

From: [Ma.MLA, Bowinn LASS:EX](#)
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#)
Cc: [Ma.MLA, Bowinn LASS:EX](#)
Subject: FW: Urgent Cypress Provincial Park Access Issue
Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 11:16:47

Hello Caelie,

Can you please have someone look into this? **s.22** is a constituent of mine who has been working on this issue for some time. I suppose one of the main questions is whether the new government supports the recommendations of the Williams Commission. If yes, then perhaps we can insist that those recommendations be enacted.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s əlilwətaʔt təməxʷ

Community Office: 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

[BowinnMaMLA.ca](#) | [Fb.com/BowinnMa](#) | [@BowinnMa](#)

شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: **s.22**

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 10:15 AM

To: Minister, ENV ENV:EX ; Ma.MLA, Bowinn

Subject: Urgent Cypress Provincial Park Access Issue

Dear Honourable George Heyman and Honourable Bowinn Ma,

Beginning on Friday November 10th, there will be a serious access issue at Cypress Provincial Park.

In the winter season of 15/16, BC Parks and Cypress Mountain Resort implemented a new policy to block backcountry users from accessing the Black Mountain Trail and Howe Sound Crest Trail through the Backcountry Access Corridor before 9 am. Discussions failed under the previous government in 15/16 and 16/17 to resolve this issue, despite a massive outcry from the outdoor community. If it would be helpful, I can forward you my back and forth correspondence with the previous Minister of Environment.

The Backcountry Access Corridor is a very short section of trail (150 meters long, 3 meters wide, flat terrain with street lights and clear lines of sight) that allows park users to cross the bottom of the ski area to access a large area of the provincial park that is outside of the Controlled Recreation Area. The corridor was established as a result of the Williams Commission in 1995 to ensure that the interests of the public and the interests of the private resort were balanced.

The Williams Commission was called after similar access constraints were placed on users in the 1980s which led to protests and what the commission referred to as "the war in Cypress."

<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib73483.pdf>

In addition to being inconsistent with the recommendations of the Williams Commission, this new policy is in direct contravention of section 3.061 of the Park Use Permit which states:

"During the Ski Season, any person may pass through the Controlled Recreation Area along the Backcountry Access Corridors on foot, skis or snowshoes for access to and egress from the Park Area beyond the Controlled Recreation Area...."

Most importantly, the policy creates a very serious safety issue for park users who are traversing the southern half of the Howe Sound Crest Trail. These experienced mountaineers need to start their journey as early in the day as is possible (an "alpine start") to be able

complete the trip in the available daylight.

In the summer of 2017, with a new government in Victoria and new management at Cypress Mountain Resort, there was renewed hope that the issue would be resolved in time for the 17/18 season. Many volunteers from many organizations have been very actively involved in trying to come to a resolution of this issue and discussions have occurred with the new president of Cypress Resort as well as with senior staff at BC Parks (Jennie Aikman in particular.) A number of options were presented by the outdoor community that would address any possible concerns from the resort and BC Parks regarding safety along the corridor and parking constraints.

Unfortunately, talks have failed and the policy will be re-implemented on the morning of November 10th with the backing and support of BC Parks.

I hope that this government can take quick action to ensure that this policy is not put into action on Friday.

I am intimately familiar with all sides and perspectives on this issue having met with a number of senior staff at BC Parks and Cypress Mountain resort over the past 24 months. I have also met with senior representatives from the mountaineering clubs and have even had a chance to discuss the matter with people involved in the issue going back to the 1980's. I may be reached at s.22 to discuss any details.

Sincerely,

s.22

From: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
To: ["Leonard, Ronna-Rae"](#)
Subject: RE: Grants and conflict
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2017 10:43:00

Hi Ronna-Rae,

Sorry for my delayed response. Would you like any assistance from me on this matter?

It may be helpful for you to know that it takes about a month for correspondence to come to the Minister's office at the moment, and so I haven't seen the Kus Kus Sum project email yet.

Cheers,

Eveline

Eveline Xia

Ministerial Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Room 112, Parliament Buildings

Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4

P: 250-952-7288

F: 250-387-1356

From: Leonard, Ronna-Rae **s.17**

Sent: Friday, November 3, 2017 2:37 PM

To: Xia, Eveline ENV:EX

Subject: Grants and conflict

Hello Eveline,

Just got copied on a letter from Comox Valley's Project Watershed to Minister Heyman, wherein they request the Minister's consideration of a grant for their Kus Kus Sum project.

I had gotten approval to do a 2-minute statement in the House next Wednesday on the organization and this project. I am checking to determine if I better find another subject-matter.

Thanks!

Ronna-Rae

From: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
To: ["Simons, Nicholas"](#)
Subject: meeting on Elphinstone with Ministers
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2017 15:18:00
Importance: High

Hi Nick,

We've got a meeting!

Please join Minister Donaldson and Minister Heyman at Room 112 (Env Office) to discuss in detail a response to Mt Elphinstone.

Date/Time: Nov 23rd at 1:30pm

It's half an hour and Ministry staff will be present.

Thanks,

Eveline

Eveline Xia

Ministerial Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Room 112, Parliament Buildings

Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4

P: 250-952-7288

F: 250-387-1356

From: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
To: ["Simons, Nicholas"](#)
Subject: new time for Elphinstone meeting
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2017 15:43:00

Hi Nick,

They've change the time for the MDD/MGH Elphinstone meeting to 8:30am on Nov 23rd.

Please let me know if you can attend.

Cheers,

Eveline

Eveline Xia

Ministerial Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Room 112, Parliament Buildings

Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4

P: 250-952-7288

F: 250-387-1356

From: Simons, Nicholas
To: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
Cc: [Connor, Tamara LASS:EX](#)
Subject: Re: new time for Elphinstone meeting
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2017 16:00:35

Thanks Eveline. See you then. N

From: "Xia, Eveline ENV:EX"
Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2017 3:43 PM
To: "Simons, Nicholas"
Subject: new time for Elphinstone meeting

Hi Nick,

They've change the time for the MDD/MGH Elphinstone meeting to 8:30am on Nov 23rd.
Please let me know if you can attend.

Cheers,

Eveline

Eveline Xia

Ministerial Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Room 112, Parliament Buildings

Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4

P: 250-952-7288

F: 250-387-1356

From: Ma, Bowinn
To: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
Subject: RE: Urgent Cypress Provincial Park Access Issue
Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 19:41:55

Thank you!!

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s əlilwətaʔt təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

[BowinnMaMLA.ca](#) | [Fb.com/BowinnMa](#) | [@BowinnMa](#)

شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: Xia, Eveline ENV:EX [<mailto:Eveline.Xia@gov.bc.ca>]

Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 5:46 PM

To: Ma, Bowinn

Cc: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX

Subject: RE: Urgent Cypress Provincial Park Access Issue

Hi Bowinn,

Not sure if this information has been sent to you. Our ADM spoke with [s.22](#) and BC Parks will be taking the position that the corridor must be opened from 7am, instead of 9am.

- At issue is the closure of the BAC overnight between 10pm and 9am. Users argue that having to wait until 9am to access the BAC puts hikers on the Howe Sound Crest Trail at risk due to not having sufficient time to complete the hike in daylight. Cypress argues the closure is necessary to protect public safety while grooming is underway.
- Jim Standen spoke with [s.22](#) who indicated a growing desire on the part of users to challenge the closure this weekend by accessing the BAC before its 9am opening.
- In discussion with Cypress management, agreement has been reached that access through the BAC will be allowed after 7am for this weekend. This will alleviate the immediate issue and will be communicated out to stakeholders tomorrow.
- *BC Parks will be taking the position that the corridor must be opened from 7am for hiker safety reasons and will be insisting that Cypress accommodate this, relying on our authorities under the Park Use Permit.*

Eveline

From: Ma.MLA, Bowinn [<mailto:Bowinn.Ma.MLA@leg.bc.ca>]

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 11:17 AM

To: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX

Cc: Ma.MLA, Bowinn LASS:EX

Subject: FW: Urgent Cypress Provincial Park Access Issue

Hello Caelie,

Can you please have someone look into this? [s.22](#) is a constituent of mine who has been working on this issue for some time. I suppose one of the main questions is whether the new government supports the recommendations of the Williams Commission. If yes, then perhaps we can insist that those recommendations be enacted.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s əlilwətaʔt təməxʷ

Community Office: 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | Fb.com/BowinnMa | @BowinnMa

شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: s.22

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 10:15 AM

To: Minister, ENV ENV:EX <ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca>; Ma.MLA, Bowinn
<Bowinn.Ma.MLA@leg.bc.ca>

Subject: Urgent Cypress Provincial Park Access Issue

Dear Honourable George Heyman and Honourable Bowinn Ma,
Beginning on Friday November 10th, there will be a serious access issue at Cypress Provincial Park.

In the winter season of 15/16, BC Parks and Cypress Mountain Resort implemented a new policy to block backcountry users from accessing the Black Mountain Trail and Howe Sound Crest Trail through the Backcountry Access Corridor before 9 am. Discussions failed under the previous government in 15/16 and 16/17 to resolve this issue, despite a massive outcry from the outdoor community. If it would be helpful, I can forward you my back and forth correspondence with the previous Minister of Environment.

The Backcountry Access Corridor is a very short section of trail (150 meters long, 3 meters wide, flat terrain with street lights and clear lines of sight) that allows park users to cross the bottom of the ski area to access a large area of the provincial park that is outside of the Controlled Recreation Area. The corridor was established as a result of the Williams Commission in 1995 to ensure that the interests of the public and the interests of the private resort were balanced.

The Williams Commission was called after similar access constraints were placed on users in the 1980s which led to protests and what the commission referred to as "the war in Cypress."
<https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/bib73483.pdf>

In addition to being inconsistent with the recommendations of the Williams Commission, this new policy is in direct contravention of section 3.061 of the Park Use Permit which states:
"During the Ski Season, any person may pass through the Controlled Recreation Area along the Backcountry Access Corridors on foot, skis or snowshoes for access to and egress from the Park Area beyond the Controlled Recreation Area...."

Most importantly, the policy creates a very serious safety issue for park users who are traversing the southern half of the Howe Sound Crest Trail. These experienced mountaineers need to start their journey as early in the day as is possible (an "alpine start") to be able complete the trip in the available daylight.

In the summer of 2017, with a new government in Victoria and new management at Cypress Mountain Resort, there was renewed hope that the issue would be resolved in time for the 17/18 season. Many volunteers from many organizations have been very actively involved in trying to come to a resolution of this issue and discussions have occurred with the new president of Cypress Resort as well as with senior staff at BC Parks (Jennie Aikman in particular.) A number of options were presented by the outdoor community that would address any possible concerns from the resort and BC Parks regarding safety along the corridor and parking constraints.

Unfortunately, talks have failed and the policy will be re-implemented on the morning of November 10th with the backing and support of BC Parks.

I hope that this government can take quick action to ensure that this policy is not put into action on Friday.

I am intimately familiar with all sides and perspectives on this issue having met with a number of senior staff at BC Parks and Cypress Mountain resort over the past 24 months. I have also met with senior representatives from the mountaineering clubs and have even had a chance to discuss the matter with people involved in the issue going back to the 1980's. I may be reached at s.22 to discuss any details.

Sincerely,

s.22

From: Ma, Bowinn
To: [Ralston, Bruce JTT:EX](#)
Cc: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#); [Harder, Derrick TRAN:EX](#)
Subject: IDO Proposal - Transportation
Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 20:59:23
Attachments: [171122 IDO Proposal - Transportation.pdf](#)
[INST Planning Process v1.1.pdf](#)

Hello Bruce,

Here is a one-pager on the concept I pitched to you today along with a draft copy of the Integrated North Shore Transportation Planning Process I referred to today.

I am copying Caelie and Derrick just because I've had conversations about how this idea might interact with their respective Ministries and of course want to keep them in the loop.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Sḵwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s əlɪlwətaʔt təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | [Fb.com/BowinnMa](https://www.facebook.com/BowinnMa) | [@BowinnMa](https://twitter.com/BowinnMa)

شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: Ma, Bowinn
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#); [Harder, Derrick TRAN:EX](#)
Cc: [Ashbourne, Craig MAH:EX](#)
Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees
Date: Friday, December 15, 2017 18:08:52
Attachments: [Public Engagement Guidebook_no draft.pdf](#)
[2016 Engagement Survey Results Summary Report.pdf](#)

Caelie and Derrick,

Below is correspondence from the District of North Vancouver, who has expressed concern to me on a number of occasions around what they feel is a lack of proper public consultation by provincial agencies with community members. They cite recent examples regarding interactions with the Ministry of Transportation Infrastructure and with BC Parks so I am directing this to you. I am also copying MAH, given that this is a municipality formally lodging a complaint.

I think that this feedback from the District is legitimate and deserves a response that includes a commitment to improve as well as an outline of how that improvement will come from each Ministry.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s̓ əlilwətaʔt̓ təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | Fb.com/BowinnMa | @BowinnMa

شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: Ma.MLA, Bowinn

Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 2:46 PM

To: Ma, Bowinn

Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

From: David Stuart [<mailto:StuartD@dnv.org>]

Sent: December 11, 2017 10:51 AM

To: Ma.MLA, Bowinn <Bowinn.Ma.MLA@leg.bc.ca>

Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV <Council@dnv.org>

Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Dear MLA Ma;

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our perspective regarding interactions between Provincial Ministry staff and District of North Vancouver residents on recent projects. It has been our experience that, in recent years, Ministerial operations have drifted from previous methods of ensuring the public is well-informed about upcoming projects before work begins. And public consultation has been extremely limited. In previous governments Ministries had professional Communications resources assigned to assist with strategic advice on community relations. Those resources were all centralized some years ago and, more recently, have been focussed on media relations.

Two recent examples of this gap in strategic communications and community outreach are the Highway 1 interchange construction project, and the Mount Seymour parking lot expansion project.

- In the case of the Highway 1 project, there is no professional Communications resource assigned to this \$35 million project. Two poorly-attended open houses were held during the design phase of the project some years ago, and there has been no broad

communications to the community since that time. As a result, the Project Manager is dealing with complaints from individual residents and groups of residents who are being impacted by the project. Those residents are also writing to our Council and calling our staff. It is our understanding that the disruption and impact currently being experienced as a result of the Phase 1 work will pale in comparison to that which is expected in Phases 2 and 3. There is no Communication or Community Engagement Plan in place for the project.

- In the case of the parking lot expansion near Mt Seymour, neither District staff nor the public were informed ahead of time about the plans. As you're aware, the residents came to Council seeking information about the tree removal which came as a surprise to them. Again, no Communications resource is attached to the project, so there was no one to give advice to the operational staff about how to best approach the issue with the community.

Through our own experience in dealing with sometimes controversial projects, we have learned that it is always of benefit to ensure the public is not surprised or caught off guard by our actions and, that if there is an opportunity to consult the public, it is done in a way that is respectful, accessible and has meaning. In late 2016 we conducted a statistically relevant, demographically representative public opinion survey of District residents about public engagement and major issues, and as you will see from the summary (attached), transportation is a top issue and open houses are the least favoured outreach tactic.

The District's Communications team recently completed development of a Public Engagement Framework (attached) which provides guidance to our staff and council on how to consider, plan and execute public engagement. It is based on industry best practise from the International Association of Public Participation (IAP2), was developed to meet the self-identified needs of a wide range of our operational staff. It has been vetted by our colleagues at the SFU Centre for Dialogue. This booklet is easy to use, and helps staff who are not familiar with best practise to ensure their work meets our adopted standard.

We find that if expectations on communications and public engagement are clearly communicated to staff and the public, and enshrined in our standards of practise, our process is more consistent, which builds greater public trust, and the District is able to execute projects successfully with less delay or opposition.

I would be happy to discuss this in greater detail with you should you have any questions.

Regards,
David Stuart

From: Ma, Bowinn s.17

Sent: November 30, 2017 4:04 PM

To: Mayor and Council - DNV <Council@dnv.org>

Cc: Ma.MLA, Bowinn <Bowinn.Ma.MLA@leg.bc.ca>

Subject: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Mayor and Council,

I have been made aware of the challenges that the DoNV Council and residents have been facing in regards to the removal of trees by BC Parks from a parking lot at the base of Seymour Mountain. I'm grateful to Councillor Lisa Muri for reaching out to me this afternoon for help.

As was requested, I have worked with the Minister of Environment's office to ensure that the public community meeting being hosted by BC Parks tomorrow night has been rescheduled for next week instead so that residents have more notice and can plan to attend. I have also asked that BC Parks work with the DoNV to ensure that the information for the meeting is communicated to the right people.

Recognizing that there appears to be an ongoing concern regarding the way BC Parks is communicating with the

DoNV Council and residents, I'd also like to invite the DoNV to write to my office outlining your overall experience, concerns, and desire for an alternative process that works for the residents of North Vancouver so that I can pursue the matter further on your behalf.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s əlilwətaʔt təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | Fb.com/BowinnMa | @BowinnMa

شما و خانواده تان اولويت اول من هستيد

From: [Minister, ENV ENV:EX](#)
To: [Correspondence Unit ENV:EX](#)
Subject: FW: CREC and Upland
Date: Friday, December 22, 2017 08:18:34
Attachments: [20171220150757.pdf](#)

From: Doran, Sandra [<mailto:Sandra.Doran@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2017 10:09 AM
To: Minister, ENV ENV:EX
Subject: CREC and Upland

Please find attached a letter from Claire Trevena, MLA to Minister Heyman regarding Campbell River Environmental Council's concerns regarding Upland Excavating's landfill application.

Yours truly
Sandra Doran
Constituency Assistant to
Claire Trevena, MLA

From: ricohmfd@leg.bc.ca [<mailto:ricohmfd@leg.bc.ca>]
Sent: December 20, 2017 3:08 PM
To: Doran, Sandra <Sandra.Doran@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: RicohScan

From: [Salkus, Beverley ENV:EX](#)
To: [Correspondence Unit ENV:EX](#)
Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees
Date: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 07:56:00

Parks to draft DM response. Note in cliff Caelie would like to approve before sending.

From: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX
Sent: Monday, January 15, 2018 10:09 AM
To: Salkus, Beverley ENV:EX
Cc: Xia, Eveline ENV:EX
Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Hi Bev,

Can you please have staff draft a response to the piece that we're responsible for and make sure that the letter cc's MLA Ma? This letter can come from Mark Z or the appropriate staff person but I would love to see if first.

Thanks,
Caelie

From: Ma, Bowinn **s.17**
Sent: Monday, January 15, 2018 8:55 AM
To: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX; Harder, Derrick TRAN:EX
Cc: Ashbourne, Craig MAH:EX
Subject: RE: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Hi Team,

Just following up on this. Would like to make sure they receive a response to the feedback in some way or another (hopefully with an idea of how improvements will be made).

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA
North Vancouver-Lonsdale
Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s̓ əlilwətaʔt̓ təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3
Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | Fb.com/BowinnMa | @BowinnMa
شما و خانواده تان اولويت اول من هستيد

From: Ma, Bowinn
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 6:06 PM
To: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX <Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca>; Harder, Derrick TRAN:EX <Derrick.Harder@gov.bc.ca>

Cc: Ashbourne, Craig MAH:EX <Craig.Ashbourne@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Caelie and Derrick,

Below is correspondence from the District of North Vancouver, who has expressed concern to me on a number of occasions around what they feel is a lack of proper public consultation by provincial agencies with community members. They cite recent examples regarding interactions with the Ministry of Transportation Infrastructure and with BC Parks so I am directing this to you. I am also copying MAH, given that this is a municipality formally lodging a complaint.

I think that this feedback from the District is legitimate and deserves a response that includes a commitment to improve as well as an outline of how that improvement will come from each Ministry.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA

North Vancouver-Lonsdale

Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s̓əlíl̓wətaʔt̓ təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3

Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | Fb.com/BowinnMa | @BowinnMa

شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: Ma.MLA, Bowinn

Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 2:46 PM

To: Ma, Bowinn **s.17**

Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

From: David Stuart [<mailto:StuartD@dnv.org>]

Sent: December 11, 2017 10:51 AM

To: Ma.MLA, Bowinn <Bowinn.Ma.MLA@leg.bc.ca>

Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV <Council@dnv.org>

Subject: FW: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Dear MLA Ma;

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our perspective regarding interactions between Provincial Ministry staff and District of North Vancouver residents on recent projects. It has been our

experience that, in recent years, Ministerial operations have drifted from previous methods of ensuring the public is well-informed about upcoming projects before work begins. And public consultation has been extremely limited. In previous governments Ministries had professional Communications resources assigned to assist with strategic advice on community relations. Those resources were all centralized some years ago and, more recently, have been focussed on media relations.

Two recent examples of this gap in strategic communications and community outreach are the Highway 1 interchange construction project, and the Mount Seymour parking lot expansion project.

- In the case of the Highway 1 project, there is no professional Communications resource assigned to this \$35 million project. Two poorly-attended open houses were held during the design phase of the project some years ago, and there has been no broad communications to the community since that time. As a result, the Project Manager is dealing with complaints from individual residents and groups of residents who are being impacted by the project. Those residents are also writing to our Council and calling our staff. It is our understanding that the disruption and impact currently being experienced as a result of the Phase 1 work will pale in comparison to that which is expected in Phases 2 and 3. There is no Communication or Community Engagement Plan in place for the project.
- In the case of the parking lot expansion near Mt Seymour, neither District staff nor the public were informed ahead of time about the plans. As you're aware, the residents came to Council seeking information about the tree removal which came as a surprise to them. Again, no Communications resource is attached to the project, so there was no one to give advice to the operational staff about how to best approach the issue with the community.

Through our own experience in dealing with sometimes controversial projects, we have learned that it is always of benefit to ensure the public is not surprised or caught off guard by our actions and, that if there is an opportunity to consult the public, it is done in a way that is respectful, accessible and has meaning. In late 2016 we conducted a statistically relevant, demographically representative public opinion survey of District residents about public engagement and major issues, and as you will see from the summary (attached), transportation is a top issue and open houses are the least favoured outreach tactic.

The District's Communications team recently completed development of a Public Engagement Framework (attached) which provides guidance to our staff and council on how to consider, plan and execute public engagement. It is based on industry best practise from the International Association of Public Participation (IAP2), was developed to meet the self-identified needs of a wide range of our operational staff. It has been vetted by our colleagues at the SFU Centre for Dialogue. This booklet is easy to use, and helps staff who are not familiar with best practise to ensure their work meets our adopted standard.

We find that if expectations on communications and public engagement are clearly communicated to staff and the public, and enshrined in our standards of practise, our process is more consistent, which builds greater public trust, and the District is able to execute projects successfully with less

delay or opposition.

I would be happy to discuss this in greater detail with you should you have any questions.

Regards,

David Stuart

From: Ma, Bowinn s.17
Sent: November 30, 2017 4:04 PM
To: Mayor and Council - DNV <Council@dnv.org>
Cc: Ma.MLA, Bowinn <Bowinn.Ma.MLA@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: BC Parks - Seymour Parking Lot Trees

Mayor and Council,

I have been made aware of the challenges that the DoNV Council and residents have been facing in regards to the removal of trees by BC Parks from a parking lot at the base of Seymour Mountain. I'm grateful to Councillor Lisa Muri for reaching out to me this afternoon for help.

As was requested, I have worked with the Minister of Environment's office to ensure that the public community meeting being hosted by BC Parks tomorrow night has been rescheduled for next week instead so that residents have more notice and can plan to attend. I have also asked that BC Parks work with the DoNV to ensure that the information for the meeting is communicated to the right people.

Recognizing that there appears to be an ongoing concern regarding the way BC Parks is communicating with the DoNV Council and residents, I'd also like to invite the DoNV to write to my office outlining your overall experience, concerns, and desire for an alternative process that works for the residents of North Vancouver so that I can pursue the matter further on your behalf.

In your service,

Bowinn Ma, MLA
North Vancouver-Lonsdale
Skwxwú7mesh-ulh Temíxw & s əlilwətaʔt təməxʷ

Community Office: D 604-981-0033 | O 604-981-0033 | 5-221 W. Esplanade Ave, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 3J3
Legislative Office: 250-387-3655 | Room 276-B Parliament Buildings, Victoria, BC, V8V 1X4

BowinnMaMLA.ca | Fb.com/BowinnMa | @BowinnMa
شما و خانواده تان اولویت اول من هستيد

From: Glumac, Rick
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#); [Kennedy, Karla ENV:EX](#)
Subject: Re: Follow-up: Call with MLA Rick Glumac re: Anmore Sewage Issue
Date: Sunday, January 21, 2018 15:26:09

Hi Karla, was the action plan submitted on Monday? Did it meet the ministries requirements?

Rick Glumac

From: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX
Sent: January 16, 2018 9:27 AM
To: Glumac, Rick JTST:EX
Subject: FW: Follow-up: Call with MLA Rick Glumac re: Anmore Sewage Issue

From: Kennedy, Karla ENV:EX
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 3:55 PM
To: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX
Cc: Zacharias, Mark ENV:EX; Gooderham, Coleen E ENV:EX
Subject: Follow-up: Call with MLA Rick Glumac re: Anmore Sewage Issue

Hi Caelie,

In follow-up to the call with MLA Glumac today, attached are three documents that may be of interest to the member:

- The November 23, 2017 Inspection Report/Pollution Abatement Order
- The Action Plan submitted to the Ministry by the qualified professional on October 1, 2017 in response to the March 7, 2017 Warning Letter
- The qualified professional results of soil testing for Sept 2017. This document contains a map of sample locations with a table of E.coli numbers (MPN/g – Most Probable Number) on page 4. This document may be the one of most interest.

I'll be in touch with Brady early next week to get an update on the status of the action plan from the qualified professional (it's due on Monday) and be sure to keep you apprised of how this plays out.

Any questions or concerns, let me know.

Thank you,

Karla Kennedy

Manager, Executive Operations

Deputy Minister's Office

Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Office: 778-698-9306 Mobile *new*: 778-584-1684

Email: Karla.Kennedy@gov.bc.ca

From: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
To: ["Simons, Nicholas"](#)
Subject: FW: Burnco KMs in response to Request for meetings etc.
Date: Thursday, January 25, 2018 14:19:38

Hi Nicholas,

Here is the standard language we have been using as a response to the public. I hope it'll come in handy for you.

Cheers,
Eveline

-
- We want to assure those interested in the environmental assessment of the Burnco Aggregate project that a comprehensive and transparent review has been undertaken by the Environmental Assessment Office and that public's comments will be considered. This is why a public comment process was held from October 27th to November 27th, 2017 to provide the public an opportunity to review and comment on the draft assessment materials prepared by the Environmental Assessment Office. This is the last of several public comment periods held during various stages of the environmental assessment process.
 - The Environmental Assessment Office has prepared a comprehensive assessment report as well as a summary report and draft conditions. Presently, the Environmental Assessment Office is completing the review of comments received and all comments from the public comment period will be summarized in the final report from the Environmental Assessment Office. The assessment report has considered potential impacts to fish and fish habitat, real estate values, and marine transportation, among other potential adverse effects.
 - The package of assessment materials for Minister Heyman and Minister Mungall on the proposed Burnco Aggregate Project is close to being referred by the Environmental Assessment Office for a decision.
 - When it is referred by the Environmental Assessment Office, the Ministers will be the statutory decision makers. As statutory decision maker under current the Environmental Assessment Act, Ministers need to be careful in what they say at this point in the process as well as who I meet to ensure procedural fairness. For example, to meet with groups at this stage of the environmental assessment process, Ministers would be interfering with the process that is underway. This could potentially make the decision of Ministers, whatever that may be, subject to legal challenge.
 - Under the Environmental Assessment Act, Ministers can decide to grant an Environmental Assessment Certificate; refuse to grant a certificate or direct further assessment. In making that decision, Ministers must consider the environmental assessment report prepared by the Environmental Assessment Office and any recommendations they may provide, including conditions. Ministers would also consider the comments from the public and whether the Province has met its legal obligations for consultation with Indigenous groups.
 - Once Ministers have made our decision on the project, the entire decision package, and the reasons for the decision, will also be posted online to ensure the decision process is fully transparent.
 - You may be aware that the government is committing to revitalizing the environmental assessment process. The process for EA Revitalization will be announced in the coming weeks and will provide opportunities for public engagement. One of the topics the province is interested in is public engagement. We would be interested in your views on how the process

could be improved while maintaining the fairness and integrity of the process.

From: Glumac, Rick
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#)
Subject: Re: Media Request - Tri-City News - Anmore Green Estates
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 12:09:28

Ok. Thanks for keeping me in the loop. Much appreciated.

Rick Glumac

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 14, 2018, at 12:08 PM, Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX <Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

Nope. Just letting you know what we are saying. :)

s.22

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 14, 2018, at 12:05 PM, Glumac, Rick <s.17> > wrote:

s.22

Rick Glumac

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 14, 2018, at 11:47 AM, Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX <Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

Tri cities news is doing a follow up story.

From: Glumac, Rick [[mailto:s.17](#)]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 11:45 AM
To: Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX
Cc: Glumac, Rick JTST:EX
Subject: Re: Media Request - Tri-City News - Anmore Green Estates
Who is the media request for?
Rick

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 14, 2018, at 10:34 AM, Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX <Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

Just a head's up that we have a media

request and these are the responses.

Thanks,

Caelie

The report's conclusion indicates while Anmore Green is attempting to negotiate a solution with all the affected parties, "engaging further political agencies, including Ministers, may be necessary." Given the long history of this issue and the inability or unwillingness to reach a solution, what is the role of the Ministry to help the process along? At what point might the Ministry step into the negotiation process?

<!--[if !supportLists]-->? <!--[endif]--

>The Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy (The Ministry) is concerned with addressing the issue of pollution resulting from the sewage system and will follow this situation closely to ensure the pollution abatement order is complied with.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->? <!--[endif]--

>The Ministry is focused on the issue of pollution and does not involve itself in negotiations between the strata and the local governments or other political agencies.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->? <!--[endif]-->All

concerns regarding human health risk should be directed to the Health Authority.

How concerning is it to the Ministry that this problem with a septic field has been allowed to fester for so long to the point it presents a health hazard to school kids?

<!--[if !supportLists]-->? <!--[endif]--

>The Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy (The Ministry) is very concerned with situation resulting from the sewage system and its **potential** impact on the community, the parents, students and staff of Eagle Mountain Middle School, and with the residents of Anmore Greens Estates.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->? <!--[endif]-->On

November 23, 2017 the Ministry issued a Pollution Abatement requiring landowners to take immediate action to mitigate any potential risks to the public.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->? <!--[endif]-->The

Ministry operates on policy of progressive enforcement. Should the site remain out of compliance, action will be taken consistent with the Ministry's Compliance and Enforcement Policy and Procedures found at:

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/research-monitoring-and->

[reporting/reporting/reporting-documents/environmental-enforcement-docs/ce_policy_and_procedure.pdf](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/research-monitoring-and-reporting/reporting/reporting-documents/environmental-enforcement-docs/ce_policy_and_procedure.pdf)

<!--[if !supportLists]--> <!--[endif]--

>All concerns regarding human health risk should be directed to the Health Authority.

Given the proximity of the septic field, and its geography, and the fact there were concerns raised about possible leachate prior to the construction of the high school and middle school, should these schools have even been built in that location in the first place?

<!--[if !supportLists]--> <!--[endif]--

>ENV is concerned with addressing the issue of pollution resulting from the sewage system at this site.

<!--[if !supportLists]--> <!--[endif]--

>The ministry does not permit construction or land-use zoning, as this is a decision made at the municipal level.

The report mentions the proximity of storm drains to Wilkes Creek, and that proximity prevented the implementation of hydrated lime to neutralize some of the leachate, how much of a concern is it to the Ministry that some of the contaminated leachate may be running into that creek and into parks and neighbourhoods further downstream?

<!--[if !supportLists]--> <!--[endif]--

>Based on data collected and visual observations on site by ENV staff, ENV has no reason to believe the creek is impacted by the sewage breakout.

The next deadline for Anmore Green Estates is March 1 to bring the parties together towards a solution; how much of a leash does the Ministry typically allow in these situations? What does the Ministry need to see to indicate AGE and the other parties are getting closer to a solution?

<!--[if !supportLists]--> <!--[endif]--

>ENV conducts compliance according the Compliance and Enforcement Policy and Procedures found at:

https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/research-monitoring-and-reporting/reporting/reporting-documents/environmental-enforcement-docs/ce_policy_and_procedure.pdf

From: Chandra Herbert, Spencer
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#)
Subject: permits
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 16:22:12

Hi Caelie,

Here's a link to a Globe and mail article that references Polak's plan to block permits.

<https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/politics/globe-politics-insider/withholding-construction-permits-could-yet-block-northern-gateway/article19236015/>

From: Rice, Jennifer
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#)
Subject: Fwd: COs
Date: Wednesday, February 21, 2018 10:51:22
Attachments: [PastedGraphic-2.tiff](#)

Are we going to save the Hammy?!

Jennifer Rice | MLA - North Coast
Parliamentary Secretary, Emergency Preparedness
P: [250-624-7734](tel:250-624-7734) | TF: [1-866-624-7734](tel:1-866-624-7734)
[818 3rd Ave West, Prince Rupert, BC](#)
V8J 1M6 |
[Newsletter](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Website](#)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Shannon Lough <shannon.lough@thenorthernview.com>
Date: February 21, 2018 at 10:44:37 AM PST
To: "Rice, Jennifer" **s.17**
Subject: COs

Hi Jennifer,

I just noticed today that the budget includes money to hire 20 new COs for the province. Does this mean Prince Rupert be getting a CO in the near future?

Sincerely,

Shannon Lough
Editor, The Northern View
[@salough](#)
250-624-8088



On Feb 20, 2018, at 6:25 PM, Rice, Jennifer **s.17** > wrote:

Hi Shannon,
Ferry routes that had free seniors fares and then lost them under the BC Liberal cuts will be getting them restored.
Only ferry routes that had daily sailings ever had the free seniors fares for Monday to Thursday travel.
The Alliford Bay (Sandspit) to Skidegate route has daily sailings and will be getting the free seniors sailings back but the Prince Rupert to Port Hardy and Prince Rupert to Skidegate routes will not be getting free seniors travel.
These routes have always received a 33% seniors discount and will continue to receive this discount.
All three routes mentioned above will have the 15% fare reduction applied as I confirmed with you earlier.
If you have further questions don't hesitate.
Jennifer

From: Shannon Lough [<mailto:shannon.lough@thenorthernview.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 4:39 PM
To: Rice, Jennifer <J.Rice@leg.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: another detail of interest
I did see that one. Thank you Jennifer!
Also, another Black Press story went with free travel for seniors ...

<https://www.thenorthernview.com/news/bc-budget-fare-freeze-and-free-travel-for-seniors-on-bc-ferries/>

Sincerely,
Shannon Lough
Editor, The Northern View
@salough
250-624-8088

On Feb 20, 2018, at 4:31 PM, Rice, Jennifer <[s.17](#)> wrote:
We're providing incentives for childcare providers to provide childcare after hours which if taken up by providers will help shift workers such as Prince Rupert's longshoreman so they can work all available shifts including the graveyard shift.
Here's a quote that may be more succinct.
"This balanced budget puts the people of British Columbia at its focus. We're delivering on making life more affordable, bringing back the services people count on and building an economy that supports people in every corner of the Province."

From: Shannon Lough [<mailto:shannon.lough@thenorthernview.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 3:20 PM
To: Rice, Jennifer <[s.17](#)>
Subject: Re: Budget comment

Hi Jennifer,
[s.22](#)
Sincerely,
Shannon Lough
Editor, The Northern View
@salough
250-624-8088

On Feb 20, 2018, at 11:08 AM, Rice, Jennifer <[s.17](#)> wrote:
Hi Shannon,
I am available but I'm unclear at exactly what time I'll be able to speak to it at this time. Obviously sometime this afternoon after it's announced. Will you be at the NV office this afternoon?
Jennifer

Jennifer Rice | MLA - North Coast
Parliamentary Secretary, Emergency Preparedness

P: 250-624-7734<<tel:250-624-7734>> | TF: 1-866-624-7734<<tel:1-866-624-7734>>
818 3rd Ave West, Prince Rupert, BC<[x-apple-data-detectors://7/1](#)>
V8J 1M6 |
Newsletter<<http://jenniferrice.us7.list-manage.com/subscribe?u=7fa2de192520fb652617483d5&id=affc7fe4dc>> |
Facebook<https://www.facebook.com/NDPJenniferRice/?modal=media_composer&ref=aymt_homepage_panel> |
Twitter<<https://twitter.com/JenniferRice6>> |
Website<<http://jenniferrice.ca/>>

On Feb 20, 2018, at 9:30 AM, Shannon Lough <shannon.lough@thenorthernview.com<<mailto:shannon.lough@thenorthernview.com>>> wrote:

Hi Jennifer,

Are you available for comment today to speak on the budget?

Sincerely,

Shannon Lough
Editor, The Northern View
@salough
250-624-8088

From: Simons, Nicholas
To: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
Cc: [Hathaway, Maggie LASS:EX](#)
Subject: Savary Island
Date: Wednesday, March 7, 2018 16:22:42
Attachments: [SaveTheHeartofSavary_OnePager_02172018.pdf](#)

Dear Eveline,

First, sorry I haven't called you. I've been on House duty since 1:30 and have Little House duty until 6:30.

In the meantime, could you direct me to the appropriate person/department that deals with land acquisition? Attached is a one-pager, supplemented by a letter (below) which describe efforts to protect a well-known, fragile, and rare ecosystem on Savary Island. I believe there is some hope that funds might be available from government. I have no idea, and wonder if you could find out or direct me accordingly.

Thanks very much,

Nicholas

Dear Nicholas,

When I contacted you in November, this plan was hatching, now we are underway and fundraising. I am thrilled to tell you that "the friends of Savary" (John O'Neill, Kip Woodward, Peter Armstrong) have a signed purchase agreement with Roger Sahlin to acquire DL 1375 and Lots 35 and 36 for \$3.5 million dollars. Their intent is to donate the land to the Nature Trust of BC. Finally, after more than 20 years of work, the dream to save this land and protect 1/3 of Savary is coming true!!!

Thanks for your support over the many years it has taken to reach this goal.

The Nature Trust requires an endowment for the land, so an additional \$500,000 is needed and we are working with The Nature Trust and the "Friends of Savary" to raise \$4 million dollars. We are seeking commitments by March 31, funds will be needed by early May and the deal is set to close May 30.

Already more than \$2.5 million dollars has been raised and the Campaign is more than half way to reaching it's goal. See attached.

Warm wishes,

Liz Webster M.A.

Executive Director

Savary Island Land Trust Society

(604) 414-0073

604 414-7291

From: Simons, Nicholas
To: [Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX](#); [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
Subject: Semi-urgent
Date: Thursday, March 8, 2018 07:14:30

Hello again.

I understand that MoE staff met with Sunshine Coast Regional District (SCRD) on Tuesday to discuss drinking water source and supply issues as they relate to Chapman Lake in the Provincial park. I would really like to know what the general message was, and I kind of need to know before lunchtime today. It would be very helpful. Before I get my hopes up, do you think this might be possible?

Thanks!

Nicholas

From: [Simons, MLA, Nicholas LASS:EX](#)
To: [Andrews, Sarah ENV:EX](#); [Standen, Jim ENV:EX](#); [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
Cc: [Connor, Tamara LASS:EX](#)
Subject: RE: Call with MLA Simons and Jim Standen updated call in
Date: Thursday, March 8, 2018 16:35:47

Thanks!

N

From: Andrews, Sarah ENV:EX [mailto:Sarah.Andrews@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Thursday, March 8, 2018 4:34 PM
To: Standen, Jim ENV:EX ; Simons, MLA, Nicholas ; Xia, Eveline ENV:EX
Cc: Connor, Tamara
Subject: Call with MLA Simons and Jim Standen updated call in
Importance: High

s.15

Our apologies.

From: Simons, Nicholas
To: [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)
Subject: Re: Guidance Requested
Date: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 09:12:39

Thanks Eveline.

Re: the Chapman issue, I could certainly just call...I think Jim Standen?

N

From: "Xia, Eveline ENV:EX"
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 9:08 AM
To: "Simons, Nicholas"
CC: "Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX" , "Connor, Tamara"
Subject: Re: Guidance Requested

Hi Nick,

We can certainly set up another briefing for you during the break. Is there a date that works best for you next week, or the week after?

Eveline

Sent from my phone.

Eveline Xia
Ministerial Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

On Mar 13, 2018, at 11:56 AM, Simons, Nicholas [s.17](#) wrote:

Hello and I hope you are both well.

There are two (more) issues that I would like an opportunity to discuss with Ministry officials:

1. The Liquid Waste Management plan that the City of Powell River has received Stage 3 approvals for.
2. The Sunshine Coast Regional District's desire to amend Provincial Park boundaries to further draw down Chapman Lake to meet water requirements.

Both have deadlines, but I don't know what they are...

Thanks very much!

Nicholas