Date Prepared: July 4, 2022

Date Decision Required: August 30, 2022

MINISTRY OF ATTORNEY GENERAL MINISTRY RESPONSIBLE FOR HOUSING COURT SERVICES BRANCH DECISION BRIEFING NOTE

Legal Advice to Attorney General							
This document contains information protected from disclosure by one or more of the following:							
Solicitor-Client Privilege	[X]	Implied Undertaking	[]				
Litigation Privilege	[]	FOIPPA	[X]				
Settlement Privilege	[]	Cabinet Confidentiality	[]				
Other (please specify)			[]				
Disclosure of information in this briefing note may constitute an offence under an enactment, result in the waiver of privilege, prevent government from protecting the information from disclosure or result in a breach of an undertaking to the court.							

PURPOSE: For DECISION of Shannon Salter,

Deputy Attorney General and

Deputy Minister Responsible for Housing

ISSUE:

Relocation expenses requested by a former Court Services employee.

DECISION REQUIRED/ RECOMMENDATION:

s.13

SUMMARY:

- s.22 is requesting financial support to fund the costs of a recent move to a new home.
- Relocation is normally only provided for moves made necessary by a change in headquarters.s.22

s.22

The Court Services Branch offered relocation assistance to support the employee in moving closer to the new workplace.^{s 22}

Date Decision Required: August 30, 2022

BACKGROUND:

- Government funds employee relocation expenses in cases where the employee is required to move to a new community for employment. These costs are determined by the Terms and Conditions for Excluded Employees and include:
 - House hunting trips,
 - o Moving of household effects,
 - o Real Estate fees (maximum \$9,440); and
 - Legal fees (maximum \$1,110).
- The Terms and Conditions of Employment for Excluded Employees and Appointees (schedule 5), define the conditions under which reimbursement of relocation expenses can be offered. **Relocation** means a change of residence by an employee/appointee from one headquarters or geographic location to another made necessary by being the successful applicant in a Public Service competition, or at the employer's request or direction.

DISCUSSION:

s.22

- kms from the new workplace. In this case, it would be normal to provide relocation assistance to support the employee in moving to a new home closer to \$22 . The employee was advised that the employer would not require him to move from \$22 area if employee found that the commute was an acceptable alternative solution
- has requested that his move be covered which would be unusual as the new house is \$.22 than his previous residence. \$.22 s.22 believes that relocation assistance was an incentive that convinced him to accept \$.22
- provided a package of materials that he received on relocation assistance. The package includes a link to the relocation policy which states that relocation "means a change of residence ... from one geographic location to another made necessary... at the employer's request or direction." This schedule to the Terms and Conditions for Excluded Employees goes on to say that "The employee/appointee shall not be entitled to relocation expenses where their new worksite is closer to their current residence".

The PSA has confirmed that the increased distance makes the move ineligible for reimbursement under the current policy. An exemption from the DAG would be required to reimburse expenses outside of policy.

Date Prepared: July 4, 2022

Date Decision Required: August 30, 2022

The Manager of Budgets and Financial Planning approved the request for move form to get a move estimate on s.22 . She believed that he was moving to the area. The form stated that the new address was in s.22 . This approved form authorized the Ministry of Citizens' services to get a move estimate.

The estimate was returned by Citizens' Services to the Ministry of Attorney General on

The authorization form was missed by CSB staff and was not sent to an Expense Authority until \$.22 at which point, the Director of Finance consulted with the Ministry CFO and Regional Executive Director. The determination was that the move did not comply with policy as:

- The move appeared to be for personal reasons not related to work.
- The employee did not move to a new geographical region.
- The new home was further from the office.

CSB has reviewed its approval procedures to reduce the likelihood of an incorrect approval, and to ensure that authorization forms are handled in a timely fashion.

The Ministry of Citizens' Services did not wait for Expense Authority approval to book movers for services out of concern that movers might not be available if there was a delay. These movers showed up on services at which point the cancellation cost was estimated at \$2,500, so the branch offered to permit the movers to proceed if the employee agreed to repay the difference of \$3,500. The employee was offered terms to pay over two years and refused stating that he could get a better price, so the move was cancelled, although the government movers completed a pack for the employee.

notes that he was not given significant time to decide whether to use the government movers that were already there. These movers were already on the clock and there was concern that a delay could result in increased costs to government. It is noted that due to the last-minute cancellation fee, the movers who were already at employee's residence used the day to perform a full pack.

s.22

He relied on government movers rather than booking his own solution. He also states that the short notice that his move did not comply with policy was not reasonable. While this may be a sufficient rationale to pay for the move of household effects, it would not justify legal costs, incidental expenses, or real estate fees.

In exchange for government covering the packing cost s.22 is dropping his requests for:

- Legal fees (maximum (\$1,110)
- Incidental costs (maximum \$800)
- Real estate costs (maximum \$9,440).

Date Prepared: July 4, 2022

Date Decision Required: August 30, 2022

None of the conditions under which the Deputy Attorney General can pre-approve relocation expenses in excess of the provisions of the Terms and Conditions for Excluded Employees apply to this case. These conditions are:

- 1. The employee brings difficult to recruit skills to the job;
- 2. The location of the job makes it difficult to recruit; or
- There is a need to be competitive in order to attract a candidate who will provide good value for cost

s.13; s.22

s.14; s.22

INDIGENOUS PEOPLES CONSIDERATIONS:

N/A

OPTIONS:

1. Do not approve any costs.

Pros

 Consistent with the Terms and Conditions of Employment for Excluded Employees as it was not necessary to move further away from the office.

s.13

2. s.13

OTHER MINISTRIES IMPACTED/CONSULTED:

 The PSA has been consulted and notes that only option 1 is consistent with the Terms and Conditions for Excluded Employees. The PSA also advises that legal advice is required in this case. This advice was obtained and included in this note.

OPTION 1 APPROVED

Shannon Salter

Deputy Attorney General and

Deputy Minister Responsible for Housing

Prepared by:

Christopher Steinbach Director of Finance and Administration Court Services Branch 778-974-5069 August 24, 2022

DATE

Approved by:

Dan Chiddell Executive Director Court Services Branch 250-475-7420

This document may contain information that is protected by solicitor client privilege. Prior to any disclosure of this document outside of government, including in response to a request under the <u>Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act</u>, the Ministry in possession of this document must consult with the lawyer responsible for the matter to determine whether information contained in this document is subject to solicitor client privilege.

Appendix A

Cliff: 629978 Date Prepared: July 4, 2022 Date Decision Required: August 30, 2022

s.22	sent the ADM a copy of the relocation policy in support of his request for
funding	for his move.
s.22	
s.22	
	was sent the following package that contains the relocation policy.
s.22	
s.22	
s.22	sent the following response to the letter sent to him on s.22
s.22	

Page 07 of 60 to/à Page 11 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

Date Prepared: August 2, 2022 Date Decision Required: August 19, 2022

MINISTRY OF ATTORNEY GENERAL AND MINISTRY RESPONSIBLE FOR HOUSING COURT SERVICES BRANCH DECISION BRIEFING NOTE

PURPOSE: For DECISION of Shannon Salter,

Deputy Attorney General and

Deputy Minister Responsible for Housing

ISSUE:

To consider a meritorious general leave with pay request for s.22

under section 57.1 of the Terms and Conditions of Employment for excluded employees:

57. General Leave of Absence With Pay

57.1 Leave of Absence With Pay: All Employees / Appointees Except Auxiliary Under 1,827 Hours, Statutory Term and OIC Category D A deputy minister shall approve leave of absence with pay for an employee/appointee in an amount equal to or greater than that provided for a bargaining unit employee in all circumstances where bargaining unit employees are granted leave with pay. Leave of absence with pay may also be granted by a deputy minister in other meritorious circumstances not covered under subsection (1) above. Examples of meritorious circumstances include: family illness (critically ill or injured spouse); family caregiver for adults; compassionate care for a gravely ill spouse.

General Leave With Pay

General leave with pay may be granted to **excluded** and PEA employees in extenuating circumstances. This leave isn't available to BCGEU employees or Nurses.

Submit a written request to your supervisor that outlines your reason for taking leave. Leaves will be granted for legitimate reasons. Exceptions include requests for leave to work for another employer or when it's not operationally possible for you to be absent.

DECISION REQUIRED/ RECOMMENDATION:

RECOMMENDED OPTION:

OPTION 1, approve paid leave of four weeks. Recommend approval of general leave with pay for four weeks on the grounds of meritorious circumstances, s.22

Date Prepared: August 2, 2022 Date Decision Required: August 19, 2022

SUMMARY:

- The employee requires up to 7 weeks off^{s.22}
- The branch supports her request for leave with pay during this eight-week period and is requesting four weeks of paid leave.
- The meritorious circumstances of this request align with Section 57.1 of the Terms and Conditions of Employment for excluded employees.

BACKGROUND:

s.22

- The employee is in her^{s.22} year of service with the Ministry. ^{s.22}
 - She is a highly valued leader with her experience and expertise and often the go-to person provincially.
- The employee has an exemplary attendance record with very little STIIP time used during her employment in the ministry.

DISCUSSION:

Date Prepared: August 2, 2022 Date Decision Required: August 19, 2022

s.22

• The ministry's Strategic Human Resources office and the Public Service Agency were consulted regarding leave with pay for the previous excluded employee's situation which is essentially the same: both indicated that consideration of leave with pay should align with the BCGEU Main Agreement whereby 70 hours (two weeks) of leave with pay be granted unless, per Section 57.1 of the Terms and Conditions of Employment (above), the Deputy Minister grant leave equal to or greater than bargaining unit leave based on meritorious circumstances^{s.22}
This applies to the current request.

s.22

INDIGENOUS PEOPLES CONSIDERATIONS:

N/A

OPTIONS:

1. Approve paid leave of four weeks per Section 57 of the Terms and Conditions for excluded employees

Date Prepared: August 2, 2022 Date Decision Required: August 19, 2022

OTHER MINISTRIES IMPACTED/CONSULTED:

- Justice Sector Strategic Human Resources (in previous case)
- Public Service Agency (in previous case)

OPTION _1 APPROVED

Shannon Salter

Deputy Attorney General and

Deputy Minister Responsible for Housing

Approved by:

DATE:

August 10, 2022

Melanie Neal Acting Assistant Deputy Minister Court Services Branch 250 475-7420

Prepared by:

Angela Villella Regional Executive Director Vancouver-Coastal and Fraser Regions Court Services Branch Ministry of Attorney General 604 660-2499

Attachment(s)

s 22

Final DAG signed-off DBN

Page 16 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

Date Prepared: February 19, 2021 Date Decision Required: March 5, 2021

MINISTRY OF ATTORNEY GENERAL COURT SERVICES BRANCH DECISION BRIEFING NOTE

PURPOSE: For DECISION for Richard J.M. Fyfe, QC

Deputy Attorney General

ISSUE:

To consider a meritorious general leave with pay request for excluded employee s.22

57. General Leave of Absence With Pay

57.1 Leave of Absence With Pay: All Employees / Appointees Except Auxiliary Under 1,827 Hours, Statutory Term and OIC Category D

A deputy minister shall approve leave of absence with pay for an employee/appointee in an amount equal to or greater than that provided for a bargaining unit employee in all circumstances where bargaining unit employees are granted leave with pay. Leave of absence with pay may also be granted by a deputy minister in other meritorious circumstances not covered under subsection (1) above. Examples of meritorious circumstances include: family illness (critically ill or injured spouse); family caregiver for adults; compassionate care for a gravely ill spouse.

General Leave With Pay

General leave with pay may be granted to **excluded** and PEA employees in extenuating circumstances. This leave isn't available to BCGEU employees or Nurses.

Submit a written request to your supervisor that outlines your reason for taking leave. Leaves will be granted for legitimate reasons. Exceptions include requests for leave to work for another employer or when it's not operationally possible for you to be absent.

Date Prepared: February 19, 2021 Date Decision Required: March 5, 2021

ВΑ	CK	GR	οι	JN	D:
----	----	----	----	----	----

s.22

- The employee is in her^{s.22} year of service with the Ministry. She has led or represented the branch on many high-profile projects including:s.22
- The employee has an exemplary attendance record with very little STIIP time used during her employment in the ministry.

DISCUSSION:

s.22

The justice sector's Strategic Human Resources office and the Public Service
Agency have been consulted regarding leave with pay for this excluded employee:
both indicated that consideration of leave with pay should align with the BCGEU
Main Agreement whereby 70 hours (two weeks) of leave with pay be granted unless,
per Section 57.1 of the Terms and Conditions of Employment (above), the Deputy
Minister grant leave equal to or greater than bargaining unit leave based on
meritorious circumstances s.22

Date Prepared: February 19, 2021 Date Decision Required: March 5, 2021

S	П	N.	Л	N	V	1	١	,	v	
J	u	H٧	и		٧I		٦	L		

s.22 __-

- The branch supports her request for leave with pay during this eight-week period and is requesting four weeks of paid leave.
- The meritorious circumstances of this request align with Section 57.1 of the Terms and Conditions of Employment for excluded employees.

INDIGENOUS PEOPLES CONSIDERATIONS:

N/A

DECISION REQUIRED/ RECOMMENDATION:

s.13

OPTIONS:

1. Approve paid leave of four weeks per Section 57 of the Terms and Conditions for excluded employees

s.13

OTHER MINISTRIES IMPACTED/CONSULTED:

- Justice Sector Strategic Human Resources
- Public Service Agency

Date Prepared: February 19, 2021 Date Decision Required: March 5, 2021

RECOMMENDED OPTION:

OPTION 1: approve paid leave of four weeks.

RECOMMMENDED OPTION APPROVED

Did III GO

Richard J. M. Fyfe, QC Deputy Attorney General

Prepared by:

Joel Statton Senior Manager Court Services Branch 236 468-3557

Attachment(s)

c 22

DATE:

February 23, 2021

Approved by:

Jenny Manton Assistant Deputy Minister Court Services Branch 250 419-8836 Page 21 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

Page 22 of 60 to/à Page 24 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

Page 25 of 60 to/à Page 26 of 60 $\,$

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13; s.17

Date Prepared: July 12, 2022

MINISTRY OF ATTORNEY GENERAL AND MINISTRY RESPONSIBLE FOR HOUSING INFORMATION BRIEFING NOTE

PURPOSE: For **INFORMATION** for Shannon Salter.

Deputy Attorney General and

Deputy Minister Responsible for Housing

ISSUE:

With the conclusion of the Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia (Cullen Commission), a review of the Freedom of Information (FOI) process has been completed in anticipation of the Ministry receiving an influx of FOI Requests.

SUMMARY:

- The Cullen Commission released their final report on June 15, 2022, and the Tribunals, Transformation, and Independent Offices Division (TTIOD) is working to support the close out of the Commission.
- In accordance with section 30 of the Public Inquiry Act, the Commission implemented a process to determine what records are required to be assembled and provided to Government for the purpose of archiving and management, and what records are considered transitory and can be destroyed.
- Historically, Independent Commission records are surrendered to Government Records Services (GRS) to be legally transferred to the BC Archives in hard copy. However, the entirety of the Cullen Commission's records are in digital format.
- The Cullen Commission's digital records are now in the custody of Ministry of Attorney General and Minister Responsible for Housing until such time as the BC Archives and the GRS establish and implement an electronic archives framework to house large volumes of digital materials.
- It is expected that within 5 years this framework will be complete, and the records could be electronically transferred to BC Archives for retention at that time.
- TTIOD worked with Justice Services Branch (JSB) Records Analysts, and the Information Systems Branch (ISB) to develop a customized best practice for assessing and triaging FOI requests, and is now confident it is well positioned to respond to any FOI requests it receives.
- As per section 75 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FOIPPA), additional processing fees may be applied to requests depending on their size and complexity (i.e.: the labour-intensive task of obscuring faces in video files)

BACKGROUND:

 Information requests directed to former Cullen Commission members will be redirected to Information Access Operations to assign the requests appropriately.

Date Prepared: July 12, 2022

 A significant portion of the information generated by the Cullen Commission is publicly available via the website and could potentially be released through the FOIPPA

- Requests for information readily available on the Commission's website will receive a response directing them to https://cullencommission.ca/ where the archive are housed
- Complex requests for footage or sensitive documents will be reviewed on a caseby-case basis and an estimation of processing time will be provided.
- FOI requests, once complete, are typically posted online to Open Information; however TTIOD will review each request individually, and consult with Information Access Operations when deemed necessary to determine if an exception is required.

INDIGENOUS PEOPLES CONSIDERATIONS:

N/A

OTHER MINISTRIES IMPACTED/CONSULTED

- Ministry of Citizen Services
- Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch

Prepared by:

Shay Matters
Sr. Project Manager
Tribunals, Transformation, and
Independent Offices Division
Justice Services Branch
236 468-1772

Approved August 24, 2022 by:

Alanna Valentine-Pickett
A/ Senior Director
Tribunals, Transformation, and
Independent Offices Division
Justice Services Branch
778.698.8896

Approved August 24, 2022 by:

Zac Kremler
A/ Executive Director
Tribunals, Transformation, and
Independent Offices Division
Justice Services Branch
250-507-4464

Approved Date by:

Paul Craven A/Assistant Deputy Minister Justice Services Branch 778 698-9333

This document may contain information that is protected by solicitor client privilege. Prior to any disclosure of this document outside of government, including in response to a request under the <u>Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act</u>, the Ministry in possession of this document must consult with the lawyer responsible for the matter to determine whether information contained in this document is subject to solicitor client privilege.

Page 29 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.12; s.14

Page 30 of 60 to/à Page 31 of 60 $\,$

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

Page 32 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.12; s.14

Page 33 of 60 to/à Page 34 of 60 $\,$

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13; s.14

Page 35 of 60 to/à Page 60 of 60

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.12; s.14