Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: November 8, 2017 5:34 PM
To: McNish, James EMPR:EX; Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: Keep another dam out of the Peace Valley

FYI – campaign by the Yellowstone to Yukon Conservation Initiative. I let her know they will not be responded to centrally.

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

From: Bains.MLA, Harry
Sent: Wednesday, November 08, 2017 11:20 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: FW: Keep another dam out of the Peace Valley

Hi Susan,

I apologize if this has already been addressed. We have received about 200 of these messages. I believe they are likely sending to all MLAs as they are not our constituents. Will someone be responding to these emails centrally?

Thanks,

Amber Armstrong
Constituency Assistant to Hon. Harry Bains, MLA, Surrey-Newton
#102-7380 King George Blvd., Surrey, BC, V3W 5A5
P: (604) 597- 8248| E: amber.armstrong@leg.bc.ca

From: s.22 s.22
Sent: Wednesday, November 8, 2017 10:39 AM
To: Bains.MLA, Harry <Harry.Bains.MLA@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Keep another dam out of the Peace Valley

Today I'm writing your government because I'd like you to cancel the Site C dam.

While the economic arguments are also important to me, today I wish to remind you that the wildlife and environmental impacts from this dam are unprecedented in the history of environmental assessment in Canada. No other project even comes close.

The valley bottom ecosystem in the Peace is unique and irreplaceable. There is no way to mitigate or compensate for the impacts of Site C. Fish, birds, wetlands and rare plants would all be affected. The islands moose and deer need for calving would be drowned. Other species — such as fishers — that rely on valley-bottom habitat would simply lose their habitat. The few remaining fish would be contaminated with mercury.

Fish and wildlife are about food security, for First Nations in particular. Please do not allow this project to go through. There is too much to lose for a project that we don't even need. B.C. deserves better.

s.22

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: November 21, 2017 1:28 PM
To: Anderson, Dulcy LASS:EX
Subject: RE: New UBC report compares employment from Site C vs the alternatives: Stopping Site C will create a larger number of sustainable jobs in BC

Thanks for letting me know that she met with David Eby.

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Anderson, Dulcy
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 12:41 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: New UBC report compares employment from Site C vs the alternatives: Stopping Site C will create a larger number of sustainable jobs in BC

Just FYI, she is a constituent of ours (UBC) and has met and spoken with David recently.

From: Vasilev, Susan
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 11:25 AM
To: NDP Constituency Assistants <NDPConstituencyAssistants@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: New UBC report compares employment from Site C vs the alternatives: Stopping Site C will create a larger number of sustainable jobs in BC

The MAs in Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources now have this in their hands; you do not have to respond to it and please do not set up a meeting for your MLA.

Or you can respond and thank s.22 for writing and let her know that the materials and meeting request have been send to the MO.

Thanks.

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Farnworth.MLA, Mike
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 10:27 AM
To: NDP Constituency Assistants <NDPConstituencyAssistants@leg.bc.ca>; Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: FW: New UBC report compares employment from Site C vs the alternatives: Stopping Site C will create a larger number of sustainable jobs in BC

Seems our office wasn't the only popular one, that she wants to meet with many others. Have attached Susan to this list for her information.
Thanks everyone.
Gord

From: s.22
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 5:46 AM
To: Farnworth.MLA, Mike <Mike.Farnworth.MLA@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: New UBC report compares employment from Site C vs the alternatives: Stopping Site C will create a larger number of sustainable jobs in BC

Dear Mr Farnworth

s.22

s.22 reports on Site C, and our work was extensively quoted by the BCUC in its recent Site C Inquiry Report.

Our team has done a new report comparing employment from Site C versus the alternatives. Significantly more jobs will be generated by the alternative portfolios, many of those jobs in the Peace Region.

I would welcome a meeting with you in order to brief you on our findings. The key reason I am reaching out is because of the importance of making a fact-based decision on Site C.

Please find attached three documents (also available at: http://watergovernance.ca/projects/sitec/):

1. UBC Briefing Note: Comparative Employment Assessment of Site C versus Alternatives

2. UBC Spreadsheet Analysis: Comparative Employment Assessment of Site C versus Alternatives

3. UBC Critique of Allied Hydro Council "Response to BCUC Report on Site C"

Below is a brief summary.

A new UBC report compares employment numbers from Site C versus the alternatives, and concludes: stopping Site C will create a larger number of sustainable jobs in the province, including in the Peace Region.

UBC's Program on Water Governance has conducted a detailed comparison of employment generated by Site C versus the alternative portfolios put forward by BC Hydro and the BCUC.

· *Our analysis indicates that terminating Site C and pursuing the alternatives results in modest job losses in the short term, and substantial job gains in the medium and long-term.*

· *These sustainable jobs are generated by remediation, conservation, and alternative energy projects.*

· *Terminating Site C and pursuing either alternative portfolio creates a higher number of sustainable jobs in the province, including in the Peace Region.*

· *Site C provides the least jobs per dollar spent.*

Feel free to get in touch with any questions.

Best,

s.22

--

* * * * * * * * * *

s.22

BCUC Alternative Portfolio Mid-load Forecast Terminate Site C

Employment Summary - Supply-side Resources

Resources	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Construction Direct (p-years)
Geothermal - Canoe Reach	58	58	483	580
Geothermal - Lakelse Lake	23	23	191	309
Wind - PC18	138	36	524	182
Wind - PC14	144	37	570	226
Wind - PC20	159	41	594	251
TOTALS				

Mt. Cayley	50	50	394.2	500

Employment Summary - Site C Terminate (Remediate and Monitor)

Resource	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Remediation Direct (p-years)
Site C Terminate	0	0	0	2106
TOTALS				

Site C Continue	1132	1132	5286	9754

Supply-side resource notes:

BCUC alternative portfolio presented in BCUC A-24-2-1 (spreadsheet for medium load forecast p
All supply-side employment data quoted from 2013 IRP Appendix 3a-4 RODAT
Geothermal Canoe Reach employment based on capacity ratio of Mt. Cayley as reported in ROD
Geothermal and wind construction employment spread evenly over five years prior to operatior

Site C Terminate notes:

Two years to remediate site, then 10 years to monitor site from BC Hydro submission to Site C Ir
Costs to terminate and remediate estimated at $1.8 billion from BCUC Final Report F-23 p.128, b
Site C terminate employment related to remediation estimated as cost ratio of Site C constructi
Site C terminate employment related to monitoring presumed at same rate as Site C completior

DSM resource notes:

Employment from DSM programs of 35 person-years per $1 million spending (in $2010); Report

Employment from DSM in $2018 dollars in person years per $1 million = 30
BCUC Alternative portfolio DSM program spending from BCUC A-24-2-1 (spreadsheet for mediu
Employment from DSM capacity programs conservatively assumed to be zero

Construction Indirect (p-years)	Construction Induced (p-years)	Construction TOTAL (p-years)	Operations Direct (p-years/year)	Operations Indirect (p-years/year)	Operations Induced (p-years/year)
1738	484	2801	44	80	24
647	189	1145	19	31	10
1155	213	1550	20	22	10
1162	224	1612	22	24	11
1378	262	1891	25	28	13
		8999			

1498	417	2415	38	69	21

Remediation Indirect (p-years)	Remediation Induced (p-years)	Remediation TOTAL (p-years)	Monitoring Direct (p-years/year)	Monitoring Indirect (p-years/year)	Monitoring Induced (p-years/year)
6046	1403	9556	25	29	20
		9556			

27997	6497	44248	25	29	20

ɔortfolio)

ıAT
ıs

ıquiry F-1-1 p.71
ɔased on BC Hydro P90 estimate
ɔn employment (i.e. 1.8B/8.335B): 0.215956809
ı operations employment

for BC Hydro. 2010. Power Smart Employment Impacts, p.iv

p-years
m load forecast portfolio costs)

Employment Summary - DSM Resources

Operations TOTAL (p-years/year)
148
60
52
57
66
383

128

Monitoring TOTAL (p-years/year)
74
74

74

Fiscal Year	DSM Add'l Program Spending (2018$M)	Employment Intensity (p-years/$M)	DSM Employment (p-years)
2019	6	30	180
2020	77	30	2310
2021	91	30	2730
2022	104	30	3120
2023	106	30	3180
2024	108	30	3240
2025	114	30	3420
2026	123	30	3690
2027	122	30	3660
2028	97	30	2910
2029	87	30	2610
2030	83	30	2490
2031	82	30	2460
2032	84	30	2520
2033	73	30	2190
2034	58	30	1740
2035	49	30	1470
2036	59	30	1770
2037	59	30	1770
2038	62	30	1860
2039	75	30	2250
2040	77	30	2310
2041	78	30	2340
2042	79	30	2370
2043	81	30	2430
2044	82	30	2460
2045	83	30	2490
2046	81	30	2430
2047	80	30	2400
2048	80	30	2400
2049	80	30	2400
2050	80	30	2400
2051	80	30	2400

2052	80	30	2400
2053	80	30	2400
2054	80	30	2400
2055	80	30	2400
2056	80	30	2400
2057	80	30	2400
2058	80	30	2400
2059	80	30	2400
2060	80	30	2400
2061	80	30	2400
2062	80	30	2400
2063	80	30	2400
2064	80	30	2400
2065	80	30	2400
2066	80	30	2400
2067	80	30	2400
2068	80	30	2400
2069	80	30	2400
2070	80	30	2400
2071	80	30	2400
2072	80	30	2400
2073	80	30	2400
2074	80	30	2400
2075	80	30	2400
2076	80	30	2400
2077	80	30	2400
2078	80	30	2400
2079	80	30	2400
2080	80	30	2400
2081	80	30	2400
2082	80	30	2400
2083	80	30	2400
2084	80	30	2400
2085	80	30	2400
2086	80	30	2400
2087	80	30	2400
2088	80	30	2400
2089	80	30	2400
2090	80	30	2400
2091	80	30	2400
2092	80	30	2400

2093	80	30	2400
2094	80	30	2400
			2416

Employment Summary - All Resources (by year)

Fiscal Year	Site C Termination	DSM Programs	DSM Capacity and TOU
	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)
2019	4778	180	0
2020	4778	2310	0
2021	74	2730	0
2022	74	3120	0
2023	74	3180	0
2024	74	3240	0
2025	74	3420	0
2026	74	3690	0
2027	74	3660	0
2028	74	2910	0
2029	74	2610	0
2030	74	2490	0
2031	0	2460	0
2032	0	2520	0
2033	0	2190	0
2034	0	1740	0
2035	0	1470	0
2036	0	1770	0
2037	0	1770	0
2038	0	1860	0
2039	0	2250	0
2040	0	2310	0
2041	0	2340	0
2042	0	2370	0
2043	0	2430	0
2044	0	2460	0
2045	0	2490	0
2046	0	2430	0
2047	0	2400	0
2048	0	2400	0
2049	0	2400	0
2050	0	2400	0
2051	0	2400	0

2052	0	2400	0
2053	0	2400	0
2054	0	2400	0
2055	0	2400	0
2056	0	2400	0
2057	0	2400	0
2058	0	2400	0
2059	0	2400	0
2060	0	2400	0
2061	0	2400	0
2062	0	2400	0
2063	0	2400	0
2064	0	2400	0
2065	0	2400	0
2066	0	2400	0
2067	0	2400	0
2068	0	2400	0
2069	0	2400	0
2070	0	2400	0
2071	0	2400	0
2072	0	2400	0
2073	0	2400	0
2074	0	2400	0
2075	0	2400	0
2076	0	2400	0
2077	0	2400	0
2078	0	2400	0
2079	0	2400	0
2080	0	2400	0
2081	0	2400	0
2082	0	2400	0
2083	0	2400	0
2084	0	2400	0
2085	0	2400	0
2086	0	2400	0
2087	0	2400	0
2088	0	2400	0
2089	0	2400	0
2090	0	2400	0
2091	0	2400	0
2092	0	2400	0

2093	0	2400	0
2094	0	2400	0

Geothermal - Canoe Reach	Geothermal - Lakelse Lake	Wind - PC18	Wind - PC14	Wind - PC20
(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)
0	0	0	0	0
560	229	0	0	0
560	229	0	0	0
560	229	0	0	0
560	229	0	0	0
560	229	310	0	0
148	60	310	322	0
148	60	310	322	378
148	60	310	322	378
148	60	310	322	378
148	60	52	322	378
148	60	52	57	378
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66

148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66

148	60	52	57	66
148	60	52	57	66

BCUC Alternative Annual (p-years)
4958
7877
3593
3983
4043
4413
4335
4983
4953
4203
3645
3260
2843
2903
2573
2123
1853
2153
2153
2243
2633
2693
2723
2753
2813
2843
2873
2813
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783

2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783
2783

2783
2783

BC Hydro Alternative Portfolio Low-load Forecast Terminate Site C

Employment Summary - Supply-side Resources

Resources	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Construction Direct (p-years)
2017 Load Curtailment	85	85	0	0
Pumped Storage	666	666	-485	1455
Wind - PC18	138	36	524	182
Wind - PC14	144	37	570	226
Wind - PC20	159	41	594	251
Wind - PC48	150	39	538	202
Wind - NC09	333	87	1074	450
Wind - PC28	153	40	641	219
Wind - PC10	297	77	1119	389
Wind - PC13	135	35	577	245
TOTALS		1143	5152	

Employment Summary - Site C Terminate (Remediate and Monitor)

Resource	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Remediation Direct (p-years)
Site C Terminate	0	0	0	2106
TOTALS				

Site C Continue	1132	1132	5286	9754

Supply-side resource notes:

BC Hydro alternative presented in F-1-1, Appendix Q, p.8 of 28
All supply-side employment data quoted from 2013 IRP Appendix 3a-4 RODAT
Portfolio is adusted to create approximately the same 1132 MW and 5286 GWh/year as Site C
Pumped storage assumed to be Blinch - Stave in Lower Mainland, adjusted to 666 MW to keep
Pumped storage energy is negative as it is a net energy consumer; consumption based on 500 M
Pumped storage construction employment spread evenly over five years prior to operations
Revelstoke 6 omitted as this is a planned resource common to all portfolios

Site C Terminate notes:

Site C terminate employment related to remediation estimated as cost ratio of Site C constructi
Site C terminate employment related to monitoring presumed at same rate as Site C completio
Two years to remediate site, then 10 years to monitor site from BC Hydro submission to Site C I
Costs to terminate and remediate estimated at $1.8 billion from BCUC Final Report F-23 p.128,

DSM resource notes:
BC Hydro alternative portfolio does not include additional DSM resources

Construction Indirect (p-years)	Construction Induced (p-years)	Construction TOTAL (p-years)	Operations Direct (p-years/year)	Operations Indirect (p-years/year)	Operations Induced (p-years/year)
0	0	0	0	0	0
4097	957	6509	12	16	6
1155	213	1550	20	22	10
1162	224	1612	22	24	11
1378	262	1891	25	28	13
1277	236	1715	20	23	11
2800	519	3769	42	46	21
1210	230	1659	24	27	12
2303	431	3123	42	46	21
1137	225	1607	23	25	12
		23435			

Remediation Indirect (p-years)	Remediation Induced (p-years)	Remediation TOTAL (p-years)	Monitoring Direct (p-years/year)	Monitoring Indirect (p-years/year)	Monitoring Induced (p-years/year)
6046	1403	9556	25	29	20
		9556			

27997	6497	44248	25	29	20

portfolio capacity total near 1132 MW, with employment also adjusted accordingly
*M*W facility at Mica

0.215956809

Employment Summary - All resources (by year)

Operations TOTAL (p-years/year)
0
34
52
57
66
54
109
63
109
60
604

Monitoring TOTAL (p-years/year)
74
74

74

Fiscal Year (p-years)	Site C Remediation (p-years)	Pumped Storage (p-years)
2019	4778	0
2020	4778	0
2021	74	1302
2022	74	1302
2023	74	1302
2024	74	1302
2025	74	1302
2026	74	34
2027	74	34
2028	74	34
2029	74	34
2030	74	34
2031	0	34
2032	0	34
2033	0	34
2034	0	34
2035	0	34
2036	0	34
2037	0	34
2038	0	34
2039	0	34
2040	0	34
2041	0	34
2042	0	34
2043	0	34
2044	0	34
2045	0	34
2046	0	34
2047	0	34
2048	0	34
2049	0	34
2050	0	34
2051	0	34

2052	0	34
2053	0	34
2054	0	34
2055	0	34
2056	0	34
2057	0	34
2058	0	34
2059	0	34
2060	0	34
2061	0	34
2062	0	34
2063	0	34
2064	0	34
2065	0	34
2066	0	34
2067	0	34
2068	0	34
2069	0	34
2070	0	34
2071	0	34
2072	0	34
2073	0	34
2074	0	34
2075	0	34
2076	0	34
2077	0	34
2078	0	34
2079	0	34
2080	0	34
2081	0	34
2082	0	34
2083	0	34
2084	0	34
2085	0	34
2086	0	34
2087	0	34
2088	0	34
2089	0	34
2090	0	34
2091	0	34
2092	0	34

2093	0	34
2094	0	34

2017 Load Curtailment	Wind - PC18	Wind - PC14	Wind - PC20	Wind - PC48
(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	310	0	0	0
0	310	0	0	0
0	310	322	378	0
0	310	322	378	343
0	310	322	378	343
0	52	322	378	343
0	52	322	378	343
0	52	57	66	343
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54

0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54

0	52	57	66	54
0	52	57	66	54

Wind - NC09	Wind - PC28	Wind - PC10	Wind - PC13
(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
754	0	0	0
754	0	0	0
754	332	0	0
754	332	0	0
754	332	625	0
109	332	625	0
109	332	625	321
109	63	625	321
109	63	625	321
109	63	109	321
109	63	109	321
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60

109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60

109	63	109	60
109	63	109	60

BCH Alternative Annual (p-years)
4778
4778
1376
1376
1376
1376
1376
108
108
108
108
418
344
1045
1388
2141
1883
2215
1638
1973
1328
1650
1381
1381
865
865
604
604
604
604
604
604
604

604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604

604
604

BC Hydro Alternative Portfolio Mid-load Forecast Terminate Site C

Employment Summary - Supply-side Resources

Resources	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Construction Direct (p-years)
Pumped Storage	666	666	-485	1455
2017 Load Curtailment	85	85	0	0
Wind - PC18	138	36	524	182
Wind - PC48	150	39	538	202
Wind - NC09	333	87	1074	450
Wind - PC20	159	41	594	251
Wind - PC14	144	37	570	226
Wind - PC28	153	40	641	219
Wind - PC10	297	77	1119	389
Wind - PC13	135	35	577	245
TOTALS		1143	5152	

Employment Summary - Site C Terminate (Remediate and Monitor)

Resource	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Remediation Direct (p-years)
Site C Terminate	0	0	0	2106
TOTALS				

Site C Continue	1132	1132	5286	9754

Supply-side resource notes:

BC Hydro alternative presented in F-1-1, Appendix Q, p.8 of 28
All supply-side employment data quoted from 2013 IRP Appendix 3a-4 RODAT
Portfolio is adusted to create approximately the same 1132 MW and 5286 GWh/year as Site C
Pumped storage assumed to be Blinch - Stave in Lower Mainland, adjusted to 666 MW to keep
Pumped storage energy is negative as it is a net energy consumer; consumption based on 500 M
Pumped storage construction employment spread evenly over five years prior to operations
Revelstoke 6 omitted as this is a planned resource common to all portfolios

Site C Terminate notes:

Site C terminate employment related to remediation estimated as cost ratio of Site C constructi
Site C terminate employment related to monitoring presumed at same rate as Site C completio
Two years to remediate site, then 10 years to monitor site from BC Hydro submission to Site C I
Costs to terminate and remediate estimated at $1.8 billion from BCUC Final Report F-23 p.128,

DSM resource notes:
BC Hydro alternative portfolio does not include additional DSM resources

Construction Indirect (p-years)	Construction Induced (p-years)	Construction TOTAL (p-years)	Operations Direct (p-years/year)	Operations Indirect (p-years/year)	Operations Induced (p-years/year)
4097	957	6509	12	16	6
0	0	0	0	0	0
1155	213	1550	20	22	10
1277	236	1715	20	23	11
2800	519	3769	42	46	21
1378	262	1891	25	28	13
1162	224	1612	22	24	11
1210	230	1659	24	27	12
2303	431	3123	42	46	21
1137	225	1607	23	25	12
		23435			

Remediation Indirect (p-years)	Remediation Induced (p-years)	Remediation TOTAL (p-years)	Monitoring Direct (p-years/year)	Monitoring Indirect (p-years/year)	Monitoring Induced (p-years/year)
6046	1403	9556	25	29	20
		9556			

27997	6497	44248	25	29	20

portfolio capacity total near 1132 MW, with employment also adjusted accordingly
*M*W facility at Mica

0.215956809

Employment Summary - All resources (by year)

Operations TOTAL (p-years/year)
34
0
52
54
109
66
57
63
109
60
604

Monitoring TOTAL (p-years/year)
74
74

74

Fiscal Year (p-years)	Site C Remediation (p-years)	Pumped Storage (p-years)
2019	4778	1302
2020	4778	1302
2021	74	1302
2022	74	1302
2023	74	1302
2024	74	34
2025	74	34
2026	74	34
2027	74	34
2028	74	34
2029	74	34
2030	74	34
2031	0	34
2032	0	34
2033	0	34
2034	0	34
2035	0	34
2036	0	34
2037	0	34
2038	0	34
2039	0	34
2040	0	34
2041	0	34
2042	0	34
2043	0	34
2044	0	34
2045	0	34
2046	0	34
2047	0	34
2048	0	34
2049	0	34
2050	0	34
2051	0	34

2052	0	34
2053	0	34
2054	0	34
2055	0	34
2056	0	34
2057	0	34
2058	0	34
2059	0	34
2060	0	34
2061	0	34
2062	0	34
2063	0	34
2064	0	34
2065	0	34
2066	0	34
2067	0	34
2068	0	34
2069	0	34
2070	0	34
2071	0	34
2072	0	34
2073	0	34
2074	0	34
2075	0	34
2076	0	34
2077	0	34
2078	0	34
2079	0	34
2080	0	34
2081	0	34
2082	0	34
2083	0	34
2084	0	34
2085	0	34
2086	0	34
2087	0	34
2088	0	34
2089	0	34
2090	0	34
2091	0	34
2092	0	34

2093	0	34
2094	0	34

2017 Load Curtailment	Wind - PC18	Wind - PC48	Wind - NC09	Wind - PC20
(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	310	343	754	0
0	310	343	754	0
0	310	343	754	378
0	310	343	754	378
0	310	343	754	378
0	52	54	109	378
0	52	54	109	378
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66

0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66

0	52	54	109	66
0	52	54	109	66

Wind - PC14	Wind - PC28	Wind - PC10	Wind - PC13
(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years)
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
322	0	0	0
322	332	0	0
322	332	625	0
322	332	625	321
322	332	625	321
57	332	625	321
57	63	625	321
57	63	109	321
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60

57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60

57	63	109	60
57	63	109	60

BCH Alternative Annual (p-years)
6080
6080
1376
1376
1376
1515
1515
1893
1893
2215
1355
1980
1915
1915
1650
1381
865
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604

604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604

604
604

Site C

Site C Continue

Employment Summary - Site C Continued

Resource	Capacity (MW)	ELCC (MW)	Energy (GWh/year)	Construction Direct (p-years)	Construction Indirect (p-years)
Site C Continue	1132	1132	5286	9754	27997
TOTALS					

Site C resource notes:

All employment data derived from 2013 IRP Appendix 3a-4 RODAT

Site C energy and capacity adjusted to 2017 values of 1132 MW and 5286 GWh/year

Site C employment assumed to be spread equally over remaining construction period

Construction Induced	Construction TOTAL	Operations Direct	Operations Indirect	Operations Induced	Operations TOTAL
(p-years)	(p-years)	(p-years/year)	(p-years/year)	(p-years/year)	(p-years/year)
6497	44248	25	29	20	74
	44248				**74**

Employment Summary (all years)

Fiscal Year (p-years)	Site C Annual (p-years)
2019	5900
2020	5900
2021	5900
2022	5900
2023	5900
2024	**5900**
2025	74
2026	74
2027	74
2028	74
2029	74
2030	**74**
2031	74
2032	74
2033	74
2034	74
2035	74
2036	74
2037	74
2038	74
2039	74
2040	74
2041	74
2042	74
2043	74
2044	74
2045	74
2046	74
2047	74
2048	74
2049	74
2050	74
2051	74
2052	74

2053	74
2054	**74**
2055	74
2056	74
2057	74
2058	74
2059	74
2060	74
2061	74
2062	74
2063	74
2064	74
2065	74
2066	74
2067	74
2068	74
2069	74
2070	74
2071	74
2072	74
2073	74
2074	74
2075	74
2076	74
2077	74
2078	74
2079	74
2080	74
2081	74
2082	74
2083	74
2084	74
2085	74
2086	74
2087	74
2088	74
2089	74
2090	74
2091	74
2092	74
2093	74

2094	**74**

Fiscal Year	BCUC LLF Alternative Annual	BC Hydro LLF Alternative Annual	Site C Annual
Fiscal Year	BCUC LLF Alternative Annual	BC Hydro LLF Alternative Annual	Site C Annual
2019	4958	4778	5900
2020	7088	4778	5900
2021	2804	1376	5900
2022	3194	1376	5900
2023	3254	1376	5900
2024	**3314**	**1376**	**5900**
2025	3494	1376	74
2026	3764	108	74
2027	3734	108	74
2028	2984	108	74
2029	2684	108	74
2030	**2564**	**418**	**74**
2031	2460	344	74
2032	2520	1045	74
2033	2190	1388	74
2034	2050	2141	74
2035	2123	1883	74
2036	2801	2215	74
2037	2801	1638	74
2038	2891	1973	74
2039	3023	1328	74
2040	2794	1650	74
2041	2512	1381	74
2042	2542	1381	74
2043	2602	865	74
2044	2632	865	74
2045	2662	604	74
2046	2602	604	74
2047	2572	604	74
2048	2572	604	74
2049	2572	604	74
2050	2572	604	74
2051	2572	604	74
2052	2572	604	74
2053	2572	604	74

2054	2572	604	74
2055	2572	604	74
2056	2572	604	74
2057	2572	604	74
2058	2572	604	74
2059	2572	604	74
2060	2572	604	74
2061	2572	604	74
2062	2572	604	74
2063	2572	604	74
2064	2572	604	74
2065	2572	604	74
2066	2572	604	74
2067	2572	604	74
2068	2572	604	74
2069	2572	604	74
2070	2572	604	74
2071	2572	604	74
2072	2572	604	74
2073	2572	604	74
2074	2572	604	74
2075	2572	604	74
2076	2572	604	74
2077	2572	604	74
2078	2572	604	74
2079	2572	604	74
2080	2572	604	74
2081	2572	604	74
2082	2572	604	74
2083	2572	604	74
2084	2572	604	74
2085	2572	604	74
2086	2572	604	74
2087	2572	604	74
2088	2572	604	74
2089	2572	604	74
2090	2572	604	74
2091	2572	604	74
2092	2572	604	74
2093	2572	604	74

BCUC LLF Alternative Cumulative	BC Hydro LLF Alternative Cumulative	Site C Cumulative
BCUC Alternative	**BC Hydro Alternative**	**Site C Continued**
4,958	4,778	5,900
12,046	9,556	11,799
14,850	10,931	17,699
18,044	12,307	23,599
21,298	13,683	29,499
24,612	**15,059**	**35,398**
28,106	16,434	35,472
31,870	16,542	35,546
35,604	16,650	35,620
38,588	16,758	35,694
41,272	16,866	35,768
43,836	**17,284**	**35,842**
46,296	17,628	35,916
48,816	18,673	35,990
51,006	20,060	36,064
53,056	22,202	36,138
55,179	24,085	36,212
57,980	26,300	36,286
60,781	27,938	36,360
63,672	29,911	36,434
66,695	31,239	36,508
69,490	32,889	36,582
72,002	34,270	36,656
74,544	35,651	36,730
77,146	36,516	36,804
79,778	37,382	36,878
82,440	37,986	36,952
85,042	38,590	37,026
87,614	39,194	37,100
90,186	39,798	37,174
92,758	40,402	37,248
95,330	41,006	37,322
97,902	41,610	37,396
100,474	42,214	37,470
103,046	42,818	37,544

105,618	43,421	37,618
108,190	44,025	37,692
110,762	44,629	37,766
113,334	45,233	37,840
115,906	45,837	37,914
118,478	46,441	37,988
121,050	47,045	38,062
123,622	47,649	38,136
126,194	48,253	38,210
128,766	48,857	38,284
131,338	49,461	38,358
133,910	50,065	38,432
136,482	50,669	38,506
139,054	51,273	38,580
141,626	51,877	38,654
144,198	52,481	38,728
146,770	53,085	38,802
149,342	53,689	38,876
151,914	54,293	38,950
154,486	54,897	39,024
157,058	55,501	39,098
159,630	56,105	39,172
162,202	56,709	39,246
164,774	57,313	39,320
167,346	57,917	39,394
169,918	58,521	39,468
172,490	59,125	39,542
175,062	59,729	39,616
177,634	60,333	39,690
180,206	60,937	39,764
182,778	61,540	39,838
185,350	62,144	39,912
187,922	62,748	39,986
190,494	63,352	40,060
193,066	63,956	40,134
195,638	64,560	40,208
198,210	65,164	40,282
200,782	65,768	40,356
203,354	66,372	40,430
205,926	66,976	40,504

Fiscal Year	BCUC MLF Alternative Annual	BC Hydro MLF Alternative Annual	Site C Annual
2019	4958	6080	5900
2020	7877	6080	5900
2021	3593	1376	5900
2022	3983	1376	5900
2023	4043	1376	5900
2024	**4413**	**1515**	**5900**
2025	4335	1515	74
2026	4983	1893	74
2027	4953	1893	74
2028	4203	2215	74
2029	3645	1355	74
2030	**3260**	**1980**	**74**
2031	2843	1915	74
2032	2903	1915	74
2033	2573	1650	74
2034	2123	1381	74
2035	1853	865	74
2036	2153	604	74
2037	2153	604	74
2038	2243	604	74
2039	2633	604	74
2040	2693	604	74
2041	2723	604	74
2042	2753	604	74
2043	2813	604	74
2044	2843	604	74
2045	2873	604	74
2046	2813	604	74
2047	2783	604	74
2048	2783	604	74
2049	2783	604	74
2050	2783	604	74
2051	2783	604	74
2052	2783	604	74
2053	2783	604	74
2054	**2783**	**604**	**74**
2055	2783	604	74

2056	2783	604	74
2057	2783	604	74
2058	2783	604	74
2059	2783	604	74
2060	2783	604	74
2061	2783	604	74
2062	2783	604	74
2063	2783	604	74
2064	2783	604	74
2065	2783	604	74
2066	2783	604	74
2067	2783	604	74
2068	2783	604	74
2069	2783	604	74
2070	2783	604	74
2071	2783	604	74
2072	2783	604	74
2073	2783	604	74
2074	2783	604	74
2075	2783	604	74
2076	2783	604	74
2077	2783	604	74
2078	2783	604	74
2079	2783	604	74
2080	2783	604	74
2081	2783	604	74
2082	2783	604	74
2083	2783	604	74
2084	2783	604	74
2085	2783	604	74
2086	2783	604	74
2087	2783	604	74
2088	2783	604	74
2089	2783	604	74
2090	2783	604	74
2091	2783	604	74
2092	2783	604	74
2093	2783	604	74

BCUC MLF Alternative Cumulative	BC Hydro MLF Alternative Cumulative	Site C Cumulative
4,958	6,080	5,900
12,835	12,159	11,799
16,428	13,535	17,699
20,411	14,911	23,599
24,455	16,286	29,499
28,868	**17,801**	**35,398**
33,203	19,316	35,472
38,186	21,209	35,546
43,139	23,102	35,620
47,342	25,317	35,694
50,987	26,673	35,768
54,247	**28,653**	**35,842**
57,090	30,568	35,916
59,994	32,483	35,990
62,567	34,133	36,064
64,691	35,514	36,138
66,544	36,379	36,212
68,698	36,983	36,286
70,851	37,587	36,360
73,095	38,191	36,434
75,728	38,795	36,508
78,422	39,399	36,582
81,145	40,003	36,656
83,899	40,607	36,730
86,712	41,211	36,804
89,556	41,815	36,878
92,429	42,419	36,952
95,243	43,023	37,026
98,026	43,627	37,100
100,810	44,231	37,174
103,593	44,835	37,248
106,377	45,439	37,322
109,160	46,043	37,396
111,943	46,646	37,470
114,727	47,250	37,544
117,510	**47,854**	**37,618**
120,294	48,458	37,692

123,077	49,062	37,766
125,861	49,666	37,840
128,644	50,270	37,914
131,428	50,874	37,988
134,211	51,478	38,062
136,995	52,082	38,136
139,778	52,686	38,210
142,562	53,290	38,284
145,345	53,894	38,358
148,129	54,498	38,432
150,912	55,102	38,506
153,696	55,706	38,580
156,479	56,310	38,654
159,263	56,914	38,728
162,046	57,518	38,802
164,830	58,122	38,876
167,613	58,726	38,950
170,397	59,330	39,024
173,180	59,934	39,098
175,964	60,538	39,172
178,747	61,142	39,246
181,530	61,746	39,320
184,314	62,350	39,394
187,097	62,954	39,468
189,881	63,558	39,542
192,664	64,162	39,616
195,448	64,765	39,690
198,231	65,369	39,764
201,015	65,973	39,838
203,798	66,577	39,912
206,582	67,181	39,986
209,365	67,785	40,060
212,149	68,389	40,134
214,932	68,993	40,208
217,716	69,597	40,282
220,499	70,201	40,356
223,283	70,805	40,430
226,066	71,409	40,504

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: November 22, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX; McNish, James EMPR:EX
Subject: Site C postcards from Force of Nature FYI
Attachments: 20171121154903.pdf

FYI

From: Senecal, Gabe
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 3:51 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Site C postcards from Force of Nature FYI

Scanned and attached is a postcard from Force of Nature for Site C; eight of these were delivered in person.

Gabe Senecal | Constituency Assistant to George Chow, MLA for Vancouver-Fraserview
(604) 660-2035 | #112 - 2609 E 49th Ave, Vancouver BC V5S 1J9| Gabe.Senecal@leg.bc.ca

FORCE OF NATURE

GIVE THE PEACE A CHANCE!

THANK YOU FOR KEEPING YOUR PROMISE TO SEND SITE C TO THE BCUC!

I appreciate that our new government has limited the harm of the ongoing construction by putting a hold on evictions, new contracts, and hiring while the BCUC review takes place. However, BC continues to spend $2 million every day on this unnecessary project.

We are calling on British Columbia's elected officials to:

A) Stop wasting taxpayers' money; halt construction of the project while the BCUC review and cabinet decision are pending,
B) Respect UNDRIP; require that the project obtain the free, prior and informed consent of all affected First Nations, and
C) Ensure that the final decision on Site C by cabinet is timely and is based on a thorough understanding of Indigenous rights, environmental consequences, food security, and other important issues that won't be included in the BCUC report.

s.22

FightC.ca

FORCE OF NATURE

I want to volunteer with Force of Nature



Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: November 22, 2017 4:41 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: Heading back from meeting call when in office

Comingnow!

_____
Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

-----Original Message-----
From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 4:39 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Heading back from meeting call when in office

Did I miss you

-----Original Message-----
From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 4:07 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: Heading back from meeting call when in office

I will pop by in tem

_____
Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

-----Original Message-----
From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 3:59 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Heading back from meeting call when in office

Sent from my iPhone

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: November 23, 2017 11:28 AM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: information regarding postcards/correspondence
Attachments: SiteC_messages_QA_Nov_1.docx; Site C template_response.docx

Hi Melissa – s.22

RE: the Site C postcards and correspondence coming into MLA offices….. can I tell CAs to hang onto them, out the names into their database and if they feel like they need/want to respond, to use messaging out of these two attached documents. Does that sound ok?

From: Moran, Roseanne
Sent: Wednesday, November 01, 2017 9:41 AM
To: NDP Staff and MLAs - All <ALLNDPSTAFF&MLAs@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Site C information

Hello. The BC Utilities Commission report on Site C will be delivered to government today and will become public shortly. MLAs have been advised to not take meetings on this issue during the time that government is deliberating on the report and preparing our response – which is expected by the end of this year. Please find attached key messages and questions & answers as well as a suggested template letter to assist in responding to communication coming in about the report and the issue. Please remember that this information is not for distribution beyond this list. It is being distributed to you to assist in responding to the issue. Feel free to get in touch if you need more information or have questions. Thanks, Roseanne.

Roseanne Moran | Executive Director | New Democrat BC Government Caucus
T: 250.953.4645 E: roseanne.moran@leg.bc.ca

QUESTION AND ANSWERS (MLAs)
SITE C REVIEW – FINAL REPORT
Nov. 1, 2017

Government Caucus/Ministry of Energy and Mines

- Our government initiated the BCUC review of Site C to assist us in making the best decision to keep BC Hydro rates affordable for people.

- When it comes to both hydro and ICBC, bad BC Liberal political decisions, made hastily and without proper scrutiny, resulted in jacked up rates for people across BC.

- This is an important decision, and will take time.

- The BCUC's findings are based on 620 written and 304 oral submissions from individuals and organizations, and thousands of pages of information on the project provided to the BCUC and made available to the public.

- Now it is our turn, as government, to determine whether Site C is in the best interests of British Columbians, after considering the BCUC's findings and other issues outside the scope of this review.

- We don't want to pre-judge the decision in anyway, so I will not be commenting or taking meetings on the specific findings in the final report at this time, but I would encourage everyone to go to the BCUC website and read it.

If asked about timing of decision:

- This will be an extremely difficult decision – we inherited a project that was advanced by the previous government without proper regulatory oversight and that is now more than two years into construction, employs more than 2,000 people and on which about $2 billion has already been spent.

- We are going to take the time we need to make a decision on Site C that works for B.C. families, businesses and the sustainability of our environment and economy.

- As part of our decision-making process, this month the Minister of Indigenous Relations and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources will be meeting with Treaty 8 First Nations impacted by the project. We will also be taking other First Nation interests expressed during the Site C review and other processes into account.

- Given the complexity of the issues involved and the significant and long-term impacts for our province, this is a decision we take very seriously. We anticipate a decision by the end of the year.

Questions and Answers

1. What do you think of the BCUC's findings?

The BCUC's findings are based on 620 written and 304 oral submissions from individuals and organizations, and thousands of pages of information on the project provided to the BCUC and made available to the public.

Now it is our turn, as government, to determine whether Site C is in the best interests of British Columbians, after considering the BCUC's findings and other issues outside the scope of this review.

I don't want to pre-judge that decision in anyway, so I will not be commenting or taking meetings on the specific findings in the final report at this time, but I would encourage everyone to go to the BCUC website and read it.

2. When can we expect a decision from Government on Site C?

We are going to take the time we need to make a decision on Site C that works for B.C. families, businesses and the sustainability of our environment and economy.

As part of our decision-making process, this month the Minister of Indigenous Relations and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources will be meeting with Treaty 8 First Nations

impacted by the project. We will also be taking other First Nation interests expressed during the Site C review and other processes into account.

Given the complexity of the issues involved and the significant and long-term impacts for our province, this is a decision we take very seriously. We anticipate a decision by the end of the year.

3. **After rushing the BCUC through an expedited review process, why aren't you committing to a quick decision?**

This will be an extremely difficult decision. We inherited a project that was started by the previous government without independent oversight by the province's energy regulator and that is now more than two years into construction, employs more than 2,000 people and on which about $2 billion has already been spent.

We recognize that lives are on hold pending our decision – especially in the Northeast. However, given the complexity of the issues involved and the significant and long-term impacts for our province, this is a decision we take very seriously.

We are going to take the time we need to make a decision on Site C that works for B.C. families, businesses and the sustainability of our environment and economy.

As part of our decision-making process, this month the Minister of Indigenous Relations and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources will be meeting with Treaty 8 First Nations impacted by the project. We will also be taking other First Nation interests expressed during the Site C review and other processes into account.

4. **Why are you allowing construction to continue at a cost of about $2 million per day while you take the time to make a decision?**

Construction is slowing down with winter approaching but there are still over 2,000 people working at Site C. We don't think it is fair to those workers and their families to stop construction while we are still deciding whether or not to continue with the project.

5. **What will be the most important factor for Government in making your decision on the project?**

Ultimately, our decision on Site C will be based on keeping rates affordable for B.C. families and businesses in the long term.

Background on Review:

6. What did you ask the BCUC to do in its review of Site C?

Under the terms of reference for the review the BCUC was asked to confirm whether or not BC Hydro is on target to complete Site C on time and on budget, and provide advice on implications for ratepayers associated with:

- Proceeding with the project,
- Suspending the project, while maintaining the option to resume construction until 2024, and
- Terminating the project, remediating the site and proceeding with other resource portfolios that provide the same level of benefits at the same or lower costs as Site C.

7. How did the BCUC conduct its review?

The BCUC determined the process for the review.

The first phase of the review consisted of fact gathering to inform a preliminary report. In addition to BC Hydro's submission, the BCUC received submissions from over 160 individuals and organizations. The BCUC also engaged Deloitte LLP, a consulting firm, to produce independent analysis on questions raised in the terms of reference for the review. The BCUC released its preliminary report on September 20th.

Following the release of its preliminary report, the BCUC held a series of 11 community input sessions and three First Nations input sessions around the province to gather comment.

The BCUC's findings are based on 620 written and 304 oral submissions from individuals and organizations, and thousands of pages of information on the project provided to the BCUC and made available to the public.

The BCUC delivered its final report on November 1, 2017 and posted it to its website.

Background on Site C:

8. What is Site C?

Site C is a third dam and hydroelectric generating station on the Peace River in northeast B.C. approximately 7 kms from Fort St. John. The other two dams are the W.A.C Bennett dam (1968) and the Peace Canyon dam (1980).

Site C will provide 1,100 megawatts of capacity, and produce about 5,100-gigawatt hours per year, the amount of energy needed to power the equivalent of 450,000 homes per year.

In December 2014, the project received approval from the previous government to proceed to

construction. Construction commenced July 27, 2015 with a targeted completion date of 2024.

9. How many people are working on Site C?

As with any construction project, the number of workers — and the proportion from any particular location — will vary month-to-month and also reflects the seasonal nature of construction work.

For example, this fall the main civil works contractor Peace River Hydro Partners (PRHP) has laid off approximately 300 workers due to the completion of roller-compacted concrete work for the season, the stoppage of work on the left bank of the project and PRHP's ongoing workforce management.

BC Hydro's latest employment statistics from August 2017 show that there were 2,357 total workers on the Site C project. Of the total workers, 1,900 were from British Columbia, or 81%. There were 626 workers on site from the Peace River Regional District — that's 32% of the construction and non-construction contractor's workforce.

10. How much has BC Hydro spent on Site C to date?

As of December 31, 2017 approximately $2.1 billion will have been spent on the project.

TEMPLATE MEETING REQUEST/LETTER/EMAIL RESPONSE
SITE C REVIEW – FINAL REPORT
Oct. 27, 2017

Ministry of Energy and Mines

Thank you for writing about the Site C dam.

As you may be aware, on August 2, 2017, the Government directed the BC Utilities Commission (BCUC) to undertake a review of the Site C project and provide advice on ratepayer impacts should the project be continued, cancelled or suspended. Our Government initiated the BCUC review of Site C to ensure we make the right decision for B.C. families and keep BC Hydro rates affordable in the long-term.

The BCUC has completed the review and their work has been informed by technical experts, a broad range of stakeholders, hundreds of members of the public and First Nations. The level of participation shows just how important the issue of Site C is to everyone and supports our decision to send the project to the BCUC. This is an important decision, and the Government appreciates and thanks all who participated and shared their views.

We will now take the time we need to consider the findings and other issues that are outside the scope of the review and make a decision on whether or not Site C is in the best interests of British Columbians. Given the complexity of the issues involved and the significant and long-term impacts for our province, this is a decision we take very seriously. We anticipate a decision by the end of the year.

Once again, thank you for taking the time to contact my office. I appreciate you reaching out to share your perspective with me.

Sincerely,

xx

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: December 1, 2017 10:02 AM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: Jumbo

s.22

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 10:00 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan
Subject: RE: Jumbo

Thanks Susan – s.22

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Friday, December 1, 2017 9:59 AM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: Jumbo

I will go ahead and send CAs the messaging below from Kenn McLaren at FLNRO. Even though it's not super localized to that area, at least it's something…☺

s.22

From: Vasilev, Susan
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 4:57 PM
To: 'Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX' <Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Jumbo

Kenn sent me the messaging below three weeks ago, but then Rob Hill (I think in discussion with you) was wanting to do something more personal/local for MMM and Katrine Conroy to use in response. But if you are fine with me sending out what Kenn signed off on already below, I will do that….) Just let me know either way.

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 4:53 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Jumbo

FLNROD is the Lead on this one.

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 1:00 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: Jumbo

Can't remember where we left off with Jumbo – I think you were going to take a look and send a few lines (more tailored response) for me to send out to offices?

From: Vasilev, Susan
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 12:35 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Jumbo

Just looping in Rob Hill, our EA who has been asked to do a more local focused response for our region. We just want to make sure that we convey the correct message. However, that being said, the below is correct.

From: McLaren, Kenn FLNR:EX [mailto:Kenn.McLaren@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Friday, November 03, 2017 9:18 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Cc: Renneberg, Tim FLNR:EX <Tim.Renneberg@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Jumbo

Hi Susan;
For distribution.
Here is some background, lines on the SCC/Jumbo ruling yesterday and an article with MDD comments. They are minimal;

- The proponent of the Jumbo resort is still out of compliance with the BCEAO. We await the decision of the courts on this issue.

s.13

ADVICE AND RECOMMENDED RESPONSE:

Ruling in favour of the Province -

s.13

Key Facts Regarding the Issue:

On Nov. 2, 2017, the Supreme Court of Canada unanimously dismissed the Ktunaxa appeal of the Province's approval of the master development agreement for Jumbo Glacier Resort.

On May 2, 2014, the Ktunaxa filed an appeal asking the Court of Appeal to set aside the April 2, 2014 B.C. Supreme Court decision which upheld the March 20, 2012 approval of the Master Development Agreement for Jumbo Glacier Resort.

On May 29, 2014, the B.C. BC Court of Appeal sat to hear the above appeal by the Ktunaxa regarding the Master Development Agreement. The hearing ended May 29th with the judgement being reserved.

On Oct. 1, 2015, the Province was served with a Notice of Application for Leave to Appeal and Memorandum of Argument from the Supreme Court of Canada, seeking leave to appeal from the Aug. 6, 2015, unanimous reasons of the BC Court of Appeal, in which the appeal of the Ktunaxa was dismissed.

On March 17, 2016, the Supreme Court of Canada indicated it would hear the Ktunaxa's appeal. The hearing date was set for Dec. 1, 2016 and oral submissions were presented.

Background:
On June 18, 2015, the Minister of Environment determined that the Jumbo Glacier Resort project had not been substantially started and as a result, the Environmental Assessment Certificate (EAC) expired on Oct. 12, 2014. With the expiration of the EAC, the Master Development Agreement holder, Jumbo Glacier Resorts is unable to develop the project's current Master Plan unless it undergoes a new environmental assessment review process and achieves a new EAC. The Master Development Agreement remains in effect, however, and allows Glacier Resorts to submit a revised Master Plan.

In July 2015, Jumbo Glacier Resorts notified the Province of its intention to submit a modified resort Master Plan. The Province has not formally accepted a Revised Master Plan at this time and has instructed Jumbo Glacier Resorts on what the legal, contractual and policy requirements of a revised Master Plan are. They were also instructed a Revised Master Plan will require First Nations Consultation and agency and stakeholder review.

On Nov. 30, 2012, the Ktunaxa Nation Council filed a petition for judicial review with the B.C. Supreme Court seeking to have the Court set aside the Jumbo Glacier Resort Master Development Agreement approved by the Minister of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, the named respondent. Rallies were held in both Vancouver and Cranbrook the same day.

The petition was subsequently amended on Feb. 22, 2013 and Glacier Resorts Ltd. was added as a respondent via a consent order entered on September 13, 2013. The province filed its response in August 2013. A 10-day hearing was held in B.C. Supreme Court in January 2014. On April 2, 2014, the B.C. Supreme Court dismissed the Ktunaxa's petition for a judicial review of the Minister's decision.

On Nov. 20, 2012 the Minister of Community, Sport and Cultural Development approved the incorporation of Jumbo as a mountain resort municipality. The Minister of Community, Sport and Cultural Development also appointed a municipal council for a term ending Nov. 30, 2014. An interim corporate officer was also named and served until the first council meeting of the new municipality. A separate petition for judicial review was filed on February 13, 2013, by the West Kootenay Community Ecosociety seeking to quash the Letters Patent issued November 19, 2012 incorporating the municipality. The council's term has been extended to December 3, 2018.

On March 20, 2012 the Minister of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations approved the Jumbo Glacier Resort Master Development Agreement, allowing the resort to proceed. The decision included approval to build a 6,250-bed-unit ski resort in the headwaters of the Jumbo Creek valley, in the Purcell Mountains, 57 km west of Invermere. The resort was to be built on the site of an old sawmill and at the former Mineral King mine, which operated there until 1991. At full build-out, private capital investment was projected to total $900 million. The project was estimated to provide 3,750 person years of construction employment, and 750-800 permanent direct jobs.

Consultation was completed by the Province with both the Ktunaxa Nation Council and the Shuswap Indian Band. The Shuswap were supportive of the project and have subsequently entered into a benefits agreement with the resort developer. Following consultation, which focused largely on potential impacts to grizzly bears and other wildlife, the Ktunaxa declared that they were adamantly opposed to the resort proposal, and have said that the approval of Jumbo would be a "declaration of war."

From 2009 forward, the Ktunaxa Nation Council claimed that the Jumbo Valley area is the physical centre of its spiritual relationship with the grizzly bear and as a result that there was no "middle ground" with respect to their acceptance of

the Jumbo Glacier Resort. On Nov. 15, 2010, members of the Ktunaxa Nation travelled to the provincial legislature and presented a declaration claiming the entirety of the Toby-Jumbo watershed as part of Qat'muk [GOT MOOK], unilaterally establishing refuge and buffer areas and invited other governments and stakeholders to participate in developing a management plan for Qat'muk [see Qat'muk IN].

s.13,s.16

Ministry of Environment indicated potential impacts to grizzly bears had been addressed through the conditions of the *Environmental Assessment Act* certificate and measures incorporated in the Resort Master Plan and Master Development Agreement.

ARTICLE
First Nations officials depict ruling as failing of top court
Globe and Mail
03-Nov-2017
Page A08
By Sunny Dhillon

Page 081

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

Copyright

Kenn

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Friday, November 3, 2017 8:35 AM
To: McLaren, Kenn FLNR:EX
Subject: Jumbo

Hi Kenn – please see thread below – I'm looking for anything current on Jumbo Wild to share with the **Nelson and Castlegar** offices.

I understand FLNRO is the lead ministry on it.

Thanks, Sue

_____

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Mungall.MLA, Michelle
Sent: Thursday, November 02, 2017 4:12 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Jumbo Wild

I haven't been given any information on how to respond to people's concerns over today's decision. I don't have any messaging on Jumbo as we haven't had to use any in some time, but there info online of the Minister speaking against the resort municipality. s.13,s.16

Sent: Thursday, November 02, 2017 3:06 PM
Subject: Jumbo Wild

Just wondering if there is any official word on how to respond to this. Although the Jumbo Glacier Resort is not in our constituency, I think this decision is of a concern to many of our constituents, as activities like heli-skiing, backcountry trekking, tourism, aboriginal rights, and environmental issues overlap in many ways throughout southwestern BC.

_____

Angelika Brunner, Constituency Assistant
Katrine Conroy, MLA | Kootenay West | #2, 1006 3rd Street | Castlegar, BC | V1N 3A9 | T:250-304-2783

From s.22
Sent: Thursday, November 2, 2017 8:06 AM
To: Conroy.MLA, Katrine <Katrine.Conroy.MLA@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Jumbo Wild

Dear Katrine

I was devastated to learn that the Supreme Court has dismissed the case of local first nations people who are fighting to protect Jumbo glacier and keep Jumbo Wild.

Now that we have a progressive government in the Legislature who respects First Nations rights and interests, will your government take action to stop the permanent destruction that will take place if foreign interests get to build that much hated ski resort. There are already so many other abuses besides environmental attacks that should be a concern, such as the owners of the project declaring themselves a city council in order to obtain provincial funding or considerations that real towns and cities are entitled to.

Surely there is a way for our new responsible government to treat this potential destruction of pristine natural land with the same urgency as you have shown to intervene against pipelines. That is true leadership.

I hope this matter will form part of the government's action and I'm sure it should gain the support of the Green Party allies.

I look forward to your reply

Sincerely, s.22

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Oreck, Mira PREM:EX
Sent: December 11, 2017 11:57 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Cc: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: Re: CA con call

Yes

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 11, 2017, at 10:56 AM, Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca> wrote:

> Yes that works for us at caucus – what about you, Mira?
>
> ---
> **Susan Vasilev** | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
> Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
> **Sent:** Monday, December 11, 2017 10:55 AM
> **To:** Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>; Oreck, Mira PREM:EX <Mira.Oreck@gov.bc.ca>
> **Subject:** RE: CA con call
>
> Thanks Susan – can we do it at 11:30?
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
> **Sent:** Monday, December 11, 2017 10:43 AM
> **To:** Oreck, Mira PREM:EX; Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
> **Subject:** CA con call
>
> We just had our con call with Geoff and the CAs/LAs; it went well. There MLA's had Holiday Open Houses this weekend and those went well, too. There are two MLAs who have Holiday Open Houses tonight.
>
> We told CAs that we will have a daily call (at least for this week). Does tomorrow morning at 11am work for you both to be on the call? It will probably 20-30 minutes long. You don't necessarily have to be on the call for the next four days, but I'm hoping for at least Tuesday and Wednesday.
>
> Please let me know and I will add this information to CAs this morning as part of their materials package.
>
> ---
> **Susan Vasilev** | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
> Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: December 11, 2017 2:01 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: Replies/coordinated response to SC

I am going downstairs (here in the east annex to move a few boxes around but I am here and I have my cell on me at s.17

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 1:46 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Replies/coordinated response to SC

Are you in your office?

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 1:45 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: FW: Replies/coordinated response to SC

Can you share the one from the minister with me?

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:05 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Replies/coordinated response to SC

I am doing one from the Minister – didn't know if Ed was doing one for CAs?

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:03 AM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: Replies/coordinated response to SC

Melissa – please see Hilary's note below. Will you send me something today specifically for the constituency offices to use across the board, or should CAs create their own responses using key messages and the press release?

From: McNaughton, Hilary
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:00 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>

Do we have any direction on sending out replies to all the Site C emails we've been receiving, once the decision is made public?

The messaging we've gotten so far will be useful, but I'm wondering if there will be an official coordinated response, if we're encouraged to contact people or hold off on contacting them, etc.

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: December 11, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Moran, Roseanne LASS:EX; Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: response from the COs - Site C
Attachments: Site C - CO response- December 11, 2017.xlsx; Dec 11 Site C calls- Premier Horgon Co.docx

Roseanne and Melissa – only heard from one third of the offices but in most cases it has been less negative response than anticipated. There will probably a bunch of calls on the CO answering machines overnight.

We will continue updating this document and get a sense of how things are overall tomorrow on the con call at 11:30am.

I've also attached the calls to PJH's constituency office.

Susan

MLA	Phone calls	Walk-Ins	Emails
Bains, Harry	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Beare, Lisa	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Begg, Garry	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Brar, Jagrup	Support: Against: 1	Support: Against:	Support: Against: 7
Chandra Herbert, Spencer	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Chen, Katrina	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Chouhan, Raj	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Chow, George	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Conroy, Katrine	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Darcy, Judy	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Dean, Mitzi	Support: Against: 3	Support: 0 Against: 0	Support: 2 Against: 8
D'Eith, Bob	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Dix, Adrian	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:

Donaldson, Doug	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Eby, David	Support: 1 Against: 10	Support: Against: 2	Support: Against: 30
Elmore, Mable	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Farnworth, Mike	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Fleming, Rob	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Fraser, Scott	Support: 1 Against: 9	Support: Against: 1	Support: Against:
Glumac, Rick	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against: 1
Heyman, George	Support: Against: 5	Support: Against:	Support: Against: 18
Horgan, John	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
James, Carole	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Kahlon, Ravi	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Kang, Anne	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Krog, Leonard	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Leonard, Ronna-Rae	Support: Against: 6	Support: Against: 4	Support: 1 Against: 17

Ma, Bowinn	Support: N/A Against:	Support: Against:	Support: 2 Against: 12
Mark, Melanie	Support: Against: 4	Support: Against:	Support: Against: 11
Mungall, Michelle	Support: Against: 5	Support: Against:	Support: Against: 6
Popham, Lana	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Ralston, Bruce	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Rice, Jennifer	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Robinson, Selina	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Routledge, Janet	Support: Against: 4	Support: Against: 3	Support: Against:
Routley, Doug	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Simons, Nicolas	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Simpson, Shane	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Sims, Jinny	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Singh, Rachna	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:
Trevena, Claire	Support: Against:	Support: Against:	Support: Against:

Comments/ Notes
Received several emails from people outside of our riding who are upset with go ahead of Site C. They claim they will not support NDP and a few of them were donors and members and will stop doing so.
Same volume as over the last three weeks, approx 85% against the project and 15% for the project. All i
Office was quiet in terms of constituents who called and walked in, there were significantly more people calling last week. Only 4 left voicemail messages opposing the decision They also received little

A lot of the sentiment has been that people feel betrayed and disheartened. A lot of people saying they will never vote NDP again. A few people saying they regret donating and volunteering on NDP campaigns. The ones most upset are the long-time NDPers who have volunteered, etc.
We have had a much slower day then we had anticipated. Less people are calling compared to last week.
No Phone calls or walk-ins.
Less contact than expected. All calls were opposed and all angry and some using very profane language. Emails are mixed boiler plate to all MLAs/the premier and individual constituent notes. Again all opposed and angry.
112 non-constituent emails against Site C decision today. About 25 calls and walk-ins total, most fairly angry.
Many have said they will be cancelling their memberships or will not vote NDP again.

Dissappointed, some want more explanation
Post-announcement there was two calls, both unhappy. 11 emails specifically in reaction to the decision, and all have expressed disappointment. They have all been individually addressed and
Shared the Premier's video on Facebook and it has had lots of comments (about 40), mostly negative, but some neutral or positive.
They had a flurry of emails over the weekend against the project but none today. Only one person serio

usly uset on the phone. The others seemed to understand the reasoning behind the decision.

Dec 11 Site C calls:

No name: cancel site c or will never vote for NDP again (20 something)

s.22

s.22

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

Subject:	Conference call/meeting
Location:	Pine Room/by phone
Start:	Tue 2017-12-12 11:30 AM
End:	Tue 2017-12-12 12:00 PM
Show Time As:	Tentative
Recurrence:	(none)
Meeting Status:	Not yet responded
Organizer:	Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Required Attendees:	NDP Constituency Assistants; Moran, Roseanne; Oreck, Mira PREM:EX; May, Ed; Bowen, Bev; Loubert, Danny PREM:EX; Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX; Privett, Don PREM:EX; Tello, Romeo PREM:EX; Szabo, Maria PREM:EX

Site C check in

Caucus staff will be in the Pine Room for this meeting. Everyone else will be on the phone.

Here is your call-in information.

Call-in: 1-877-353-9184

Participant Access code: s.17

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: January 8, 2018 3:02 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: Messaging

Can you send to me as well and I will distribute to CAs. Hope you got a bit of a break!

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2018 2:02 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>; Perry, Alisma TRAN:EX <Alisma.Perry@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Messaging

Thanks Susan, James sent Alisma the key messages last week.

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 1:32 PM
To: Perry, Alisma, TRAN:EX
Cc: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX; Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX
Subject: RE: Messaging

Alisma

Apologies that I was away last week and did not see this request. I am copying Melissa Sanderson and Tim Howlett to see if there is any new messaging on Site in the last month; it would be good to send out to all constituency offices as MLAs are spending more time there now.

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Perry, Alisma, TRAN:EX [mailto:Alisma.Perry@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2018 2:45 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Messaging

Hi Susan,
Happy new year! s.22
Wondering if you have messaging on Site C that was sent to CAs? My minister is meeting with some constituents about it tomorrow and we can't find the most up to date stuff.
Appreciate it!
Alisma

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: January 17, 2018 4:34 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: FortisBC - Upcoming Project and Meeting Invitation

Ok perfect!

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

---

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: FortisBC - Upcoming Project and Meeting Invitation

Please let them know it would be good to meet with them. Looking at their note, it doesn't seem like a briefing note will be required.

From: Vasilev, Susan [mailto:Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 2:07 PM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: FortisBC - Upcoming Project and Meeting Invitation

Some of the lower mainland MLAs and their staff have been asked to meet with Fortis reps about the new pipeline.

From: Chen.MLA, Katrina
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 9:49 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: FW: FortisBC - Upcoming Project and Meeting Invitation

FYI—ok for us to meet with them?

From: "Hyde, William" <William.Hyde@fortisbc.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 at 3:36 PM
To: "Chen.MLA, Katrina" <Katrina.Chen.MLA@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: FortisBC - Upcoming Project and Meeting Invitation

Dear Minister Chen,

Please see attached a meeting invitation regarding an upcoming FortisBC project that will be of interest to your constituents.

We would appreciate the opportunity to brief both you and your constituency staff on project details and timelines.

Best Regards,

William Hyde

Community Relations Liaison – Major Projects
M: 604-790-9974
FortisBC
16705 Fraser Hwy Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8

16705 Fraser Highway
Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8
www.fortisbc.com

The Honourable Katrina Chen, M.L.A.
Minister of State for Child Care
MLA for Burnaby - Lougheed

Sent via email to: Katrina.Chen.mla@leg.bc.ca

Dear Minister Chen,

As you may know, we're preparing to replace 20 kilometres of existing natural gas line in Coquitlam, Burnaby and Vancouver in 2018 in order to increase capacity, improve the reliability of our system and facilitate easier access for ongoing maintenance so we can best meet the current and future needs of our customers.

We would appreciate the opportunity to brief you and your constituency office staff on upcoming construction details, the anticipated construction schedule, and what resources we have made available to them should they receive feedback from constituents related to the project. We are happy to meet at a time and place of you and your staff's convenience.

We look forward to working with you on initiatives and programs that help provide clean, reliable and affordable energy to the people of British Columbia.

Sincerely,

William Hyde
Community Relations Liaison
FortisBC

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: January 24, 2018 5:08 PM
To: LP Executive Assistants; LP Ministerial Assistants
Cc: Ennis, Randall LASS:EX; Clark, Layne PREM:EX; Nelson, Greg LASS:EX
Subject: staff security discussion - Jan 31
Attachments: Incident Report Form (2).docx

Hi EAs and MAs – next Wednesday morning Legislative Security will give a presentation to staff on security issues in the caucus room. Sgts Greg Nelson and Randall Ennis will be sending you materials directly beforehand.

Your constituency offices already have access to the attached form and you are encouraged to use it if you ever need to report an incident.

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

JANE SMITH Community Office
123 ABC Street, City
Phone:

Incident Report Form:

Completed by: **Date Reported:**

Person(s) Involved:

Incident: PICK ONE: telephone threat, protest, verbal assault, physical assault, email threat, other

Date of Incident:

Description:

Provide a detailed account of the incident, include **all** relevant information

INCLUDE:

1. date & time of incident
2. name of person(s), if known
3. synopsis of what they did and the steps that you took/how you responded. Was it resolved?
4. any relevant background information (i.e. did you meet with them before? Did you call or send them an email?)
5. level of future threat (do you fear they will continue to be threatening?)

APPENDIX:

INCLUDE: any relevant emails, photos

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: January 25, 2018 11:07 AM
To: LP Ministerial Assistants; LP Executive Assistants
Cc: Clark, Layne PREM:EX
Subject: staff security discussion - Jan 31
Attachments: Guide to Constituency Office Security_2017.pdf

On behalf of Legislative Security - please take the time to have a complete look at this attachment.

From: Vasilev, Susan
Sent: January-24-18 5:08 PM
To: LPEAS@Victoria1.gov.bc.ca; LPMAs@Victoria1.gov.bc.ca
Cc: Ennis, Randall <Randall.Ennis@leg.bc.ca>; Clark, Layne PREM:EX <Layne.Clark@gov.bc.ca>; Nelson, Greg <Greg.Nelson@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: staff security discussion - Jan 31

Hi EAs and MAs – next Wednesday morning Legislative Security will give a presentation to staff on security issues in the caucus room. Sgts Greg Nelson and Randall Ennis will be sending you materials directly beforehand.

Your constituency offices already have access to the attached form and you are encouraged to use it if you ever need to report an incident.

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

Page 106 to/à Page 125

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.3

Duncan, Kate EMPR:EX

From: Vasilev, Susan LASS:EX
Sent: January 26, 2018 10:58 AM
To: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX
Subject: RE: I have a meeting to go to - can we meet up later?

s.22 Give me a call or come over – 2-7637

Susan Vasilev | Internal Communications Manager | New Democrat BC Government Caucus | t: 250.952-7637
Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca | www.bcndpcaucus.ca | www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc

From: Sanderson, Melissa EMPR:EX [mailto:Melissa.Sanderson@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2018 9:50 AM
To: Vasilev, Susan <Susan.Vasilev@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: I have a meeting to go to - can we meet up later?