's absence, I'm looping Michelle in on this email as she is acting for him. Would 3pm work for you?

Kind regards,  
S.

---

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS. Thanks. M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre



The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon  
<[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

From: [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
[mailto:[Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)]  
Sent: January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
To: Sturdy.MLA, Jordan  
<[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
Subject: Woodfibre  
Importance: High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions



with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally



tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is s.22

Cheers

Jonathan



**Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX**

---

**From:** Carr, Michelle EAO:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** Bailey, Scott EAO:EX; Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Fenton, Chrystal EAO:EX; Neilson, Kirsten EAO:EX; Loiacono, Sabrina EAO:EX  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

Hi Scott.

Let's both take this call this aft. There is a long history to the note below, Chrystal can you pls reach out to Martina's office to set this up? Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 3, 2017, at 1:20 PM, Bailey, Scott EAO:EX <[Scott.Bailey@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Scott.Bailey@gov.bc.ca)> wrote:

**From:** Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Jardine, Kevin EAO:EX; Bailey, Scott EAO:EX  
**Cc:** Shiff, Alexander ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Fwd: Woodfibre

Hi all. Can we discuss the following later today? Pls scroll down to see the message from the Fed PS.  
Thanks, M

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "XT:Sturdy, Jordan GCPE:IN" <[J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca](mailto:J.Sturdy@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Date:** January 3, 2017 at 12:28:12 PM PST  
**To:** "Thompson, Sharon LASS:EX" <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** "Kapac de Frias, Martina E ENV:EX" <[Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Martina.KapacdeFrias@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Woodfibre

The morning of the 27th in Squamish may work.  
Connect with Martina in MMPs office.

Jordan Sturdy  
MLA  
West Vancouver Sea to Sky  
1 778 984 2027

On Jan 3, 2017, at 10:19, Thompson, Sharon <[Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Sharon.Thompson@leg.bc.ca)> wrote:

It looks like the 25<sup>th</sup> works best for you unless the meeting is in Whistler on the 27<sup>th</sup>.



I am not sure what your plans are around the Growers Short Courses (can you enlighten me?) or the other things scheduled during those days.

Let me know if you call him and I will work with his office for further scheduling.

Thanks,

S

**From:** [Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)  
[mailto:[Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Jonathan.Wilkinson.P9@parl.gc.ca)]  
**Sent:** January 3, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Sturdy.MLA, Jordan <[Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Jordan.Sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Woodfibre  
**Importance:** High

Jordan

Happy New Year! I hope you had a nice break over the Christmas period.

I believe we are meeting tomorrow at my office regarding forest management. I did however want to try to get a response from you on another matter in advance of that.

As you know (and as we have discussed), BCEAO and CEAA have been working together to develop a process that will enable enhanced transparency for local communities and interested individuals with respect to the fulfillment of the various conditions that were imposed on the Woodfibre project at the time of project approval. Part of this transparency is to be achieved via a clear listing of the various conditions with designation as to which agency and which level of government is responsible. Part of it is to be enabled by having an on line tool that will show progress and status with regard to condition fulfillment. In the short term my understanding is that this evergreen tool will be hosted on the proponent's website and will be updated by the proponent.

One of the other mechanisms we had previously agreed upon was that you and I would convene a meeting of the affected municipalities. Initially this would be the Mayors + the proponent. The intent of the meeting would be to review project status and to provide a forum for these municipalities to raise questions or concerns. You and I would chair this initial meeting.

The intent would then be that this type of forum would continue to exist going forward and that it would meet – at least initially – on an ad hoc basis. This likely make the most sense until the project is in the



construction phase when regular meetings would likely then make more sense.

In terms of the structure of these future meetings, we could go one of two ways:

- Continue to have the two of us host meetings that involve the local Mayors, OR
- Determine that future meetings will be led by CEAA and BCEAO staff and ask the relevant municipalities to designate officials to attend and participate

My view would be that the second option makes the most sense, as it would ensure that you and I do not become bottlenecks and that those folks actually doing the work will have a forum to discuss relevant issues. You and I could always call a special meeting of elected officials at key points if we find that this makes sense.

I could use your feedback re these matters as well as dates as to when we could hold this initial meeting. I would like to convene this meeting soon – before I head back to Ottawa when the House goes back into session in late January. I think this actually works well in terms of project evolution as the proponent could then have an opportunity to explain the revisions I understand it plans to make with regard to the cooling system.

If we are to do this initial meeting in January, invitations would need to go out this week (ideally tomorrow). My office can develop a draft initiation and pass it by you today if that works for you.

The best date for me would be Friday Jan 27<sup>th</sup>. I could also likely do the afternoon of the 26<sup>th</sup> or the afternoon of the 25<sup>th</sup> if the 27<sup>th</sup> cannot work.

Can you send me a note or call me on my cell today to let me know your thoughts (my cell is 1-822

Cheers

Jonathan