#### Balsom, Tami CSNR:EX

From: Dave Butler <dbutler@cmhinc.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2015 1:54 PM

To: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX; MacRae, Heather FLNR:EX

**Subject:** CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up **Flag Status:** Flagged

Hi Bill and Heather. I'm looking for some clarification from you, please. I left you a phone message on this, Bill, but would appreciate a written response.

I understand that the VGD proposal is now at the draft Master Plan stage, and I see a proposal for a CRA embedded in the plan. This, in itself, is not news to us. But my questions to you:

• If the draft Master Plan is approved, at what point after that is a CRA issued? What rights does it give that proponent in light of the existence of our tenures?

s.13,s.17

Thanks for the help in understanding this.

Dave

**Dave Butler**, RPF, RPBio., Director of Sustainability CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures **T**: 250-426-3599 | **M**: 250-417-7712

F: 250-426-3599

www.cmhsummer.com



#### **Balsom, Tami CSNR:EX**

From: Dave Butler <dbutler@cmhinc.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:41 PM

**To:** Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX; MacRae, Heather FLNR:EX **Subject:** RE: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Bill and Heather. I may have missed your response. If so, I apologize. Can you please re-send?

If not, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dave

**Dave Butler**, RPF, RPBio., Director of Sustainability CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures **T**: 250-426-3599 | **M**: 250-417-7712

F: 250-426-3599

www.cmhsummer.com



From: Dave Butler

Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 2:54 PM

To: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX; <u>Heather.MacRae@gov.bc.ca</u>
Subject: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Hi Bill and Heather. I'm looking for some clarification from you, please. I left you a phone message on this, Bill, but would appreciate a written response.

I understand that the VGD proposal is now at the draft Master Plan stage, and I see a proposal for a CRA embedded in the plan. This, in itself, is not news to us. But my questions to you:

• If the draft Master Plan is approved, at what point after that is a CRA issued? What rights does it give that proponent in light of the existence of our tenures?

s.13,s.17

s.13,s.17

Thanks for the help in understanding this.

Dave

Dave Butler, RPF, RPBio., Director of Sustainability

CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures **T**: 250-426-3599 | **M**: 250-417-7712

F: 250-426-3599

www.cmhsummer.com



#### **Balsom, Tami CSNR:EX**

From: Dave Butler <dbutler@cmhinc.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2015 9:12 AM

To: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX
Cc: MacRae, Heather FLNR:EX

**Subject:** CMH Response to Draft Resort Master Plan Submitted by Valemount Glacier

Destinations Ltd.

Attachments: CMH Response to Draft Resort Master Plan. Nov 2015.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Bill – as discussed on the phone this week, here's our formal response to the draft resort master plan as submitted by VGDL.

Please give me a yell if you have any questions. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Dave

**Dave Butler, RPF, RPBio.,** Director of Sustainability CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures **T**: 250-426-3599 | **F**: 1-250-426-3517

#cmhheli | cmhski.com | cmhsummer.com

#### WATCH THE LATEST STOKE »



Mountain Resorts Branch Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations PO Box 9852 Stn Prov Govt, 5th Floor - 800 Johnson St, Victoria BC V8W 9T5

Attn: Bill Hunter, Senior Manager, Major Projects

Dear Bill,

Re: Draft Resort Master Plan – Valemount Glacier Destinations Ltd. – October 2015

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the draft Resort Master Plan dated October 2015. And thanks for your time on the phone this week.

Please accept the following comments from us on the subject draft:

#### The Draft Plan

- You are aware that we have announced that we are not opposed to this proposed project.
- In our previous comments to you, we noted a wide range of issues and questions associated with this project (including some inferences using data inaccurately attributed to CMH). I won't reiterate those, other than to note that some of them have been addressed in this draft resort master plan, some not. Regardless, I understand that you, colleagues in your Branch, other government staff, and other external reviewers will look at these, and many other questions, in significant detail in this next phase of the review. The proponent makes many claims; we will not comment on those beyond what we've already submitted.
- We note that many of the photographs, charts and maps in the draft master plan are out of focus and difficult to read. We assume this does not reflect on the project itself.

s.13,s.17

s.13

s.13

• s.13

- We see no mention of the Valemount-Blue River SRMP, which is a land use plan that the community spent many years developing in a collaborative fashion. While we may have missed it in the mass of information submitted by the proponent, its omission would be a glaring error in the draft master plan.
- We see that the proponent has undertaken a wide range of environmental studies that indicate that - if the project proceeds - key areas of habitat will be lost for species such as mountain goats and grizzly bears. We noted this in a previous submission. We don't see any reference to mitigation activities, but assume this will be reviewed in detail by staff from the Ministry of Environment.

s.13,s.16

#### **Moving Forward**

• s.13,s.17

Finally, we note reference in the plan to future heli-skiing and to the construction of a heli-ski
base at the resort. s.13,s.17
 s.13,s.17

As discussed, we await the results of your detailed technical analysis of this proposed project. Thanks again for the opportunity to comment. Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Dave Butler, RPF, RPBio. Director of Sustainability

D. Buta

.cc Heather MacRae, Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

#### King, Julie FLNR:EX

Subject:

FW: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Attachments:

image002.jpg; image003.jpg

From: Dave Butler [mailto:dbutler@cmhinc.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2015 3:31 PM

To: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX

Subject: Re: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Thanks, Bill. And yours as well.

How about Mon pm or Tuesday am?

Dave Butler

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 19, 2015, at 2:16 PM, Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX < Bill. Hunter@gov.bc.ca > wrote:

Hi Dave,

Hope your Victoria visit is going well. I'm glad the sun showed up again for us again today.

It turns out that I'll be away from the office and  ${\bf s}.22$ 

it may not be the best

day for a call.

Would Monday or Tuesday work?

Thank you,

Bill

From: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX

Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 3:45 PM

To: 'Dave Butler'

Subject: RE: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Hi Dave.

Friday would certainly work. Or, I'm working in the Victoria office this week and would be available to meet in person. I have a hard copy of the master plan here and could meet at your convenience. Let me know your preference.

Thank you,

Bill

From: Dave Butler [mailto:dbutler@cmhinc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:15 AM

To: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX

Subject: RE: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Bill – thanks for the information, Bill. Very helpful.

I'm in Victoria this week; could we talk on Friday?

Dave

**Dave Butler**, Director of Sustainability CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures T: 250-426-3599 | F: 1-250-426-3517

#cmhheli | cmhski.com | cmhsummer.com

WATCH THE LATEST STOKE »

From: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX [mailto:Bill.Hunter@gov.bc.ca]

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:00 PM

To: Dave Butler < dbutler@cmhinc.com >; MacRae, Heather FLNR: EX < Heather. MacRae@gov.bc.ca >

Cc: Humphrey, Gordon J FLNR:EX < Gordon. Humphrey@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Hello Dave,

In response to your questions... s.13.s.17

s.13,s.17

The establishment of a Controlled Recreation Area requires the resort operator/MDA holder to control access to ensure the safe and orderly use of the area. Here's an excerpt from the MDA which describes their rights and obligations in the CRA/Licence area:

# ARTICLE IV - LICENCE OF CONTROLLED RECREATION AREA

- 4.01 Pursuant to Section 39 of the *Land Act*, the Province grants to the Developer a licence of occupation of those parts of the Controlled Recreation Area for which Tenures are not in effect from time to time for the purpose of the operation of the Resort and fulfilling the obligations of the Developer under this Agreement including the exclusive right to:
- (a) develop and construct, or cause to be developed and constructed, those Access Routes and Improvements contemplated in the Resort Master Plan;
- (b) use, operate, maintain, repair and replace improvements;
- (c) use Access Routes and maintain, repair and replace Access Routes, other than provincial or municipal highways for which the Province or municipality has indicated that the Province or municipality, as applicable, will be responsible;
- (d) manage, control and regulate the Controlled Recreation Area in the name of the Developer for the purpose of conducting the Developer's business, including fulfilling the Developer's obligations set out in section 14.01(r); and
- (e) conduct such other activities as are incidental to the foregoing including the right to conduct inspections and surveys;

for such consideration and upon such terms and conditions as the Developer may determine, from time to time, subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

- 4.02 The Controlled Recreation Area Licence and the rights granted by it are subject to:
- (a) the Prior Rights;
- (b) the terms and conditions of this Agreement;
- (c) the right of the Province pursuant to sections 21.11 and 21.12 to grant licences of and interests in the land comprising the Controlled Recreation Area; and
- (d) the right of authorized servants, employees, representatives, agents and contractors (and their respective employees) of the Province acting within the scope of their authority, with or without vehicles and equipment, to have access to and use of the Controlled Recreation Area.
- 4.03 The Developer will not unreasonably refuse to issue a pass or other form of permission (subject to such terms and conditions as the Developer may impose) to allow a person to pass freely, without charge and temporarily through the Controlled Recreation Area for the purpose of accessing a recreational or other activity where that person will not make use of the Recreation Improvements provided, however:
- (a) the Developer may place reasonable restrictions on the activities of that person that are consistent with the use and management of a resort area;
- (b) the Developer may designate corridors through the Controlled Recreation Area on which that person may pass; and
- (c) the Developer may deny access if that person is a patron or operator of or associated with an operation which is incompatible, in conflict or in competition with the use of or business within the Controlled Recreation Area by the Developer or any of its Independent Operators in the Controlled Recreation Area.

s.13,s.17

#### o At what point, and what process is used to do that?

The Master Plan Review process has been initiated with a referral to CMH to provide you with the Valemount Glacier Destinations Master Plan. We are beginning to conduct initial analysis as described above and are considering comments you've provided us at previous planning stages, EOI and Formal Proposal.

Your previous referral responses have including the following items. We'd appreciate receiving any further comments or feedback you may have as you review the Master Plan information.

Issue/Category Response/Comment

Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	s.13
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH asserts that the proposed resort will impact its two lodge operations and tenures by re operating area and reducing the value of the terrain for heli-skiing and heli-hiking.
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH asserts that issuance of an Interim Agreement to the resort proponent will impact CMF
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH will be impacted economically by the proposal. This will impact their ability to operate contribute to the local economy. (p. 2-3)
Consultation/ Engagement	s.13
Consultation/ Engagement	CMH asserts that the consultation timeline has been too short for them to provide a proper Formal Proposal response was submitted in protest. (p. 4)
Consultation/ Engagement	CMH asserts that they have received insufficient information within the referral process to d them to prepare a fulsome response. Some of the specific information requested has been p (Response, p. 5)
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH notes that it has proceeded on the understanding that any other commercial recreation might be made in the area will only be approved by the Ministry if they are compatible with CMH asserts that the Formal Proposal does not meet this standard (p. 2).
Consultation/ Engagement	s.13,s.17
Technical Issue: Site Access	CMH asserts that the proposal significantly underestimates travel times from major airports inaccurate picture of the quality of the highways in winter driving conditions.
Technical Issue: Snowfall	CMH notes that the snowfall record was incorrectly interpreted in the Formal Proposal, citin expect a maximum of 250 cm on the ground at high elevations in this area, tapering to below 1800 m elevation. Also, the proposal fails to note that the area experiences at least one major each winter, which can see rain falling up to high elevations. In some rare years, CMH has hat for parts of some weeks because of this event.
Technical Issue: Project Design	Clarify the following inconsistency: the Formal Proposal states "few lifts are necessary," "just necessary" or that the project can be done with "low infrastructure costs"; but also states th out might require up to 12 lifts (or more)
Tenure Conflict: Notation of Interest	CMH asserts that this resort proposal is not an extension of the existing Notation of Interest Trudeau area.
Wildlife: Mountain Goats	There are at least two areas of key mountain goat winter habitats directly in the area where proposed. (p. 9)
Technical Issue: Safety/ Access Conflict	s.13

Technical Issue: Lack of Suitable Terrain	s.13
Technical Issue: Lack of Suitable Terrain	s.13
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH asserts that the proponent has inaccurately characterized the nature and use of heli-ski the proposal area and as a result, has mischaracterized/misjudged the potential impacts to CN asserts that the proponent's suggested mitigation/synergy strategies including a possible heli-resort base area and designation of a heli-ski area next to the resort would not be feasible or e
Land Use Plan	CMH asserts that the proponent has missed important context provided by the Valemount to Sustainable Resource Management Plan including land use zoning, resolution of recreation/to public safety, and local economy. CMH asserts that the plan did not sufficiently contemplate a of this magnitude.
Technical Issue: Viability of First Nation Project Component	s.13,s.16
Consultation/ Engagement Financial Viability	s.13,s.17
Financial Viability	CMH asserts that the proposed bed units of less than 2000 BU's would guarantee the failure of it would not provide enough skier visits.
Safety and Site Access	CMH has concerns regarding VGD's access to the proposal site/CMH tenure areas during perio heli-ski operations including the period from first week of December through to the first week

s.13,s.17

Could you tell me if you've had any conversations in that regard with Tom or Oberto Oberti?

I would like to arrange a meeting between yourself (and any other CMH staff you may feel should attend) and VGD representatives. Also, I would like to arrange a site visit to the CMH operations in Valemount if possible. Please let me know if that's possible and what the best time would be.

Also, can you tell me if you've been able to down load the VGD master plan?

If you require any assistance in gathering specific information, please contact myself or Gord Humphrey and I'd suggest contacting Tom Oberti directly, toberti@pheidias.ca or phone 604-662-8833.

I follow-up with you on this by phone, Dave. Is there a convenient time to call tomorrow?

Thank you, Bill

# Bill Hunter | Senior Manager, Major Projects | Mountain Resorts Branch

Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations PO Box 9852 Stn Prov Govt, 5th Floor - 800 Johnson St, Victoria BC V8W 9T5 Phone: 250-356-0440 | Fax: 250-356-2842

From: Dave Butler [mailto:dbutler@cmhinc.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2015 1:54 PM

Thursday, November 5, 2015 1:54 PM

**To:** Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX; MacRae, Heather FLNR:EX **Subject:** CRA and Land Act Licenses - Valemount

Hi Bill and Heather. I'm looking for some clarification from you, please. I left you a phone message on this, Bill, but would appreciate a written response.

I understand that the VGD proposal is now at the draft Master Plan stage, and I see a proposal for a CRA embedded in the plan. This, in itself, is not news to us. But my questions to you:

• If the draft Master Plan is approved, at what point after that is a CRA issued? What rights does it give that proponent in light of the existence of our tenures?

s.13,s.17

Thanks for the help in understanding this.

Dave

Dave Butler, RPF, RPBio., Director of Sustainability CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures T: 250-426-3599 | M: 250-417-7712

F: 250-426-3599

Issue/Category	Response/Comment
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	-s.13 -
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH asserts that the proposed resort will impact its two lodge operations and tenures by reducing the operating area and reducing the value of the terrain for heli-skiing and heli-hiking.
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH asserts that issuance of an Interim Agreement to the resort proponent will impact CMH rights.
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH will be impacted economically by the proposal. This will impact their ability to operate their lodges and contribute to the local economy. (p. 2-3)
Consultation/ Engagement	s.13,s.17
Consultation/ Engagement	CMH asserts that the consultation timeline has been too short for them to provide a proper response. Their Formal Proposal response was submitted in protest. (p. 4)
Consultation/ Engagement	CMH asserts that they have received insufficient information within the referral process to date to enable them to prepare a fulsome response. Some of the specific information requested has been provided to CMH. (Response, p. 5)
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH notes that it has proceeded on the understanding that any other commercial recreation proposals that might be made in the area will only be approved by the Ministry if they are compatible with CMH operations. CMH asserts that the Formal Proposal does not meet this standard (p. 2).
Consultation/ Engagement	—ˈs.13
Technical Issue: Site Access	CMH asserts that the proposal significantly underestimates travel times from major airports and paints an inaccurate picture of the quality of the highways in winter driving conditions.

Technical Issue: Snowfall	CMH notes that the snowfall record was incorrectly interpreted in the Formal Proposal, citing that one can expect a maximum of 250 cm on the ground at high elevations in this area, tapering to below 100 cm below 1800 m elevation. Also, the proposal fails to note that the area experiences at least one major warming event each winter, which can see rain falling up to high elevations. In some rare years, CMH has had to cancel skiing for parts of some weeks because of this event.
Technical Issue: Project Design	Clarify the following inconsistency: the Formal Proposal states "few lifts are necessary," "just one lift is necessary" or that the project can be done with "low infrastructure costs"; but also states that at full build-out might require up to 12 lifts (or more)
Tenure Conflict: Notation of Interest	CMH asserts that this resort proposal is not an extension of the existing Notation of Interest over the Mt. Trudeau area.
Wildlife: Mountain Goats	There are at least two areas of key mountain goat winter habitats directly in the area where lifts are proposed. (p. 9)
Technical Issue: Safety/ Access Conflict	s.13
Technical Issue: Lack of Suitable Terrain	s.13
Technical Issue: Lack of Suitable Terrain	CMH asserts that the Formal Proposal contains inaccurate or misleading technical information, c) the Formal Proposal includes inaccurate claims regarding vertical drop and ski run length and elevation.
Tenure Conflict: Commercial Recreation/ CMH	CMH asserts that the proponent has inaccurately characterized the nature and use of heli-ski terrain within the proposal area and as a result, has mischaracterized/ misjudged the potential impacts to CMH. CMH asserts that the proponent's suggested mitigation/ synergy strategies including a possible heli-ski lodge in the resort base area and designation of a heli-ski area next to the resort would not be feasible or effective.
Land Use Plan	s.13

Technical Issue: Viability of First Nation Project Component	s.13,s.16
Consultation/ Engagement Financial Viability	s.13,s.17 s.13,s.17
Financial Viability	CMH asserts that the proposed bed units of less than 2000 BU's would guarantee the failure of the project as it would not provide enough skier visits.
Safety and Site Access	CMH has concerns regarding VGD's access to the proposal site/CMH tenure areas during periods with active heli-ski operations including the period from first week of December through to the first week of May.

# www.cmhsummer.com | www.cmhski.com



Confidentiality Warning: This message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, conversion to hard copy, copying, circulation or other use of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, and delete this message and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

Confidentiality Warning: This message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, conversion to hard copy, copying, circulation or other use of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, and delete this message and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

# Humphr Gordon J FLNR:EX

From: Dave Butler <dbutler@cmhinc.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 1, 2015 10:39 AM
To: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX; Tommaso Oberti

Cc: Danny Stoffel; John Mellis; Oberto Oberti (ooberti@obertiarchitecture.com); Humphrey,

Gordon J FLNR:EX

Subject: RE: Communication Plan

Bill and Tom – thanks for connecting and for the opportunity to refresh the communication plan dated May 2013. It is more than two years old, so will benefit from an update.

We'd like to see the following items up-dated (some of these reflect issues we raised the last time we discussed this...) to ensure maximum safety for all concerned, and to minimize ski program impacts:

- It's best to use e-mail to contact John Mellis and/or Danny Stoffel. We no longer use fax. Their e-mail addresses are correct on the 2013 plan.
- We'd like to see more specificity in point #2 on the document. it's unnecessarily (and problematically) vague.
   s.13,s.17

• We note that the proponent continues to use the term "experienced local guides" without definition. This continues to be a significant concern for us. We recommend that the proponent (and its contractors and consultants) always use guides with ACMG certification (or equivalent; with at least Level II avalanche training) when entering the area. Not only does that it ensure that they have requisite skill sets to "avoid conflicts and risks" to/with those individuals and with/to others in the area (including our guides and guests), but it ensures that everyone is using the same language (and can talk effectively to each other...) and has the required skill sets to respond to emergencies. This is an important point that shouldn't be down-played or dismissed as it has in the past.

s.13

I can advise that our seasons this year are as follows: CMH Cariboos: Start date: December 17, end of season: April 30; CMH Valemount: start date December 3, end of season April 29.

As an aside, we note that a Joseph Nusse has been added to some of the e-mail strings. He recently contacted one of our lodges. Could you please confirm that he works for Pheidias or VGD, and, if so, clarify his role?

Thanks. We look forward to an updated plan.

Dave

Dave Butler, RPF, RPBio., Director of Sustainability CMH Heli-Skiing & Summer Adventures T: 250-426-3599 | F: 1-250-426-3517

#cmhheli | cmhski.com | cmhsummer.com

# WATCH THE LATEST STOKE »

From: Hunter, Bill FLNR:EX [maiito:Bill.Hunter@gov.bc.ca]

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 9:52 PM To: Tommaso Oberti <toperti@pheidias.ca>

Cc: Danny Stoffel <dstoffel@cmhinc.com>; John Mellis <JMellis@cmhinc.com>; Dave Butler <dbutler@cmhinc.com>;

Oberto Oberti (ooberti@obertiarchitecture.com) <ooberti@obertiarchitecture.com>; Joseph Nusse

<jnusse@pheidias.ca>; Humphrey, Gordon J FLNR:EX <Gordon.Humphrey@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: Communication Plan

Hi Tom,

Further to our recent phone conversation, Lencourage you to refresh the Communication Plan discussions with CMH representatives, Dave Butler, John Mellis and Danny Stoffel, and Cariboo Catski owner, Terry Cinnamon.

Recognizing the upcoming start of ski season, I ask that you reach out to these gentlemen with any planned site visits over the winter months and provide as much advanced notice as possible. Recognizing the intent with the Communication Plan was to facilitate good communication between parties in support of safety objectives for all, please ensure the contact information is appropriate and up-to-date and I'd appreciate your consideration of any adjustments which could make the plan more effective.

Please copy me on any communication plan correspondence.

I appreciate your attention to this.

Thank you very much, Bill

Bill Hunter | Senior Manager, Major Projects | Mountain Resorts Branch

Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations PO Box 9852 Stn Prov Govt, 5th Floor - 800 Johnson St, Victoria BC V8W 9T5

Phone: 250-356-0440 [ Fax: 250-356-2842



Our File: 7409571

October 28, 2015

### RE: VALEMOUNT GLACIER DESTINATION RESORT MASTER PLAN REVIEW AND REFERRAL

The Mountain Resorts Branch of the BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations (FLNR) has received a Resort Master Plan to support of the Valemount Glacier Destination Resort (VGDR) proposal. We have identified you as an interest holder within the project area and so we are providing the Master Plan to you for review and comment.

The Master Plan submission represents the beginning of the final stage of project review, and will be the most in depth review stage undertaken. It will build on previous comments received to date.

The Master Plan is a guiding document intended to identify the development components of the resort and to assess project impacts. It also proposes mitigation and avoidance measures to address those impacts. At this time we are initiating an agency, stakeholder, public and First Nation review of the document. If the review of the Master Plan is favourable, the Province will also consider issuing a Master Development Agreement to the proponent.

We welcome your comments on how the proposal may impact your interests.

#### PROJECT OVERVIEW

**ACTIVITY TYPE**: All-Season Resort

**PROPONENT**: Valemount Glacier Destinations Ltd.

**LOCATION**: In the vicinity of Valemount, BC

BCGS MAPSHEET (1:20,000): 083D.073, 083D.074, 083D.083 and 083D.084

**PROPOSAL**: Valemount Glacier Destinations Ltd. is proposing to create a year-round skiing and sightseeing destination near Valemount, BC. The plan is to provide lift access to the viewpoints and glaciers surrounding Mount Arthur Meighen by way of Mount Pierre Elliott Trudeau. At build-out, the resort may include 17 lifts constructed over three phases servicing ski runs that are already largely existing. An additional 11 optional lifts have also been identified. The resort base will include dining, events space, skier day lodge facilities, accommodations, Nordic ski area, snowshoeing trails, tube park and other recreation infrastructure, as described in the Master Plan.

MASTER PLAN DOWNLOAD: The Master Plan can be accessed at <a href="http://www.valemountglaciers.com/master-plan/">http://www.valemountglaciers.com/master-plan/</a>. (Hardcopies will not be provided.)

**RESPONSE**: Comments on the Master Plan will be accepted up to **December 12<sup>th</sup>**, **2015**. Please provide your written comments to the Project Contacts, below.

#### PROJECT CONTACTS:

Gord Humphrey, Land Officer
510-175 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave
PO Box 9852 Stn Prov Govt, 5th Floor 800 Johnson St, Victoria BC V8W 9T5
Phone: 250-371-3930; Fax: 371-3942
Phone: 250-3756-0440; Fax: 356-2842

Email: Gordon.Humphrey@gov.bc.ca Email: Bill.Hunter@gov.bc.ca

Please also note that a Public Open House will be held in Valemount on November 4. The purpose of the Open House will be to present the Master Plan and provide an opportunity to discuss it. The event details are as follows:

November 4, 2015, 6pm – 8pm Valemount Community Hall 101 Gorse Street, Valemount, BC

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this proposal.

Yours truly,

Gord Humphrey, Land Officer

Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

/Attachments (1):

• Project Overview Mapping (1:20,000)