

Region	Row Labels	AAH Within GO Territory	AAH Outside GO Territory	AAH Total	2014 res allocation	2015 res Allocation December Decision	2015 res Allocation February Decision	2012-16 Commercial Split	2015 - Split December Decision	2015 - Split February Decision
--------	------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------	------------------------	--	--	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

1

1	Bull Elk	131.41	0.00	131.41	114.33	105.13	111.70	13%	20%	15%
1	Either-sex Elk	12.35	0.00	12.35	8.64	9.88	10.49	30%	20%	15%
1	Grizzly Bear	16.25	0.00	16.25	9.75	9.75	9.75	40%	40%	40%

2

2	Bull Elk	31.16	3.82	34.98	29.06	28.74	28.74	19%	20%	20%
---	----------	-------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-----	-----

3

3	Bighorn Sheep	16.00	5.70	21.70	18.02	16.90	16.90	23%	30%	30%
3	Grizzly Bear	4.31	7.35	11.66	11.10	9.94	9.94	13%	40%	40%
3	Moose	148.20	316.00	464.20	449.38	434.56	441.97	10%	20%	15%
3	Mountain Goat	34.40	7.10	41.50	31.52	29.46	29.46	29%	35%	35%

4

4	Bighorn Sheep	39.66	15.34	55.00	43.50	39.93	39.14	29%	38%	40%
4	Bull Moose	182.97	0.00	182.97	144.54	146.37	146.37	21%	20%	20%
4	Grizzly Bear	36.24	31.04	67.27	57.85	52.78	55.68	26%	40%	32%
4	Mountain Goat	153.43	26.30	179.73	132.17	126.03	126.03	31%	35%	35%

5

5	Bull Caribou	38.60	1.40	40.00	28.81	30.35	30.35	29%	25%	25%
5	Grizzly Bear	10.06	0.22	10.28	7.56	6.25	6.86	27%	40%	34%
5	Moose	761.60	214.40	976.00	800.83	785.60	785.60	23%	25%	25%
5	Mountain Goat	15.60	0.00	15.60	10.45	10.14	10.14	33%	35%	35%

6

6	Grizzly Bear	216.32	3.62	219.94	142.06	133.41	133.41	36%	40%	40%
---	--------------	--------	------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-----	-----	-----

8

8	Bighorn Sheep	29.26	0.00	29.26	20.77	20.48	20.48	29%	30%	30%
8	Bull Moose	177.94	51.63	229.57	201.10	193.98	193.98	16%	20%	20%
8	Grizzly Bear	0.72	0.46	1.18	1.00	0.89	0.89	25%	40%	40%
8	Mountain Goat	4.90	0.00	4.90	3.48	3.19	3.19	29%	35%	35%

6 North

6 North	Caribou	5.00	0.00	5.00	3.65	3.75	3.75	27%	25%	25%
6 North	Moose	20.06	0.00	20.06	14.04	15.05	15.05	30%	25%	25%
6 North	Mountain Goat	25.57	4.98	30.55	21.60	21.60	21.60	35%	35%	35%
6 North	Thinhorn Sheep	19.20	0.00	19.20	12.29	11.52	11.52	36%	40%	40%

6 South

6 South	Moose	1215.35	0.00	1215.35	911.51	911.51	911.51	25%	25%	25%
6 South	Mountain Goat	114.78	14.66	129.44	96.15	89.27	89.27	29%	35%	35%

7a

7a	Bull Moose	1904.01	271.60	2175.61	1794.81	1699.61	1737.69	20%	25%	23%
7a	Grizzly Bear	120.55	5.06	125.61	82.22	77.39	77.39	36%	40%	40%

7B

7B	Antlerless Elk	330.00	401.00	731.00	724.40	724.40	724.40	2%	2%	2%
7b	Bison	290.00	0.00	290.00	237.80	232.00	237.80	18%	20%	18%
7B	Grizzly Bear	85.36	3.77	89.13	58.40	54.99	54.99	36%	40%	40%

7B	Mountain Goat	25.89	0.00	25.89	18.90	16.83	16.83	27%	35%	35%
----	---------------	-------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-----	-----

Grand Total	6217.13	1385.45	7,547.6	*6241.7	6,051.7	6,112.9
--------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

* This value does not include the allocation to the commercial sector through Quota Variance Principles, Administrative Guidelines, or Harc

ship Rules. It is lower by approximately 70-80 animals.

In response to FNR-2015-50272

1. "Regardless of this decision, resident hunters will continue to harvest about 92% of the approximately 48,000 big game animals taken annually by hunters."

This probably came from our BGHS spreadsheet which shows total provincial harvest for residents and non-residents per year. I just did up this table:

YEAR	R KILLS	NR KILLS	% RESIDENT HARVEST
2002	38515	3869	0.91
2003	43791	4251	0.91
2004	34096	4135	0.89
2005	48277	4317	0.92
2006	46647	4273	0.92
2007	45531	3807	0.92
2008	46662	3878	0.92
2009	43914	3468	0.93
2010	48777	3417	0.93
2011	45221	3231	0.93
2012	48348	3107	0.94
2013	42521	2789	0.94

2. "In fact, the increase in resident hunters from 82,000 10 years ago to over 102,000 today..."

I think the data in this line comes from two sources. First, the '102K today' figure is probably from our eGARMS data (keyed counterfoil counts have never broken the 100K mark), but we don't have eGARMS data from 10 years ago. This means that the 82K figures is probably from our hunter master keyed counterfoil data. This table contains both:

YEAR	LICENCE TYPE	KEYED COUNTERFOIL COUNTS	EGARMS COUNTS
2000	BASE	89794	
2001	BASE	84155	
2002	BASE	82990	
2003	BASE	79412	
2004	BASE	81699	
2005	BASE	81405	85633
2006	BASE	84151	87180
2007	BASE	84570	87722

2008	BASE	85882	90867
2009	BASE	88464	92235
2010	BASE	90462	95678
2011	BASE	92003	94726
2012	BASE	94775	97828
2013	BASE	90821	<u>102113</u>

You can see the 102K (from the eGARMS side) and 10 years previous 82K figure (from licence counterfoils).