

From: [Balcaen, Trish L IRR:EX](#)
To: [Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX](#); [Lofthouse, Mark IRR:EX](#); [Dyck, Heinz IRR:EX](#); [Leece, Robert IRR:EX](#); [Diller, Carina IRR:EX](#)
Cc: [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#); [Puggioni, Giovanni IRR:EX](#); [Lang, Sandra IRR:EX](#)
Subject: RE: Update: LNG Competitiveness workshops in Van and Terrace
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2017 1:12:33 PM

Thanks all – this info super useful

From: Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX
Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2017 12:11 PM
To: Lofthouse, Mark IRR:EX; Balcaen, Trish L IRR:EX; Dyck, Heinz IRR:EX; Leece, Robert IRR:EX; Diller, Carina IRR:EX
Cc: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX; Puggioni, Giovanni IRR:EX; Lang, Sandra IRR:EX
Subject: FW: Update: LNG Competitiveness workshops in Van and Terrace

Hi folks,

Here is an update on the LNG workshops in Vancouver and Terrace last week.

Cheers,
Kevin

From: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX
Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2017 11:40 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX
Cc: Recknell, Geoff IRR:EX; Puggioni, Giovanni IRR:EX; Nash, Laurel IRR:EX; Lang, Sandra IRR:EX; Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX
Subject: Update: LNG Competitiveness workshops in Van and Terrace

Hello Tom,

Here's a quick update from the sessions in Vancouver (on the 25th) and Terrace (on the 26th) for the LNG Competitiveness workshops. Both went well and ended early without significant issues raised.

The Vancouver sessions had representatives from the FN LNG Alliance, Nee-Tahi Buhn (Chief Ray Morris), Musqueam and Nadleh. It was focused on skills and training with an emphasis on:

- Having a designated gov lead to assist in the overall strategy for skills and training;
- Greater alignment so that certifications don't expire before the jobs come;
- Focus on higher paying and skilled jobs and longer-termed programs to support post-secondary education programs
- Support for mentorship positions and upgrade opportunities with Proponents.

The Terrace session had representatives from FN LNG Alliance, Haisla (Chief Chrystal Smith), Kitsumkalum, Metlakatla, Kitselas and others. It was focused on the regulatory process with an emphasis on:

- s.13,s.16

- Suggestion that the government support nations in resolving overlaps (but not get involved directly).
- Challenges with having proponents 'accommodate' certain nations with higher strength of claim by limiting the involvement of other nations in the area (s.16 s.16
- Challenges with government stating that they are acting transparently but then negotiating significant deals s.16 with certain nations –s.16
- Acknowledgement of the ESI program and it's benefits.
- Acknowledgement of the de-brief on the Aurora EA that EAO has undertaken and a suggestion that it be done more regularly.

I've shared the feedback with EAO on their process.

Let me know if you have any questions.

These were the last of the sessions. FN LNG Alliance and MEMPR will be drafting a report that will summarize key recommendations – draft is expected mid Nov. s.12,s.13
s.12,s.13

Cheers,
Rachel

Rachel Shaw

Director, Major Projects
Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation
o: 250-953-3322
c: 250-507-6047

From: Kelly Mortimer
To: "Kim Baird"; Humber, Emmy MNGD:EX; Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX; Dan George; Coley, Simon J JAG:EX
Subject: FN LNG Notes from 5 Sessions
Date: Thursday, October 26, 2017 1:42:53 PM
Attachments: [First Nations and LNG in BC Engagement Session - Smithers - Oct 2 2017.docx](#)
[First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Sessions September27 Session Notes.docx](#)
[FN and LNG in BC – Regional Engagement Terrace Session - Oct 26th 2017.docx](#)
[FN and LNG in BC – Regional Engagement Vancouver Session - Oct 25 2017.docx](#)
[FNLNGA – FSJ - Oct 16 2017.docx](#)

Good afternoon,

Please find attached my draft notes from the 5 sessions. Are the current formats useful or would you like me to go through and isolate all of themes and recommendations?

Thank you,

Kelly

Kelly Mortimer, B.A. (Hons), M.A.

Executive Director

Four Directions Management Services Ltd.

C: 250-574-5799

E: Kellymortimer@fdms.ca

W: <http://fdms.ca>

FIRST NATIONS AND LNG IN BC - REGIONAL ENGAGEMENT SESSIONS

Monday, October 2, 2017

Summit Room, Prestige Hudson Bay Lodge, Smithers

Opening Prayer: Karen Ogen

Participants:

1. Ruby Ogen, Councillor Wetsuweten FN
2. Karen Ogen, CEO FNLNGA
3. Sophie Ogen,
4. Shannon ?
5. Erwin Tom, Wetsuweten
6. Adele Gooding, Skin Tyee
7. Chief Dan George, Burns Lake Band
8. Chief Jackie Thomas
9. Rachel Shaw, MIRR
10. Tara Marsden, Gitanyow
11. Emmy Humber, MEMPR
12. Rick Connors
13. Simon Coley, MEMPR
14. Dan George FDMS
15. Kelly Mortimer FDMS
16. Anna Klassen (Natural Resources Canada)
17. Sarah Willkie (NR Canada)
18. Kim Baird

Opening Remarks:

Welcome from Facilitator Dan George

2/5 sessions that will be held

Objective Setting with Simon Coley and Karen Ogen

The objective is to have a conversation with FN's across the province who are affected by LNG proposals. Want to know where the state of LNG is at. New ideas to work together for potential LNG invest and how can we attract proponents. We're having conversations with LNG proponents about what their investment concerns in the province are and are interested in having these conversations with First Nations.

FNLNGA objective is to support the province's initiative and provide education in relation to LNG and provide that balanced perspective in relation to our nations' perspectives. Want to know how it can benefit our communities and share this knowledge.

Introduction

Looking back, Looking forward

What did we learn through the LNG Experience?

- The recognition of AR&T is our foundation., the starting point
- The economy and the environment are inextricably intertwined and not necessarily mutually exclusive – false dichotomy
- Our citizens and community must be actively involved and engaged from the outset
- Citizens want more than economic development; want to create economies that resonate and align with who we are as a people and reflect our deep connection to our territories
- FN must be recognized as true partners in the economy
- Collaboration amongst ourselves and with others is critical to shared success
- Several benefit agreements exist with FN, proponents and Province (PBA's, LNG Facility agreements, etc.)
- Challenge – most project related benefits won't be realized if there are no final investment decisions

Looking Forward:

- Establish and maintain productive, mutually respectful relationships.
- Be proactive in driving indigenous economic development as a priority from a solid environment, education and cultural foundation – how can we have a hybrid economy?
- Understand culture, land rights, and historical/modern treaties when considering LNG opportunities
- Mitigate the potential social and environmental impacts of projects and the camps that would need to be created, and;
- Building long-term sustainability into agreements: focus on the capacity to benefit future generations

Why are we here?

- This forum represents one of our first opportunities to discuss potential LNG development with the new government;
- The new government has embraced the UNDRIP, TRC, and Tsilhqotin Decision – but what does that mean?
- The government is looking for ways to help make the Province a competitive jurisdiction for LNG investment
- Government is engaging with lead LNG project proponents on their cost reviews given the economic climate we find ourselves in; and,
- Government is also taking directly to First Nations on how we could all contribute to making the Province a more likely place to see Final Investment Decisions (FID)

Key Questions to Consider

1. Are there **models or ideas** FNs would like to see carried out to enhance the chances of LNG investment?
2. What could the **Province and First Nations** do together to increase the prospects of additional LNG projects proceeding to a FID?
3. What ensure our long-term success?

FN Opportunities in LNG Development – Karen Ogen

What is the alliance? The FNLGA is an emerging collective of FN who are participating in and supporting of sustainable LNG opportunities in BC

Goals for Today:

- Provide a safe forum for FN to consider the future of LNG in BC
- Ensure Title and rights and environment will be respect
- Listen to the input of others

FNLNGA Mandate and vision

- **Advocacy** on behalf of FN
- **Resource sharing** to provide info sharing and experience to support other FNs
- **Research** – partnership with UBC and MacDonald Laurier Institute
- **Education** for FNs to get informed and properly educate their members – didn't have this opportunity with pipelines and want to make sure our members are informed
- **Environment** – ensuring highest standards are met

The alliance was formed to:

- **Provide balanced information** to first nations citizens about sustainable LNG projects
- **Work together to educate** constituents, industry, and the public about sustainable LNG opportunities
 - For WFN in 2012, we weren't the first community to sign an agreement but we received a huge backlash. It wasn't a good experience for our community. I want to support those communities that have gone through similar experiences and offer positive support. It felt like we stood alone at the time. Elders were treated badly when they went to other communities – they were being ostracized because of the choice that our council made.
 - \$2.3 million-dollar deal to the community if/when the pipe is put in the ground – community doesn't receive anything until this happened so was it worth it for our elders to be ostracized for this future potential pay day? As leaders, we doubted our decision to sign a deal; we just wanted a better way of life for our people. In the end, we felt good about the decision we made – it was made for the right reasons – it was made for our people. The pipe still isn't in the ground yet.
- **Cooperatively advance shared interests** with industry and government

- We have a say this time – we can protect the environment and ensure economic benefits for our people – didn't have this opportunity historically
- **Ensure the highest standards of environmental protection** are upheld and maintained

What will we achieve?

- **Increase positive LNG dialogue** in First Nations communities
- **Communicate FN messages** directly to FN audiences
- **Collaboration** – provide a venue for pro-development First Nations representatives to destigmatize pro-LNG perspectives
- **Work together** – training and employment
 - Need to get our people educated and get them through those doors so they can be successful
- **Resources** – provide information on accessible resources for FNs
- **Environmental issues** – create a forum to discuss priorities
 - There are other ways we are doing this already (e.g., ESI)

Objectives

A dedicated champion for:

- Indigenous title and rights
- First Nations partnership seats at the table
- Environmental respect and protection
- Indigenous economic benefits from LNG

Priorities

- Expand membership through increased awareness and outreach
- Continue digital communication strategy
- Continue strategic partnerships for research
- Engage with government and industry through conference and trade mission participation
- Engage with first nations communities to explore and provide support for their engagement needs

Question: Gitanyow – 2 pipelines that cross the territory but not a member of FNLNGA. Here to listen. Court case around Lelu Island which would impact critical salmon habitat. We didn't oppose LNG – signed agreements and have participated heavily with proponents. Concern with FNLNGA and support for the Pacific Northwest LNG – does the FNLNGA still support this project? Karen will talk with Tara directly.

LNG Update – Simon Coley

It's been a steep learning curve for all of us

We got government direction to start talking publicly about the future of LNG and is committed to learning ways that LNG could move forward and attract some potential LNG investment in this province

Importance of engaging early and often – how can we improve project economics and reduce environmental impacts?

Process components:

Industry

Federal Government and First Nations

Evaluation of Alternatives

Natural gas in BC

In BC, natural gas is contained primarily in the north east region in the Montney, Liard, and Horn River basins

Natural gas has been extracted in BC for more than 50 years

Natural gas is extracted and transported through pipelines across the Province

Transmissions

There are more than 40,000km of natural gas pipelines

BC Oil & Gas Commissions reviews pipeline and facility applications for projects on provincial and private lands

The NEB is responsible for regulating cross-jurisdictional pipelines such as those crossing into Alberta and BC

In BC, Enbridge's (formerly Spectra) pipeline system has been the backbone of the natural gas industry in BC

LNG – What and How

LNG is a natural gas that has been cooled (-160 degree C) to a point that it changes from gas to liquid, reducing in size by a factor of 600

In the compact form, natural gas can be stored and transported in a more economical manner

LNG is a colourless, odourless, noncorrosive, non-combustible, and nontoxic. If exposed to air, it quickly vaporizes back to its gas form. LNG leaves no polluting residue.

Proposed LNG Project Developments

1. Delta
2. Squamish
3. Bamberton

4. Port Alberni
5. Campbell River
6. Kitimat (LNG Canada – Shell is majority partner* most developed proposed project)
7. Prince Rupert
8. Kitsault
9. Stewart
10. Nisga'a

In this region, Coastal Gaslink Pipeline Project and Pacific Trail Pipeline are the most advanced.

Employment

One LNG project has the potential to support thousands of direct construction jobs in BC and hundreds during the operational phase

Market Prices

The accessibility of BC's reserves combined with the profitability of export led to significant investments in BC LNG from 2009-2013

Price dropped in 2014 – it's a much tighter margin so proponents are less likely to take risks. Canada/BC is not the only country with the resource – US, Australia, Qatar, etc are also in the game.

Based on the projected demand, there may be opportunities for additional suppliers to come online around 2025

Supply is expected to exceed to global demand in the near future, but in around 2023, it is expected that the supply and demand will be balanced out. Opportunity will be in 2023/24 then – it takes 4-5 years to build one of these projects. Need to start in 2020 with a Final Investment Decision so we can be ready when the market is right.

BC projects are generally more expensive than those in the US because BC has a fraction of the Gulf Coasts existing infrastructure that can be leveraged – BC requires more capital investment. BC advantages include temperature (about 14 degrees cooler – significant operational advantages); shipping distances between north coast and Asia are about half than those from the Gulf Coast; Supply certainty and diversity (proponents can own it directly); taxes are competitive

Government Activities related to LNG

Environmental Actions

1. No to gas-to-oil pipeline conversions – new regulation directing the Oil and Gas Commission not to permit any conversion of specified natural gas pipelines to oil pipelines
 - a. Communication gap needed to be addressed here because this concern was unfounded but clarified. Regulation on this matter was easy for government to do because its not practical to consider gas pipelines ever being converted to transport oil.
 - b. Action Item: Will share this regulation

2. MOU – signed a MOU with the Federal Government on Environmental Assessment processes. This MOU allows substitution of information or approval between the Provincial and Federal EAs
3. GGIRCA – The Greenhouse Gas Industrial Reporting and Contract Act limits the GHG emissions from an LNG plant to 0.16 tonnes of greenhouse gases per tonne of LNG produced. Plants above the threshold must purchase credits to offset emissions in addition to the carbon tax
 - a. One of the most advanced standards in the world – was meant to be leading edge
 - b. On top of the carbon tax (in addition to)
 - c. Regulation didn't apply to compressor stations and biomass plants – not an attempt to hide the emissions
4. LNG Electricity Rate: An LNG-specific electricity rate was designed
5. Stewardship – ESI
6. Fugitive Emissions regulation – BC set a goal of reducing methane by 45% by 2025

Fiscal Activities

1. Long term royalty agreements
2. Natural gas tax credit
3. LNG income tax
4. Accelerated capital cost allowance for corporate income tax
5. GHG reduction regulation

Community & Infrastructure

Transportation – road and ferry upgrades; Haisla bridge

Community readiness –

First Nations Benefits

1. Aboriginal Skills and Training Development Fund - \$30 mill in skills training funding over 3 years (\$19.41 M spent to date) to assist aboriginal communities to benefit from LNG sector
2. ESI - \$30 million for collaboration on new environmental projects with FN's in northern BC (\$4 million spent to date) – Remaining amount subject to GWG
3. Direct First Nations Benefits – Crown land transfers, upfront economic benefits, Treaty 8 Economic Benefits Agreements; Pipeline benefits; Coastal First Nations Benefits (e.g., Sole Proponent Agreements, Coastal Benefits Fund, LNG Facility Agreements)

Key Themes

Group Discussions – Facilitated by Dan George

What has worked to support potential LNG development? How can First Nations contribute to making BC a more likely place for investment in additional LNG projects?

1. Training & Employment

- a. Aboriginal Skills and Training Development Fund - \$30 mill in skills training funding over 3 years (\$19.41 M spent to date) to assist aboriginal communities to benefit from LNG sector
- 2. Communication & Engagement
 - a. “No gas-to-oil pipeline conversion” – people need to know
 - b. Emission Issues – need to get the word out there
 - c. Role of the FN LNGA in this
 - d. TBD how ambitious the new government will be in their communications approach
 - e. How can we gather key learnings gained and make these accessible to all First Nations?
- 3. Environmental Measures
 - a. Impacts of GHG emissions and GGIRCA regulation
 - b. ESI
- 4. First Nations Benefits
 - a. Balance market competitiveness with First Nations benefits – FN’s benefits can’t come at the expense of BC making itself an attractiveness investment climate – managing expectations
 - b. Nature of the Benefit Agreements (final investment versus construction benefits)
 - i. Needs to be an ongoing financial stream (equity model) – ongoing payment streams - \$10 mil/per pipe
- 5. Economy/Fiscal Arrangements
 - a. Market Viability/Investment Lucrativeness
 - b. Need to be more competitive
- 6. Community Investment/Readiness (e.g., infrastructure)
 - a. Next bubble 2024 – need to be ready for this because we missed the boat on the last demand whereas the US capitalized on it with 5 FIDs
- 7. Provincial/Federal Coordination
 - a. New Government’s Position

- i. What direction will they take? Waiting to see where their support will be or if they will be more of a neutral regulator.
- ii. Doesn't want to take away from First Nations; want to reduce costs for proponents though

8. Proponent Confidence/Interest

- a. First nations should be able to be in front of proponents and demonstrate commitment
- b. Can't expect 100% support but if you decide to support LNG, then First Nations leaders should be in front of proponents to communicate this support because overseas proponents are paying attention to opposition groups to mitigate potential risks.
 - i. Always hear the negative, but investors aren't hearing from those who support these projects. Skewed perception that isn't indicative of reality which directly impacts proponents' risk assessments.

9. Cultural Continuity

10. Collaboration/Partnerships

11. Title & Rights (UNDRIP)

12. Regulation & Standards

13. Infrastructure

14. Social Impact/Requirements

- a. Camps

15. Contracting & Procurement

Employment

- Information sharing
 - Sharing of geotechnical information – access to information about routes for pipelines – things we can do better about getting and sharing information. Would need to work closely with proponents about making this information readily available.
- Collaboration
 - Present single face between province and First Nations to proponent – as a group
 - Two decision criteria:
 - Provincial decision – tax deals, etc
 - Support for and approval for the project – positive atmosphere for the project being successful – mitigate risk of failure and mitigate risk assessment they will do on their project

- Risk of somebody shutting down the project is mitigated by showing a single face to the proponent
- Economically sustainable projects in BC – something First Nations also want. We've got to be on the same page before the province goes in front of the proponent.
- Risk in communication – triangulation – avoid this by presenting a single face
- Communication
 - It's easy to disregard the naysayers and dismissing their opposition to projects
 - As an exercise, need to be able to argue the other point of view
 - Have real issues that we should be alive to
 - Need to do a better job at communications and think about implementation afterwards
 - What's the role of BC? FNLNGA? FN Communities? Proponents?
 - Key projects – where are we at, what do we need to do? Refresher
 - Perhaps we need a new package of materials for communication purposes
- Concentrated Efforts
 - How do we feel about throwing all of our resources behind 1 or 2 projects to get them over the line and prove we're open for business?
 - Given the market, would you expand right now in your own company or concentrate your efforts to accomplish a few key activities?
 - LNG Canada is still in the game and is deliberating a favorable FID – if this is the case, will we stand by them to help push this project through?
- First Nations
 - What could we do better by ourselves?
 - Bring ourselves up-to-speed and pull our communities along and contend with public interest, government processes, and environmental groups
 - Leadership needs to have the resources and materials to learn and feel confident to stand before their membership to discuss LNG
 - How can we help new Chiefs and Councillors coming in to have literacy in these topics?
 - Don't want to take on liability of major projects that proponents are suited to do but want to take on significant measures which First nations can accomplish – want to focus on areas we can make a difference in and optimize those opportunities
- Provincial Government
 - Not sure where our relationship with the new government is – have to build this again
 - Those relationships are built at the staff level and ministerial level – need to time for face-to-face to build that which right now is really hard given that ministers can't leave Victoria right now due to the precarious position of the minority government while the legislature is sitting
 - Can't develop relationships while sitting Victoria – this the problem for the government to solve
 - Provincial mandate should be to get 1 or 2 of these over the line regardless of who's in power – reach across the aisle and agree to this

- Talks about Tsilhqotin decision implementation
 - s.13,s.16
 - How can we give expression to this?
 - High expectations based on principles of reconciliation but there's no roadmap to do this or destination in mind. People would be a lot more comfortable if they felt that their nation to nation relationship would be sorted out.
 - Need for more federal and provincial coordination
- Opposition
 - s.13,s.16
 - - Is the reason for the blockade an arguable reason or is it just a no?
 - Being able to understand exactly what their issue is
 - Blockade was about oil and this spilled over to all pipelines
 - Going back to traditional dispute resolutions
 - Mechanism for control that could reflect UNDRIP in a way that hasn't been before
 - Put together a package that begins to address the recognition of UNDRIP and title and rights in this work
 - Jurisdictional continuum
 - Administrative function, joint jurisdiction, sole jurisdiction
 - Legitimacy of who has authority to sit at the table – hereditary versus elected leaders
 - Grassroots people wants both of these systems to coexist
 - Not everyone in BC has hereditary chiefs either
 - Need to make a clear specific plan where we can bring in all leaders and provide the resources for a process around these greater governance issues
 - Recommendation is to pull these people together and provide the resources to support them
 - What are the shared broad principles that hereditary and elected leaders that we could bring forward in regard to the economy as per the UNDRIP?
 - Skepticism that this is a backdoor process for oil
- Federal Perspective
 - Also actively thinking about ways we can support advancement of LNG
 - Preparing recommendations to put forward to senior leadership
 - Canada has to make a contribution to this – particularly for education, training and socioeconomic dollars
 - Federal funding is available
 - Clustering funding available – wouldn't First Nations be a cluster? Come together and collaborate on key areas
- FID/Timelines
 - Going to happen overnight – they are going to be making a decision based on what's happening on the ground now

- Short term considerations
- s.12,s.13
- Reconciliation
 - s.12,s.13
 - Issue of foreign workers – is the province still going to be bringing in foreign workers for the jobs that LNG will create? Issue of temporary foreign workers for First Nations who have high unemployment rates
 - How do we make sure that jobs we can do here, are filled by our own people rather than out of province or out of country people?
- Education
 - Need to assess the jobs that are required and determine what training might be needed to fill these specialized positions with our own people
 - \$30 M for training has already been committed – **key recommendation** should be to freshen this up and train our people for meaningful jobs and careers
- Proponents
 - Competitiveness with each other and a lack of willingness to work together to put a few projects pass the line but they expect First Nations to work together
- Economic benefits
 - Clustering opportunity for First Nations
 - “Innovation for Super Cluster Initiatives”

Recommendations:

- Suggestion to pull together the 5 proponents, address risk assessments and get to yes
- Pacific Trails Pipeline (PTP) Case Study – s.22 – If this is the bench mark standard – let’s examine this and showcase why
 - Work has been done on this- paper was done and distributed last week
 - Private versus Provincial deals?

Next Steps:

Capture the points raised today and then do some analysis on how we can group some of these key ideas into themes that can be developed into recommendations for a report s.12,s.13

s.12,s.13

s.12,s.13

. Report will be made available to the participants – will be public.

How will presentations and materials be shared?

How will feedback be gained and information received from key stakeholder? What methods can we employ other than just these sessions? Perhaps a survey? Could target signatories to LNG Impact Benefit Agreements.

Product at the end of this process:

- Joint BC FN LNG and Provincial report by mid-November; and,
- s.12,s.13

Closing Prayer: Shannon

FIRST NATIONS AND LNG IN BC - REGIONAL ENGAGEMENT SESSIONS

Wednesday, September 27, 2017

Nechako Room, Coast Inn of the North, Prince George

Welcome to the Territory: Clayton Pountney, LTN

Opening Prayer: Karen Ogen-Toews

Participants:

Simon Coley, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

Dan George, Four Directions Management Services Ltd.

Lawney Chabot, Northern First Nations Employment and Training

Emmy Humber, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

Councillor Clayton Pountney, Lheidli T'enneh

Chester Alan Sam, Lheidli T'enneh Nation

Rachel Shaw, Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation

David Murray, Natural Resources Canada

Chief Dan George, Burns Lake Band

Chief Rene Skin, Skin Tyee Nation

Adele Gooding, GM, Skin Tyee Nation

Kim Baird, First Nations LNG Alliance

Karen Ogen-Toews, CEO, First Nations LNG Alliance

Objective Setting:

- Simon Coley, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources
 - Thanks for attending.
 - Discussion about LNG and how can we move forward to create a more competitive market.
- Karen Ogen-Toews, CEO, First Nations LNG Alliance
 - Thanks for attending.
 - Sorry for the short notice but there is a lot going on.
 - We are committed to getting feedback from all of the regions.

Introduction: Dan George, Facilitator, Four Directions Management Services:

- Thank you to Lheidli T'enneh Nation and welcome.
- Rules of Engagement:
 - Safe respectful container for our dialogue

- Build on the ideas of others
 - Be respectful.
 - Listen generously, solution oriented.
- Looking Back and Looking Forward - What Did We Learn?
 - “The right people are in the room”
 - Recognition of title and rights is our foundation and starting point.
 - The economy and environment inextricably intertwined.
 - Citizens and communities must be actively involved.
 - Our citizens want more than economic development, desirous of creating economies that resonate and align with who we are
 - FN must be recognized as true partners.
 - Several benefit agreements exist in the province
 - Challenge-Most project related benefits won't be realized if we don't...
 - Dan's PowerPoint
 - Establish and maintain productive, mutually respectful relationships
 - Be proactive in driving indigenous economic development...
- Why Are We Here Today?
 - This forum represents one of our first opportunities to discuss potential LNG development with the new government.
 - Government is looking for ways to help make the province a competitive jurisdiction for LNG investment
 - Gov't is engaging with lead LNG projects...
 - Government ...
- Key Questions to Consider?
 - Are the models...
 - What could the Province and First Nations do together to increase the prospects of additional LNG projects...

LNG Update: Simon Coley Presentation-First Nations and LNG in BC:

PowerPoint Presentation...

- Seeking new ideas about the LNG industry and how we can secure additional projects in the Province.
- The new government is keen to see LNG development in the Province.
- Tasked with communicating with stakeholders, FN, industry and government to gather info to develop recommendations.
- It is very time constrained (report due mid-November).
- Natural Gas in BC

- IN BC, natural gas located primarily in the NE region in the Montney, Liard area
- One LNG project has the potential to support hundreds of direct jobs.
- Declining investment in LNG across the world due to declining price of LNG.
 - LNG came to a standstill in 2016.
- There will be an LNG supply gap starting around 2023 so 2023-2025 could be investment in new projects so we need to start building soon (5 year timeframe to build a facility).
- BC Project Advantages:
 - Temperature
 - Shipping distance
 - Supply certainty and diversity
 - Taxes (similar tax regime to Gulf Coast states)
 - Rich natural basins of LNG
- Government Environment protections (PowerPoint)
- Fiscal Activities (PowerPoint)
- Infrastructure and Community Readiness (PowerPoint)
- First Nations LNG Benefits Framework: 3 Pillars
 - Aboriginal Skills and Training Funding (\$30 million over 3 years)
 - Environmental Stewardship Initiative (\$30 million for collaboration on new environmental projects with FNs in northern BC)
 - Direct First Nations Benefits i.e. Crown land transfers, upfront economic benefits, Treaty 8 economic benefit agreements, pipeline benefits, Coastal FN' benefits
- Question: why hasn't more ESI funding been spent?
 - We are co-designing these projects with First Nations that involves collaboration for longer-term projects and success so taking more time to build trust and relationships.
 - Funding will be flowing this fiscal and expected to spend all funding by end of agreement.
- We need to consider the Skills and Training fund to address older workers and other lifeskills related issues i.e. driver's licence.
- Also need to ensure that skills gained are not too specific to LNG, need to ensure a diversity of skills so people have jobs over the long term no matter what industry arises.
- Support start up businesses.
- Group sessions vs. approaching First Nations directly?

Karen Ogen-Toews, First Nations LNG Alliance:

- Past Chief of my Nation and have an excellent grasp of the social issues affecting our Nations.
- FN LNG Alliance is an emerging collective of First Nations who are participating in, and supportive of, sustainable LNG opportunities in BC.
- Our goal for this session:
 - To provide a safe forum for First Nations to consider the future of LNG in BC
 - Ensure title and rights will continue to be respected.
- Listen to input provided from the regional sessions.
- We need to get our economies happening by getting our people prepared through education and get the LNG ship moving!

Dan George, FDMS:

- Examine what worked and what didn't work in our last foray into LNG.
- Communication and facilitation is a key function that needs to continue.
- More LNG/energy literacy for all people as we don't really know why we need LNG and how many parts of society are affected by natural gas.
- What are the joint strategic goals we need to focus on?
- How do we minimize risks yet still enjoy the benefits?
- How do we leverage the influence of the FN LNG Alliance and every First Nation to move this process forward?

What Has Worked to Support LNG Development?

- Communication
 - Engage the communities; create a feedback loop with information flowing in and information flowing out.
 - Advertising; getting the word out.
- Being part of Carrier Sekani Tribal Council; strength in numbers.
- Ability to influence government when we work together yet able to maintain our autonomy.
- Simon is impressed by the number of agreements that have been created throughout this process.
 - Significant reconciliation has been occurring.
- We need to let the world know we are not against development and that we are open for business.

- The continuity of participation at the table by both government and First Nation; enhances corporate memory.
- Trade missions that include First Nations representatives are important to the shaping the worldview of development in Canada.
- Metlakatla and Lax Kw'alaams were able to develop new environmental monitoring agreements, which should be used as a model for other projects.
- Is there an autopsy that can be conducted on Petronas, PTP and other projects so we learn from the issues faced?
 - There is not an official process but government is reviewing these projects as needed.
 - Perhaps it would be beneficial to examine these projects more formally.
- Allow the upfront focus on the environmental impacts so that we can more effectively navigate the regulatory process.
- ESI focusing on cumulative impacts.
- Due to the world markets, the LNG benefit packages will not be as significant as they were during the boom so we need to manage expectations.
- Two streams of benefits: the province and the proponents.
- Federally there is work going on as well i.e. committee work, funding pots, Indigenous Advisory and Mo
- The former Spectra pipeline system (now Enbridge) is the largest system in the province.
- Active LNG project in Oregon called Jordan Cove that is proposed to use BC gas.
- Training funding has been helpful to build capacity.
 - Need to focus on long term training i.e. trades vs. short-term certificates.
- Capacity funding to attend meetings has also been very beneficial to the smaller Nations in the North.
- Western Economic Diversification has done a research project on procurement of First Nation companies i.e. the common elements of success.
- Not enough funding for specialized bids and people don't know how to access it.
 - Effects timeliness of submissions.
 - Start ups vs. been in business for a period of time.
 - Need to support start-ups.
 - Strategic Partnership Investment.
- Need to educate people about what opportunities are available within LNG i.e. skills, equipment, planning.
- The scale of LNG projects makes it a challenge for First Nations to participate in LNG development i.e. don't have the people or cash to respond to the size of the opportunities, struggle to develop relationships with other contractors.

What New Approaches Might the Province and First Nations Pursue to Enhance the Prospects of LNG Investment?

- Good communication strategy with a large media component i.e. we are open for business.
- Benefit packages.
 - Model projects after what is happening with Carrier Sekani Tribal Council.
 - Provide good faith gestures i.e. smaller benefits.
 - Once we have projects funded, hold a joint media release between First Nations, government and proponents (if any involved).
- We need to coordinate all of the provincial natural resources related committees and Boards i.e. First Nations LNG Alliance, First Nations Major Projects Coalition, BC Business Council, etc.
 - Each has a bit of a different focus but some overlap.
 - First Nation Investment Fund (Kim Baird and Karen Ogen-Toews sit on this Board).
- Clustering – working together to access more resources for their business without losing their independence i.e. wine growers in the Napa Valley accessing marketing dollars, etc.
 - BCAFN is working on an economic development and clustering initiative.
 - Champions Table is part of this.
- Need to develop a “Who’s Who in the Zoo?” i.e. who are the key Aboriginal organizations working within the entire system, objectives, purpose, etc.
 - Simon has noted this and will follow up.
- What can be done to enhance the ability of proponents to invest in projects and engage First Nations?
 - Ensure training and capacity is high in the communities.
 - Ensure a centralized skill inventory whereby proponents can find Indigenous people with the right skills easily.
 - One issue with training is that people are trained but only a small percentage get hired for various reasons i.e. union eligibility, timelines, trades certificates/accumulation of hours.
- FN Employment and Training is doing a study now to determine what training is occurring and what certificates people have.
 - The inventory they are creating will be able to message individuals who fit particular job requirements when a job is posted.
 - Getting away from training for training sake
 - Socio-cultural issues affect training outcomes i.e. residential school impacts.
- Four topics arising: economy, environment, training and Procurement.

- We need to be able to tell a story about the positive development initiatives that are occurring in the province so that the media does not tell a story for us that is not based in reality i.e. videos, media, etc.
 - We need resources to be able to create these tools.
 - Need to be able to share experiences without being crucified on Facebook as a traitor or a turncoat for participating in development.
 - Hereditary chiefs are being attacked if they support development even though they are focusing on the eradication of poverty that is crushing their people.
- How do we ensure that First Nations leadership is ready for the next onslaught of development initiatives so we can avoid the pain of the demise of the LNG promise?
 - It created a huge negative impact on communities and individuals i.e. fractures in relationships, suicide due to broken expectations.
 - Support governance decision-making capacity development/support for leadership and communities; many are not equipped to make decisions due to scope and nature of the various projects.
 - Can't be one off training; must have long-term, meaningful impacts.
- Eagle Spirit Energy ^{s.22} is potentially a model project.
- Transactional relationships vs. transformational relationships.
- Everyone is looking for certainty on the land base while title and rights are recognized and respected.
- What is the current provincial government willing to do to ensure the Kitimat project passes the starting line?
 - Reconciliation with the hereditary Chiefs?
 - Rerouting the right of way?
 - Social license issues?

Appendix A: Regional Engagement Session Agenda

First Nations and LNG in BC - Regional Engagement Sessions

Wednesday, September 27, 2017

Nechako Room

Coast Inn of the North, Prince George

Start	End	Details
8:00am	8:45am	<i>Registration table opens and morning networking</i> *Hot Breakfast Buffet & Coffee served
9:00am	9:15am	<i>Opening remarks</i> Welcome from Facilitator Dan George Objective setting with Simon Coley and Karen Ogen
9:15am	9:30am	<i>Introduction – Dan George</i> Looking back, looking forward Lessons learned, nature of the opportunity
9:30am	10:00am	<i>LNG update – Simon Coley</i> LNG background and market update Provincial/FN partnerships
10:00am	10:30am	<i>FN opportunities in LNG development – Karen Ogen</i> FNLNGA mandate and vision Opportunities for FN leadership
10:30am	10:45am	Morning break *Snacks and coffee
10:45am	12:00	<i>Group discussions – Facilitated by Dan George</i> What has worked to support potential LNG development?
12:00	1:00	Lunch break and networking *Hot lunch served
1:00pm	2:45pm	<i>Group discussions – Facilitated by Dan George</i> Building on successes – new ideas generation: what new approaches might the Province and First Nations pursue to enhance the prospects of LNG investment?
3:00pm	3:30pm	<i>Summary and next steps – Facilitated by Dan George</i> Closing remarks

FN and LNG in BC – Regional Engagement Terrace Session

October 26th, 2017 – Best Western

Participants

1. Kelly Mortimer (Executive Director, FDMS)
2. Emmy Humber (Director, EMPR, BC)
3. Rachel Shaw (Director, MIRR, BC)
4. James Witzke (Manager, TESA)
5. Rina Gemeinhardt (Lead, Environment, Lands & Referrals, Kitsumkalum)
6. Ross Wilson (Leader, Metlakatla Stewardship Society)
7. Crystal Smith (Chief Councillor, Haisla)
8. Amy Ann Gauthier (Councillor/Member of FNLNGA, Sauteau First Nation)
9. Karen Ogen (CEO, FNLNGA)
10. Kim Baird (FNLNGA)
11. Dan George (Chief, Burns Lake Band)
12. Simon Colely (DM Office, EMPR)
13. Dan George (CEO/President, FDMS)
14. Erwin Tom (Wet'suwet'en)
15. Lucy Sager (Kitselas First Nation)

Looking Back, Looking Forward – Dan George

Key questions to consider:

1. Are there models or ideas FNs would like to see carried out to enhance the changes of LNG investment?
2. What could the Province and FNs do together to increase the prospects of additional LNG projects proceeding to final investment decision?

FNLNGA – Karen Ogen

Alliance was formed to provide balanced information, work together to educate, cooperatively advance shared interests, and ensure the highest standards of environmental protection.

Comment: Create a forum to discuss environmental priorities – how will this take place?

Provincial Update – Simon Colely

Review of natural gas proposed developments

Government Activities related to LNG

- Environmental Actions

- Fiscal activities
- First Nations partnerships & benefits framework

How can FN and the Province contribute to making BC a more likely place for investment in additional LNG projects?

Are there ideas or models FN would like to see carried out to enhance the chances of LNG investments?

Open Dialogue

Question: Concern over fracking – what will the impacts be and how much fracking will you, what is the width of the pipeline, and how far from watersheds will you be?

- New government has made a review of fracking a priority and will be releasing a report on regulations relating to fracking
- Fracking is regulated by Oil & Gas Commission
- There's opportunities for First Nations to be involved in consultation related to permits
- ESI Stewardship – Regional Strategic Environmental Assessment process – identifying key values across the landscape to determine what the cumulative impacts are affecting them

Comment on the jobs graph – living in a town where we have had a lot of proposed industries come and go and this is always something that's really sold to the communities. How many jobs at the end of the day is this really going to provide? Have to determine what the real jobs are and train people appropriately so we aren't training people for short term employment or over training people in particular specializations where there are only a limited number of jobs needed in those areas. Jobs are always sold as the sales pitch to community but its critical to do an analysis of what's "real".

Recommendation: Focus should be on targeted training to support employment opportunities in long-term jobs that have viability in the long term and portability after the life of one project. Reflect on the 30M fund that was spent on training – what worked, what didn't work, how can we improve how that was allocated and make a recommendation for a replenished fund that can be allocated for the forthcoming LNG boom.

Comment: Continuous struggle to engage with the province is unbelievable. Communities spend a tremendous amount of energy to engage with the province but it feels like we're wasting time clashing instead of making it better for all of us.

Recommendation: Don't fight First Nations territorial land claims – this is an internal First Nations issue, not an issue for the Province or industry to get involved with. Governance is an internal matter.

- Conflicts over shared territory issues and the impacts that this has for communities having different strengths to be able to participate in negotiating deals with the province and/or industry.

Comment: Hopefully going forward, the government has a better structure to engage as it seems that internal struggles within government leads to conflict that is experienced.

- North Coast First Nations technical reps are meeting in Prince Rupert with the EAO to get a debriefing on the Aurora LNG – this is a first
- Can we remove the politics of aboriginal rights & title to support engaging in a robust environmental assessment process?

s.13,s.16

s.13,s.16

– this province has to set up a really clear and defined engagement process so it's clear to proponents how they are to engage – it has to be really clear for them to implement.

- An international standards approach for how industry is required to engage with First Nations

Comment: Complex suite of issues (internal First Nations title and rights issue, industry, environment, etc.). Issue of territorial aboriginal rights and title needs to happen at the First Nations leaders level. Perhaps the province could support this issue by providing the forum for this to occur but it's the role of the leadership to determine a path forward.

Recommendation: Province and the feds to provide a forum for this internal dialogue to occur for First Nations leaders to discuss and determine path forward on shared territorial land claims.

- Recommendation: A First Nations led body to discuss strength of claims

Comment: We see the opportunity to work together. Challenge is that some First Nations are here and some are not. Opportunity to share technical information between all Nations and the Province.

Recommendation: Design process to share common environmental information (a repository) that's gained on the defined values but that recognizes some communities will be more impacted than others.

Recommendation: Depoliticize environmental assessment process would be helpful if people could just focus on the technical aspects – discussions of aboriginal rights and title, strength of claim, and FPIC/UNDRIP are long term issues which will require long term discussions. In the mid-term, can we create a road map for moving ahead on a modernized environmental process? Would be good to have a real collaborative discussion to move forward in some of this identified pressure points.

Recommendation: Need a transparent process for engagement that the Province can give to proponents which would make BC a more likely place for LNG investment as it would be make it more appealing by addressing the current ambiguity and uncertainty.

Comment: We shouldn't be limiting this conversation to just LNG

Issue: Capacity issue for communities to engage in EAO processes

Comment: What is the total cost of this project going to be? Will local contractors be used and will they be given a stipulation that they must hire First Nations? Is there going to be a given amount of dollars given to a First Nations to accommodate them to bid on contracts? Will First Nations be compensated because you are going through their territory? Will there be a guarantee for all people currently being trained to actually work on this pipeline?

Comment: There's a LNG training facility in the UK – this is an avenue we could look at to explore what we need to operate a facility.

Recommendation: As First Nations, we should set up our own facility and make it mandatory for our people to get training on this facility and get continually recertified.

Comment: Province conducts strength of claim assessments on First Nations but communities aren't consulted in the development of these assessments and the information used to develop them isn't readily shared. It isn't a collaborative process – it's just done by the Province on First Nations not with them. There's no opportunity for First Nations to inform this assessment development process.

Comment: Nations are very wary of the negative social impacts:

- Most jobs are only temporary – what will happen when the jobs end and people are unemployed?
- Some people will need to leave their communities for extended periods of time – is there day care and other social supports to support them to do this?
- Is there enough affordable housing?

- Issues of increases in drugs, alcohol, and prostitution that can come with these large projects – how are we going to plan for that?
- Funding is needed to support community development, not just jobs & training, that will increase their social infrastructures to provide the increased services to their members (e.g., financial planning, day care, health care services)

Recommendation: Regional planning expectations for communities to anticipate potential adverse social impacts. In terms of the social impacts, government is willing to talk about this. Not everything needs to go through the EA process; can we create another process to address all known social impacts that are associated with the development of a project problem? Local tables that bring people together and ensures concerns are brought forward – could be the first step in such a process. Opportunity for looking at the social impact issues and determining whether some of the issues can be addressed in the assessment processes and which can be supported by other means (e.g., services, programs, benefit agreements to First Nations)

Comment: There seems to be only a handful of consultants that are working with companies to support and advise them in their EAO and engagement processes – could targeted education to these agencies be one approach to improving ways in which strength of claim issues are handled?

Issue: Not all consultants are giving their clients the best advice so even if training was provided, there's no guarantee they would do anything different.

Recommendation: First Nations should come up with process for how they want to be engaged

Comment on how invitations were sent out about these sessions – didn't go to the appropriate people at the appropriate times.

Recommendation: Attitude change for the province – “We're open for business and recognize First Nations as partners in a government to government relationship and will be sharing revenues”.

Question: Is there an appetite for First Nations to have a discussion with the government about programs, not projects (e.g., monitoring)?

- Yes
- Keen to talk about this – we have an opportunity to inform the development of programs

Identify Next Steps

Throughout these sessions, we've heard a number of themes:

- Contracting, procurement, jobs
- Economy building, IBA, revenue sharing
- Environmental stewardship

- Process and practice improvements
- Consultation, accommodation, FPIC, engagement standards
- Aboriginal rights and title
- Make process less complex, more transparent and inclusive

As First Nations, we're spiritual people born into a political environment.

We're co-producing a report with the FNLNGA – we have a tight timeline to get this done. Need a draft by mid-November. ^{s.12,s.13} . Will surface all the findings and themes that have come up as well.

s.12,s.13

Yes we can work this

out.

We welcome recommendations we can put forward – especially those that would be easily to implement. Suggested deadline: November 8th for any additional recommendations to be provided to the FNLNGA & BC that they can put forward for consideration to the report.

FNLNGA also welcomes active engagement and participation.

Should get a media plan in place to let people know that BC is open for business.

FN and LNG in BC – Regional Engagement Vancouver Session

October 25th, 2017 – Coast Coal Harbour Hotel

Participants

1. Kim Baird, FNLNGA
2. Simon Colely, BC
3. Emmy Humber, BC
4. Rachel Shaw, BC
5. Amy Ann Gauthier, Saulteau First Nations
6. Anna Classen, Canada
7. Joanna Prince, FN LNGA
8. Norm Florence, CFN
9. Rhoda Peters, Chawathil First Nation
10. Haley Milko, Musqueam
11. Jaime Sanchez, Musqueam
12. Mark Podlasly, FN Limited Partnership
13. Cody Reid, Nee Tahi Buhn
14. Erwin Tom, Wet'suwet'en
15. Alexandra Ballard, FN Limited Partnership
16. Cliff Grant, Haisla
17. Dan George, FDMS
18. Kelly Mortimer, FDMS
19. Cheryl Bear Barnettson, Nadleh Whut'en

Looking Back – What did we learn?

The recognition of title and rights/treaty rights is our foundation and starting point

The economy and the environment inextricably linked

Our citizens and communities must be actively involved and engagement at the outset of projects being considered for our territories

Our citizens want more than economic development, desirous of creating economies that resonate and align with who we are as a people and reflect our deep connection to our territories

FN must be recognized as true partners in the economy

Collaboration amongst ourselves and with others is critical to our shared success

Several benefit agreements exist with FN, proponents and Province

Challenge = most project-related benefits won't be realized if there are final investment decisions

Looking forward – What must we consider?

Establish and maintain productive, mutually respectful relationships

Be proactive in driving indigenous economic development as a priority from a solid environment, education, and cultural foundation

Understand culture, land rights, and historical/modern treaties when considering LNG opportunities

Mitigate the potential social and environmental impacts of projects

And build long term sustainability into agreements: focus on the capacity to benefit future generations

Why are we here?

This forum represents one of our first opportunities to discuss potential LNG development with the new government;

Government is looking for ways to help make the Province a competitive jurisdiction for LNG investment (e.g., First Nations Certainty for a favourable investment climate and a lucrative tax regime)

Looking to make constructive damage to the status quo

FNLNGA – Karen Ogen

Overview of the FNLNGA and its mandate

First Nations and LNG in BC

Simon Coley, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

Question: Air cooling option, as opposed to sea water cooling: what are the impacts and how do they differ in terms of their GHG emissions?

- With air, there's not an emission of temperature change that results whereas in water cooling processes, cool water is taken out of a source and then warm water is pumped back in which could have potentially adverse impacts on the environment (e.g., salmon fry habitats)
- Province promotes E-drive (electricity driven) LNG processes
 - Province benefits as it would lower GWG emissions making it easier for them to meet their targets

Question: Concerned with LNG Electricity Rate – Treaty 8 for example, continue to have their electricity rates increased (due to Site C Dam) which is unaffordable for members – is this going to increase electricity rates as well?

- Yes, electricity rates have been going up and generally will continue to do so
- BC Utilities Commission has reviewed Site C Dam viability and will be releasing a report by next week that will put forward its recommendations on the future of this project

Question: EAO – Is there any First Nations involved in the ESI?

- EAO is separate from the ESI
- ESI has been focused in Northern BC but there hasn't been anything comparable here in the south

RECOMMENDATION: Establish an ESI like process in southern BC where projects are also being proposed

Comment: Province has committed to reviewing its EAO process – how it can enhance its process much like how the Federal government has undertaken a process to modernize its EAO, the NEB, Fisheries Act and Navigable Waters Act.

RECOMMENDATION: Suggest undertaking a joint review process where First Nations and BC collaboratively conduct a review together so as to pre-emptively alleviate any concerns First Nations may have with the way the Province may conduct its review and as result, take it upon themselves to conduct their own. Collaborating from the start on a joint-review process could address this potential concern.

Key Questions:

- How can First Nations and the Province contribute to making BC a more likely place for investment in additional LNG projects?
- Are there any ideas or models First Nations would like to see carried out to enhance the chances of LNG investment?

s.13,s.16

- Rachel Shaw – will connect with the FN Limited Partnership to pick this up

Question:

How will this be impacted by UNDRIP in terms of an Evaluation? BC Government has committed to bring this into force. What's the plan for doing this in this context>

- All new decisions and requests have to be put through this lens
- Province needs to specify how initiatives will fulfil UNDRIP objectives and specific articles
- Consent based agreements is the standard

Question:

With UNDRIP and FPIC (Article 19) coming into effect, is the Province going to be putting out a guide on how to do this?

- Not a guidebook per say – not going to be putting out a cheat sheet for FPIC implementation
- Government is embracing how UNDRIP can be implemented based on a per project/per nation basis

RECOMMENDATION: Want clarity on how the Province is going to implement UNDRIP across its ministries

Comment: The LNG dream has been around for a while now with a number of projects proposed. The way it evolved was almost the wild west with a lack of regard for environmental sustainability. I don't see any movement in this way where companies can propose projects that will have adverse cumulative impacts on the environment.

- Recommendation: a more integrated planning process that could be established via an Institution that would support increased infrastructure to address these concerns in this area and the idea of Public/First Nations ownership of projects such as through a Crown corporation should be explored
 - Province has explored this idea some and identified concerns to the idea of establishing a Crown corporation that would own pipelines in terms of the risks it would pose tax payers

Government of Canada – LNG in BC

Anna Classen - Natural Resources Canada

Major Projects Management Office – West

Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC)

Comment: 10 Principles released by Ministry of Justice, UNDRIP commitments, etc. – doesn't seem to be hitting the ground at the regional offices where it seems to be status quo. Is there anything happening to address this?

- Lots happening – committee to review legislation to ensure its compliant with UNDRIP and other commitments is ongoing and meeting regularly
- PM commitment to reconciliation is sincere

Comment: Agreements proposed to First Nations have timelines that pressure FNs into signing. Training for First Nations lacking.

- Greater coordination between training that is provided to our members with the actual realization of projects so members aren't in the situation where they take a course and
- How can our people acquire more specialized training that may require our people to leave the country to acquire these skills in other LNG facilities that are operating in other parts of the world?
 - Appreciate the frustration that has arisen as a result of a push to have FNs trained to step into LNG related jobs but then these opportunities haven't necessarily been realized and by the time they may come about, the training

FNs received may have expired (e.g., First Aid Courses) and it will all be for naught as they would need to recertify anyways.

- Timelines are too urgent; FN's should have more time to understand what's going on. It seems that FN's are pressured into signing agreements but then the projects are put on hold so if it's beneficial for them, they can stall the process but FN's have an urgency placed upon them to demonstrate certainty to proponents. This puts pressures on FN's infrastructure to be responsive to these timelines. In a "hurry up and wait" state. How can we alleviate these pressures?
- Jobs/Training Related Recommendations:
 - In the future, will need to replenish and allocate more Skills and Training Development Funding dollars as recertification and training will need to happen again when the time comes for when these jobs are needed
 - Interim measure – have people trained in more specialized trades and disciplines (e.g., Engineering) while we wait for projects and jobs to materialize. Should be targeting students to consider careers in the energy sector and supporting them to receive this higher education.
 - This will require information sharing to First Nations so they will know what the needed jobs will be and when they will be needed so proper planning can take place to meet these needs.
 - Shared interests – even companies would prefer to hire specialized people who are local
 - Will need to formulate some recommendations around this idea and will enhance the Province's competitiveness if we have the skilled workers to support these projects. Will need to identify the barriers which are currently prohibiting longer term apprenticeships.
 - Will need to consider how we match the available jobs with First Nations job seekers once they are trained – how can we capture the opportunities and connect them with those who want the jobs?
 - Suggestion for a Joint collaboration process to put interested people into tracked positions for high level employment with built in training:
 - Commitment from INAC in terms of training/education
 - Commitment from proponent to hire an apprentice (trades, Admin, Engineer, etc.) and mentor them
 - Commitment from Province – e.g., Summer Student Program and Apprentice program
 - Commitment from First Nations to build up youth to position them to take advantage of any opportunities & negotiate deals on their behalf
 - A point person(s) should be appointed by the Province to stickhandle this issue and be responsible for bringing people together to develop a strategy.

FNLNGA – FSJ

October 16th, 2017

Pomeroy Hotel & Conference Centre
930am

Opening Prayer – Welcome to the Territory – Gerry

Attendees

Chief Roland Wilson
Shelly Irving
Chief Trevor
Councillor Gerry
Kim Baird

Simon Coley
Rachel Shaw
Karen Ogen
Anna Classen

Emmy Humber
Garth Thoroughgood
Dan George
Kelly Mortimer

Looking Back, Looking Forward – Dan George

- Our people have been clear that recognition of AR&T and treaty rights are our foundation
- If you take care of the land, the land will take care of you – economy and environment are inextricably intertwined
- Nothing about us without us
- Our people want more than economic development; want to create economies that represent who we are as peoples
- Want to be seen as partners in the economy – so often, FNs have been seen as a business impediment. Now, we're being seen as a business imperative.
- Collaboration is critical for our shared success
- Together we are stronger; the world is getting stronger and we need one another
- We know several benefit agreements exist with FN's – our people have been actively engaged in LNG discussions since the beginning
- Moving forward – establishing –and maintaining productive, mutually respectful relationships
- Need to be proactive in driving indigenous economic development from a strong foundation of environmental stewardship, education and cultural foundation
- This forum represents one of our first opportunities to discuss potential LNG development with the new government
- Government is looking for ways to help make the Province a competitive jurisdiction for LNG investment
- Government is engaging with lead LNG project proponents on their cost reviews given the economic climate we find ourselves in
- Government is also talking directly with FN on how we could all contribute to making the province a more likely place to see Final Investment Decisions (FID)

- Issue for investors: Certainty on the land base – need our people’s consent for this; other issue is tax competitiveness

Key questions to consider today:

Are there models or ideas FNs would like to see carried out to enhance the chances of LNG investment?

What will ensure our long-term success?

FNLNGA – Karen Ogen

FNLNGA started when I was still the Chief of my nation in 2015 when our nation signed our agreement. There was opposition on the ground to this – there’s nations midstream that have signed agreements and haven’t had agreements with LNG before. We’ve come up with the FNLNGA – first of its kind.

Goals for these sessions:

1. Provide a safe forum for FNs to consider the future of LNG in BC
2. Ensure FNs title and rights and environment continue to be respected
3. Work towards recommendations to the ministry

Mandate of the Alliance:

- Focus is advocacy
- Educate on what LNG is and what the difference is between oil and gas
- Resource sharing to provide information and expertise to support other FNs
- Research to provide in-depth findings and insight on LNG and resource development topics
- Environment – ensuring highest standards are met

Objectives of the Alliance:

- Increase positive LNG dialogue
- Communicate FNs messages
- Collaboration – provide a venue for pro-development FNs reps to destigmatize pro LNG perspectives
- Work together – training and employment
- Resources – provide information on accessible resources for FNs
- Environmental issues: create a forum to discuss priorities
- Being a dedicated champion of AR&T – partnership seats at the table, environmental respect and protection, and indigenous economic benefits from LNG

Priorities:

- Expand membership
- Communication strategy

- Strategic research partnerships
- Engage with government and industry
- Engage with FN communities
- Demonstrate FN support of the industry through the development of a declaration for FNs to sign

Comments:

In Treaty 8 territory, we're already heavily impacted by LNG already. Need to have Treaty 8 reps involved in this – we're the head waters essentially.

- Invitation by Karen to Chief Trevor to sit on the FNLNGA Board

Need to have our leaders sit down and think about LNG and discuss what this is going to look like in 30+ years. It's a big thing. We have to take it back to the Elders and talk about this again.

Treaty 8 Cumulative Impacts

Presentation by Chief Roland Wilson

- Having 1 or 2 pipelines coming through your territory is one thing – have 100,000 sq/km of pipeline activity through your territory is another
- Most of Treaty 8 communities have signed agreements with companies – we're not opposed to development. There are a number of communities operating within our territory who won't talk to us though.
- Opportunities to discuss opportunities and challenges ahead of us

Provincial Update – LNG Competitiveness – Simon Coley

- Government has directed a consultation process be undertaken to develop options for improving LNG project economics and reducing environmental impacts:
 - Industry
 - Federal Government & First Nations
 - Evaluation of Alternatives
- Goal is to draft a report and present recommendations to Cabinet by the end of this year
- Natural gas has been developed and explored for over 50 years
- Seen as a transition fuel to get away from diesel and coal and eventually towards more clean, sustainable energy sources
- The accessibility of BC's reserves combined with the profitability of export led to significant investments in BC LNG from 2009-2013
- Based on projected demand, there may be opportunities for additional suppliers to come online around 2025 – potential future supply
- BC projects are more expensive because BC has a fraction of the Gulf Coasts existing infrastructure that can be leveraged (regasification/import facilities/pipelines) – BC Requires more capital investment
- Opportunities: temperature, shipping distance to Asia, supply & diversity

- There's a lot to be learned from Treaty 8's historical and present-day experiences
 - We welcome any new ideas of how we can address the environmental impacts of this development and would encourage this so as to include key recommendations into the report that will go to Cabinet

Comments:

Inequity in opportunities afforded to First Nations – e.g., s.13,s.16

from one project that went through this territory. No one else came close to this upstream. How do you equalize the opportunities and spread the wealth? EBA's – all but cancelled now – not really an economic benefits agreement – was really a service agreement. How do we, become equal with everybody else in these opportunities?

- Drive for equality of opportunity along the value chain
- \$50 mil deal for Haisla – company had deep pockets. This has been a challenge. Don't think we're going to see these huge numbers again. Challenge in the upstream is the multiplicity of proponents.
- Issue for the province: there's companies who are operating in the territory who won't talk with or work with local First Nations. That's one of the big problems. How can there be a company that's allowed to operate who won't even engage with or recognize us?
 - What can government do to help and give guidance to companies to ensure that they have good relations?
 - Everyone should have to have the same level of engagement
 - s.13,s.16
 - Province can probably do more to support companies in their engagement with First Nations.
 - Maybe there should be a penalty imposed on companies who don't work with communities
 - Incentive for companies to engage comes in during the consultation phase and regulatory process as there's a greater chance of those companies succeeding when they have strong relations with the local First Nations.
 - s.13
 - Recommendation: There should be a level of engagement required for companies. Consultation should require a seat at the decision-making table.
 - Some people are promoting a First Nations Resource Tax which would equalize benefits to First Nations and generate certainty whilst at the same time addressing some of the inequities
 - Duty to Consult rests with the Crown – smart project proponents trying to push their projects over the finish line do a better job of consultation. If the duty to consult rests with the Crown, can the Crown set some policy standards on this?

- What are the standards for meaningful consultation that must be met?
- Can we pull out the economic principles from UNDRIP?
 - Flowing from these principles, identify a consistent process for engagement and consultation that would be determined and identified by the Chiefs so that they can be involved in setting the standard
- Proponents should have to engage with and recognize First Nations
- Where should government be upping its efforts for reclamation projects?
- There's a range of best practices and opportunities we could write up as recommendations for government

Government of Canada – LNG in BC – Anna Classen

- Canada is working closely with BC to look at ways the federal government can respond to the needs of LNG development
- The government announced an interim period where they were going to look at Canada's EA process and NEB modernization and would look at Canada's approach to First Nations engagement
 - Discussion paper was presented to guide this engagement process which included looking at cumulative impacts for example and a commit to support Indigenous rights
- Government has also announced a \$220 million investment into green energy infrastructure
- Indigenous Advisory Committees have been established to come together and discuss better ways Canada can be working with First Nations communities
- Through ESDC, we have more programs:
 - ASETS
 - \$295 million annually
 - \$47.5 million in BC
 - Skills and Partnership Fund
 - Proposal based program
 - Nation investment of \$50 mil/year
- As part of the EPP, we have genuine commitment to try and reduce the burden on First Nations to navigate the federal landscape

Comments:

How does Canada benefit from the BC LNG market?

- Economic benefit that would come from the development
- Federal income tax benefits that would result from LNG projects
- Market diversification to export gas overseas
- Economic benefits would stem past the province and overflow to the rest of the country

What percentage of revenues from LNG would Canada receive? What percentage of the benefits would flow to Canada rather than BC?

- If Canada's not benefiting a lot, how do First Nations benefit when they are federally based jurisdictions and we are governed with Canada?

Identify Next Steps

How can First Nations and the Province contribute to making BC a more likely place for investment in additional LNG projects?

Are there any ideas or models First Nations would like to see carried out to enhance the changes of LNG investment?

What has worked to support potential LNG development?

What new approaches (ideas/models) might the Province and First Nations pursue to enhance the prospects of LNG investment?

How do we make industry treat us fairly? How can we incentive industry to work more collaboratively with industry?

- Useful to understand the culture of companies to understand what drives them forward other than profits to see if we can form partnerships
- What are the leverage points? First Nations are often in the position where they can't fully leverage their rights and have to take whatever comes their way

New opportunity with the new government. What advice do we have for them?

- Site C – they have brought in all of their own infrastructure in house (including stores, doctors, coffee shops, etc) with the intention of lessening any burden on the communities (e.g., FSJ) but why aren't they just funding 2 doctors the community so that the community sees these fringe benefits as well?
 - Should be prioritizing leveraging these projects as an opportunity to build up communities' infrastructure and capacity
- What happens to the camps once their decommissioned?
 - They're hauled out
- Employment opportunities should be a given – shouldn't be a discussion
- In order for LNG to happen, situations like Haisla getting \$50 mil and everyone else getting nothing shouldn't happen. IF this were spread out, more people would probably have been interested as that would provide some sustainability for communities.
- Every one of the positions in our communities are subsidized by us... we don't get the funds from INAC to be competitive
 - We don't get enough to survive but just enough to keep our heads above the water so that's where we get stuck
- Thought provoking potential response from North Coast – why should they have to share downstream benefits up the value chain to the North east who have had resource

development benefit agreement opportunities for decades and have never shared downstream before? Why should they have to start doing it now?

- Don't want the communities to share what they have; want proponents to pay up the stream
- There have been some new approaches proposed in this area and this is something that could be further fleshed out in our recommendations

s.13

Reclamation – what is going to help us best keep the impacts down?

Job opportunities – always labour jobs but never administrative long-term jobs that would help build sustainable relationships

There's another LNG bubble that's coming and we need to be ready for this demand

Need to have a truthful meaningful relationship with First Nations and the Province – this would promote equality and generate certainty. There are a number of reconciliation agreements that continue to build off of each other, there are also other types of relationship agreements. We could examine those and do an analysis of what components should be integrated into a renewed meaningful relationship. Companies are looking for certain policy and regulatory regimes in place – from First Nations, they (companies) would like to see stable relationships, certainty of access to land, regulatory decision making.

There could be better communications between partners on these projects – open the dialogue to exchange views on the opportunities

There's a big push for First Nations and government to collaborate but you don't see much collaboration with industry. Initially, there were a ton of players but this has shrunk. Perhaps now they will be coming to the table.

Issue of First Nations decision making timelines - takes too long

Issue of who do they talk to anyway - hereditary vs elected chiefs [and proper title holder]

First Nations, government, and industry should all be sitting at the table together – everyone's talking about each other but there's no real space for everyone to have this conversation

together. Its complex on the industry and First Nations sides as to who would need to/should come to such a table – it's a shared challenge and opportunity that First Nations and industry both have.

Issue: Suspended wells – its cheaper to pay for old ones (\$10,000/year) than it is to clean them up – enforcement and consequences are major issues. They should be forced to clean them up.

Dispute resolution – monitors on the ground to help communities gain greater understanding of what's happening on the ground. When they see an issue – it'll be reported but don't hear back on any follow-up. Don't know if companies are even cleaning up messes as there's no accountability or monitoring follow-up.

Issue with the OGC: 85% of OGC background is forestry and the rest is oil & gas (e.g., oil field operators) related. s.22 l. Recommendation for there to be a process in place for how they look at and evaluating applications to ensure that First Nations concerns are considered and taken into account.

How do we bring Canada into these relationships so it's more reciprocal as to just being with BC? The fiduciary duty lays with Canada; not BC.

We don't want to see what the liberals did – taking the top off and letting the market go crazy. Could feasibly seeing one going through. Want to see some far ahead talks before projects are being approved.

LNG Market – Energy Market – it might be helpful to draft an Energy Plan where LNG is one part of it but that would also include hydro, wind, solar, thermal, etc.

"We're not opposed to LNG but there has to a balance... we still have to practice our way of life. You can't eat money. Look at what happened to the caribou."

Summary of Themes raised today:

1. Capacity Development
 - Sustainable communities (infrastructure investments, organizational infrastructure)
2. Meaningful consultation and accommodation – potential incentive and opposed penalty regimes
3. Economic opportunities – fiscal power piece needs be explored
 - Equity interests in facilities
4. Environmental opportunities – cumulative impacts
 - Reclamation requirements
5. Respect of Treaty 8
6. Ensuring there are true relationships with companies – it all boils down to relationships

7. Information sharing and communication – clear understanding on short term, long term range of impacts so communities can prepare accordingly. How can we (FNs) contribute if we don't what the plan is?
8. Responsiveness to issues raised by First Nations (by BC and industry)
9. Examination of OGC regulations, role and functionality
10. Active participation of Canada in this

Page 45 to/à Page 47

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.16;s.13

From: [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#)
To: [Morgan, Dale IRR:EX](#); [McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX](#)
Cc: [Nash, Laurel IRR:EX](#); [Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX](#); [Lang, Sandra IRR:EX](#); [Smith, Alanya C IRR:EX](#); [Puggioni, Giovanni IRR:EX](#)
Subject: RE: Update: LNG Competiveness workshop with T8
Date: Thursday, October 19, 2017 7:48:45 AM

Thanks Dale,

The feedback from that workshop (and the others) is being rolled into a report (that will be authored by Four Directions Management – Dan George and MEMPR). I think there will be opportunities for MIRR to provide feedback on the content but given the Province commissioned the work, I don't think a specific issues note is required right now.

Just my 2 cents.

Happy to discuss the context of any of the new issues raised if you are curious or want to take some action.

Rachel

Rachel Shaw

Director, Major Projects
Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation
o: 250-953-3322
c: 250-507-6047

From: Morgan, Dale IRR:EX
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 2:00 PM
To: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX; McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX
Cc: Nash, Laurel IRR:EX; Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX; Lang, Sandra IRR:EX; Smith, Alanya C IRR:EX
Subject: RE: Update: LNG Competiveness workshop with T8

Hi Rachel

Thanks for this summary. Is there a need to develop a response to the issues raised? Several of these have been raised in the past and efforts have been made to address them. Others are new.

Regards,

Dale Morgan

From: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 10:48 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX
Cc: Nash, Laurel IRR:EX; Puggioni, Giovanni IRR:EX; Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX; Lang, Sandra IRR:EX; Smith, Alanya C IRR:EX; Morgan, Dale IRR:EX
Subject: Update: LNG Competiveness workshop with T8

Hello Tom,

On Monday we had the LNG competitiveness workshop (co-hosted by MEMPR and the FNLNG Alliance) in Fort St. John. It was a small group with representatives from West Moberly, Doig, and Prophet. Of note we had both Chief Roland and Chief Trevor in attendance. Garth Thoroughgood (MEMPR) also attended this one given the upstream component.

The session went well with a very respectful dialogue. The focus was more the cumulative effects of upstream development than on the LNG in particular. Key messages:

- Upstream nations deserve greater proportion of LNG benefits given the higher impacts in their territories;
- There are concerns about non-producing wells that have not been cleaned up (apparently companies can just pay a fee to the OGC instead of reclaiming sites)
- Concerns about the attitudes of specific companies and the suggestion that good relationships with First Nations is a business (and permitting) imperative
- Concerns about racism
- Concerns about lack of economic benefits of oil and gas (view that the existing agreements are 'service agreements' rather than benefit agreements – **s.13,s.16**)
- Concerns that 'locked in industrial camps' (such as Site C) do not provide benefits to communities **s.13,s.16** in terms of capacity building and employment
- Discussion of best practices (including regular meetings with Nations, capacity funding, early engagement)
- Concerns about lack of follow-up by OGC when non-compliance is reported by Nations.

Happy to discuss if you have any questions.

Rachel

Rachel Shaw

Director, Major Projects
Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation
o: 250-953-3322
c: 250-507-6047

From: Karen Ogen
To: [Humber, Emmy MNGD:EX](#)
Cc: [Joanna Prince](#); [Coley, Simon J JAG:EX](#); [Hildebrandt, Colleen MNGD:EX](#); [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#)
Subject: Re: All regions info
Date: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 9:16:01 AM

Hi Emmy

I spoke with Simon about this earlier. If you scroll down and read his earlier note. I asked for all the regions contact info so Joanna can start contacting them so they can attend either Vancouver or the Terrace sessions. We just need the contact lists on our end so we can assist with phoning the FN.

Thanks

Karen Ogen
604.612.5980

On Oct 18, 2017, at 9:09 AM, Humber, Emmy MNGD:EX <Emmy.Humber@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

Hi Karen,

As you know FDMS is responsible for the attendance tracking and note taking – not BC. Last night I got an email from FDMS that asked me to review and confirm attendees, as Kelly is not familiar with all the players. I will take a look at them this morning and forward that on.

Emmy

From: Karen Ogen [<mailto:ceo@fnlngalliance.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 8:59 AM

To: Joanna Prince

Cc: [Coley, Simon J JAG:EX](#); [Hildebrandt, Colleen MNGD:EX](#); [Humber, Emmy MNGD:EX](#); [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#)

Subject: Re: All regions info

Hi Simon

I am not sure if the info was sent. Time is of the essence here. It seemed it was a concern for the alliance to be calling the FN but we can't if we don't have the information. I think it's critical we get the information so Joanna can start phoning on her end.

Thank you. If the info was sent and her and Colleen chatted disregard this email.

Karen Ogen
604.612.5980

On Oct 17, 2017, at 4:33 PM, Joanna Prince <[s.22](#)> wrote:

Hello Simon and Emmy and Colleen,

Im looking to book the flights for Karen YVR-YXT on October 25th and to return on the 26th; please advise what flights are booked by the province; I will put Karen on the same flights

Thank you

Joanna

778.389.2539

On Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 12:00 PM, Coley, Simon J JAG:EX

<Simon.Coley@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

Thanks Joanna!

Colleen is leading our calls and emails to meeting invitees. Colleen, could you please send the tracking tables with contact info for the Vancouver and Terrace meetings with Joanna at the FN LNG Alliance so she can also make calls to the First Nations who have not responded or not committed to sending a representative to the next sessions? You and Joanna should talk and coordinate invitation follow-up. Please let us know if you need assistance.

As Karen suggested yesterday, we should also reach out to those First Nations who didn't send a representative to one of the previous meetings and let them know they could attend either of the upcoming meetings. Colleen, you may want to confirm with Emmy (who has meeting notes from FDMS) about who didn't show up.

Thank you both!!

Simon Coley

Deputy Minister's Office, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

Tel: [250-952-0105](tel:250-952-0105) Cell: [250-507-6585](tel:250-507-6585) Fax: [250-952-0269](tel:250-952-0269)

From: Joanna Prince [mailto:s.22]

Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 11:47 AM

To: Karen Ogen

Cc: Coley, Simon J JAG:EX; Humber, Emmy MNGD:EX

Subject: Re: All regions info

Hello Simon and Emmy

Im leaving and unavailable from 12:30-2 today, but will be back after that and look forward to receiving the Band info so I can start calling them all

If there are ones that are confirmed/ registered already, please include that info. I can keep notes and responses on a spread sheet to keep everybody posted on progress. It would also be helpful to find out who is the main target for the call, Lands and Resource? Chief and Council?

Thanks

Joanna

On Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 9:46 AM, Karen Ogen

<ceo@fnlngalliance.com> wrote:

Hi Simon & Emmy

Can you send info on all contacts to Joanna Prince so she can contact all the nations that couldn't make it to their own regional session to either come to the Vancouver or Terrace session. You will need to let her know which nations attended.

I will need as soon as possible. So we can start phoning today.

Thanks.

Karen Ogen
604.612.5980

From: [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#)
To: [Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX](#)
Subject: RE: LNG Engagement in FSJ on Monday
Date: Friday, October 13, 2017 1:56:00 PM

thx

Rachel Shaw

Director, Major Projects
Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation
o: 250-953-3322
c: 250-507-6047

From: Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX
Subject: FW: LNG Engagement in FSJ on Monday

s.13,s.16

Page 54 to/à Page 55

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.16;s.13

From: [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#)
To: [Ziegler, Kevin IRR:EX](#)
Subject: FW: Update: Smithers FN LNG engagement
Date: Friday, October 13, 2017 9:32:00 AM

My bad. Here it is.

From: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX
Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 8:23 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX
Cc: Nash, Laurel IRR:EX; Recknell, Geoff IRR:EX
Subject: Update: Smithers FN LNG engagement

Hello Tom,

Just an update that we had the FN LNG competitiveness meeting in Smithers. No serious concerns.

Attendees included Chief Jackie Thomas, Chief Dan George, Rick Connors of the GDP, Tara Marsden of Gitanyow, and Ruby, Sophie and Karen Ogen with much of the LNG Alliance team. MPMO West was also there.

General discussion around competitiveness, skills and training and employment. s.13,s.16

s.13,s.16

That was pretty much it. Let me know if you have any questions.

Rachel

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

From: Hildebrandt, Colleen MNGD:EX
To: [Coley, Simon J JAG:EX](#); [Humber, Emmy MNGD:EX](#); [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#); [Thoroughgood, Garth A MNGD:EX](#)
Cc: ["Amber Salive"](#); [Ciruna, Kristine FLNR:EX](#)
Subject: Meeting Details - Fort St. John
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 1:03:29 PM

Good Afternoon,

Here's the event details for the Regional Engagement Session in Fort St. John next Monday.
I've cc:ed Amber Salive, Catering & Sales Manager as she is your on-site contact should you require anything at all during the meetings.

Event Details

8:00am – 3:30pm

Monday, October 16, 2017

Sterling Pomeroy Ballroom, Pomeroy Hotel, Fort St. John

11308 Alaska Road, Fort St. John BC

On-Site Contact

Amber Salive, Catering & Sales Manager

Direct: 780.215.4996

Email: eventsmanager@pomeroyhotelfsj.com

Room Set-Up and A/V Equipment

- ½ Round Crescent Seating
- Standing podium at front with Wireless Microphone
- Sound System provided
- Projection Screen and Projector with remote
- Pitchers of water and glasses on tables and refreshed throughout the day
- Note: your facilitator is to provide his own laptop and cords

Catering Information

**If you have any specific needs, please let the hotel staff know in advance.*

8:00am – 8:45am Breakfast Buffet

Selection of house baked pastries, chilled and carves melons and citrus fruits, low-fat yogurts, toast station with fruit preserves, scrambled eggs, butcher block bacon and sausages, fresh cut hash browns, assorted fruit juices, freshly brewed Starbucks coffee and Tazo tea

10:30am Morning Break

Freshly baked croissants, seasonal sliced fresh fruit and field berries, yogurts

Freshly brewed Starbucks coffee and Tazo Teas

12:00pm – 1:00pm Taste of Greece Lunch Buffet

Organic Spring Mix with balsamic dressing, Greek salad with feta cheese, warm grilled pita bread with tzatziki sauce, Pork and Chicken Souvlaki, Lemon roasted potatoes, Oregano rice pilaf, Baklava.

Fresh sliced fruit

Freshly brewed Starbucks coffee and Tazo Teas

Colleen Hildebrandt

Senior Outreach Officer | LNG Task Force | Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

Telephone: 778-676-2703 | Email: colleen.hildebrandt@gov.bc.ca

From: [MNGD LNG in BC MNGD:EX](#)
To: [MNGD LNG in BC MNGD:EX](#)
Subject: REMINDER - Terrace - First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Engagement Session
Date: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 9:18:57 AM
Attachments: [First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Sessions Itinerary October 26, 2017.docx](#)
[Hotel Information - Terrace October 26 2017.docx](#)

Good Afternoon,

Welcome to the First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Engagement Session in Terrace.

The Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources (EMPR) in partnership with the First Nations LNG Alliance (FNLNGA) appreciate your participation to carry out dialogues and discussing First Nations' insights into the emerging LNG industry.

The meeting will be held at the [Best Western Terrace Inn](#) on Thursday, October 26th in Terrace. Please see the following information attached with further details including:

- Itinerary for Thursday, October 26th
- Hotel Booking Information & Map

If you have any questions regarding the event, please contact lnginbc@gov.bc.ca

We look forward to collaborating with you on what we could do together to enhance the prospects of developing an LNG industry in British Columbia.

Sincerely,

LNG Task Force

First Nations and LNG in BC - Regional Engagement Sessions

Thursday, October 26, 2017

Skeena 2 Meeting Room

Best Western, Terrace

Start	End	Details
8:00am	8:45am	<i>Registration table opens and morning networking</i> *Hot Breakfast Buffet & Coffee served
8:45am	9:00am	<i>Welcome to Traditional Territory</i>
9:00am	9:15am	<i>Opening remarks</i> Welcome from Facilitator Dan George Objective setting with Simon Coley and Karen Ogen
9:15am	9:30am	<i>Introduction</i> – Dan George Looking back, looking forward Lessons learned, nature of the opportunity
9:30am	9:45am	<i>FN opportunities in LNG development</i> – Karen Ogen FNLNGA mandate and vision Opportunities for FN leadership
9:45am	10:30am	<i>LNG update</i> – Simon Coley and Emmy Humber LNG background and market update Provincial/FN partnerships
10:30am	10:45am	Morning break *Snacks and coffee
10:45am	12:00	<i>Group discussions</i> – Facilitated by Dan George What has worked to support potential LNG development?
12:00	1:00	Lunch break and networking *Hot lunch served
1:00pm	2:45pm	<i>Group discussions</i> – Facilitated by Dan George Building on successes – new ideas generation: what new approaches might the Province and First Nations pursue to enhance the prospects of LNG investment?
3:00pm	3:30pm	<i>Summary and next steps</i> – Facilitated by Dan George Closing remarks

From: [MNGD LNG in BC MNGD:EX](#)
To: [MNGD LNG in BC MNGD:EX](#)
Subject: REMINDER: First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Engagement Session - Vancouver
Date: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 1:20:57 PM
Attachments: [First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Sessions Itinerary October 25, 2017.docx](#)
[Hotel Information -Vancouver October 25 2017.docx](#)

Good Afternoon

Just a reminder about the upcoming First Nations and LNG in BC Regional Engagement Session in Downtown Vancouver.

Please note the deadline for securing the hotel room rate has been extended to Thursday, October 5th.

The Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources (EMPR) in partnership with the First Nations LNG Alliance (FNLNGA) appreciate your participation to carry out dialogues and discussing First Nations' insights into the emerging LNG industry.

The meeting will be held at the [Coast Coal Harbour Hotel](#) on Wednesday, October 25th in Downtown Vancouver. Please see the following information attached with further details including:

- Itinerary for Wednesday, October 25th
- Hotel Booking Information & Map

If you have any questions regarding the event, please contact lnginbc@gov.bc.ca

We look forward to collaborating with you on what we could do together to enhance the prospects of developing an LNG industry in British Columbia.

Sincerely,

LNG Task Force

First Nations and LNG in BC - Regional Engagement Sessions

Wednesday, October 25, 2017
Grouse Meeting Room
 Coast Coal Harbour, Vancouver

Start	End	Details
8:00am	8:45am	<i>Registration table opens and morning networking</i> *Hot Breakfast Buffet & Coffee served
8:45am	9:00am	<i>Welcome to Traditional Territory</i>
9:00am	9:15am	<i>Opening remarks</i> Welcome from Facilitator Dan George Objective setting with Simon Coley and Karen Ogen
9:15am	9:30am	<i>Introduction</i> – Dan George Looking back, looking forward Lessons learned, nature of the opportunity
9:30am	9:45am	<i>FN opportunities in LNG development</i> – Karen Ogen FNLNGA mandate and vision Opportunities for FN leadership
9:45am	10:30am	<i>LNG update</i> – Simon Coley and Emmy Humber LNG background and market update Provincial/FN partnerships
10:30am	10:45am	Morning break *Snacks and coffee
10:45am	12:00	<i>Group discussions</i> – Facilitated by Dan George What has worked to support potential LNG development?
12:00	1:00	Lunch break and networking *Hot lunch served
1:00pm	2:45pm	<i>Group discussions</i> – Facilitated by Dan George Building on successes – new ideas generation: what new approaches might the Province and First Nations pursue to enhance the prospects of LNG investment?
3:00pm	3:30pm	<i>Summary and next steps</i> – Facilitated by Dan George Closing remarks

From: [Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX](#)
To: [McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX](#)
Cc: [Nash, Laurel IRR:EX](#); [Recknell, Geoff IRR:EX](#)
Subject: RE: Update: Smithers FN LNG engagement
Date: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 8:37:00 AM

Thanks Tom – yes, Simon was quite keen about that one. I expect MEMPR will want to discuss further.

Cheers,
Rachel

Rachel Shaw

Director, Major Projects
Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation
o: 250-953-3322
c: 250-507-6047

From: McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX
Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 8:24 AM
To: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX
Cc: Nash, Laurel IRR:EX; Recknell, Geoff IRR:EX
Subject: RE: Update: Smithers FN LNG engagement
Thanks Rachel –

Really appreciate the update –s.13,s.16

s.13,s.16

Tom

From: Shaw, Rachel IRR:EX
Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 8:23 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom IRR:EX
Cc: Nash, Laurel IRR:EX; Recknell, Geoff IRR:EX
Subject: Update: Smithers FN LNG engagement

Hello Tom,

Just an update that we had the FN LNG competitiveness meeting in Smithers. No serious concerns.

Attendees included Chief Jackie Thomas, Chief Dan George, Rick Connors of the GDP, Tara Marsden of Gitanyow, and Ruby, Sophie and Karen Ogen with much of the LNG Alliance team. MPMO West was also there.

General discussion around competitiveness, skills and training and employment. s.13,s.16
s.13,s.16

That was pretty much it. Let me know if you have any questions.

Rachel

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

Page 63 to/à Page 65

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.16;s.13