

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Jones, Tristan FLNR:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Pacific Booker Minerals - Morrison Mine Project  
**Date:** Friday, November 10, 2017 2:13:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Hi Tristan,

Here is an update:

- In July 2015, Pacific Booker Mineral Inc.'s proposed Morrison Copper-Gold Mine Project was ordered to undergo further assessment.
- The further assessment was ordered because the Ministers (Environment and Energy & Mines) concluded the information currently provided did not support a sufficient level of confidence that the mine design can sufficiently protect the environment.
- The Ministers' order and letter to Pacific Booker Minerals Ltd. explaining the reasons underlying the decision are publicly available on the Environmental Assessment Office's website.
- Next steps are for Pacific Booker Minerals Ltd. to develop a draft Supplemental Application Information Requirements document for submission to the Environmental Assessment Office.
- Once the Supplemental Application Information Requirements document is approved by the Environmental Assessment Office, Pacific Booker Minerals Ltd. will have three years to submit their Supplemental Assessment Report.
- At this time, the Environmental Assessment Office has not received the Supplemental Application Information Requirements from Pacific Booker Minerals Ltd.

Warmly,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**  
Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy  
P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Jones, Tristan FLNR:EX  
**Sent:** Friday, October 27, 2017 1:07 PM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Pacific Booker Minerals - Morrison Mine Project  
Hi Reamick

Can you assist in finding out the status of any recent Environmental Assessment applications for the Pacific Booker Minerals, Morrison Mine Project - 35 km north of Granisle.

Thank you,



Tristan Jones  
Executive Assistant to the  
**Honourable Doug Donaldson**  
Minister of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development  
t 778.584.4285 f 250.387.1040

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Bryce, Krista LASS:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Force Kinder Morgan to follow the rules!  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 3:57:00 PM

---

Hi Krista,

Here is our response with respect to the spawning deterrent mats issues and you can get back to your constituent based on the below:

Thank you for your inquiry regarding the Trans Mountain Expansion Project and their installation of spawning deterrent mats in BC watercourses without prior approval by the National Energy Board (NEB).

The Province is aware of this contravention and is taking appropriate action. As you may know, on October 12, 2017 the National Energy Board (NEB) determined that the works undertaken by the company were construction activity, and were non-compliant with their certificate and with a number of sections of the *National Energy Board Act*. The company was directed to cease further installation of spawning deterrents. The NEB also noted that the removal of the installed spawning deterrents while fish remain actively spawning has the potential to result in greater environmental harm and Trans Mountain should monitor, maintain and remove the previously installed spawning deterrents according to the specific measures and timing. These measures are provided in Trans Mountain's response to the Notice of Non-Compliance and reiterated in Appendix A of its subsequent September 29, 2017 filing ([A5U6J2](#)). The NEB also stated it would conduct further compliance actions as necessary.

Our government shares your concerns regarding this issue. The Minister of Environment has asked the federal government what further actions are being contemplated by federal agencies on this matter, and asked for a commitment to work with provincial agencies to coordinate compliance and enforcement activities for this project.

Thanks,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**  
Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy  
P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Bryce, Krista [<mailto:Krista.Bryce@leg.bc.ca>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 16, 2017 3:03 PM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Subject:** FW: Force Kinder Morgan to follow the rules!

Hi Remick,

Can ministry please respond to Ms. Soanes concerns about "illegal snow fencing in streams" with regards to Kinder Morgan.

It appears this is what she is talking about:

<https://twitter.com/WildlifeLeague/status/928026960780935168>

Kind regards,

**Krista Bryce**

Constituency Assistant

Michelle Stilwell, MLA

Parksville-Qualicum Constituency Office

250-248-2625

**From:** Sally Soanes [<mailto:peter@wildernesscommittee.org>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 8, 2017 5:20 PM

**To:** Stilwell.MLA, Michelle <[Michelle.Stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Michelle.Stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>

**Subject:** Force Kinder Morgan to follow the rules!

You already know as its well documented and photographed that Kinder Morgan installed illegal snow fencing in seven stream beds . You probably also know that nothing has been done about this.

For the first time I now demand an answer as to why nothing has been done. Especially from you Michelle Stilwell who has never answered to anything.

I would appreciate an answer from all of you. Not an acknowledgment you got the email but an answer.

To be clear the question is: Why has nothing been done about the snow fencing illegally installed by Minder Morgan??

Sally Soanes

s.22

Sally Soanes

s.22

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Mamone, Jennifer LASS:EX](#)  
**Cc:** [Kendall, Kim LASS:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Constituent Inquiry - Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 11:08:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Hi Jennifer,

With response to your inquiry regarding glyphosate on behalf of your constituent, please see the below information from our Ministry:

- In British Columbia, pesticide sales and use are regulated under the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Act and Regulation. Glyphosate use in forestry in BC is generally conducted under a Confirmation of a Pesticide Use Notice, which requires companies to develop a comprehensive Pest Management Plan (PMP) that outlines the specific elements of their Integrated Pest Management approach to controlling vegetation. As part of the development of this PMP, a public consultation process must be conducted according to the Regulation. Once a Confirmation is received that authorizes the use of the pesticide, companies must submit to the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change Strategy an annual Notice of Intent to Treat, which indicates the planned chemical treatments for the year, and an Annual Use Summary at the end of the year, which summarizes total amount of pesticides used, and the total hectares treated using both chemical and non-chemical methods. Ministry inspectors conduct both field and office review inspections to verify compliance with the regulations, and have a range of enforcement tools available to address any instances of non-compliance.
- The IPM Regulation requires pesticide use in forestry to be done according to the principles of Integrated Pest Management, which seeks to use a wide range of tools, both chemical and non-chemical, to control pests. These include prevention of pest problems, proper identification of pests, use of monitoring and thresholds, appropriate treatment choices, and an evaluation of efficacy. A primary goal of IPM is to minimize the amount of pesticide used to control a pest. In addition, the required elements of the Pest Management Plan must also include a description of the environmental protection strategies and procedures that the authorization holder will follow, including strategies to protect fish and wildlife, riparian areas, and wildlife habitat from adverse effects of pesticide use. These requirements provide confidence that the judicious use of glyphosate in forestry is not having any adverse effects on forest health.
- Pesticides used in Canada are evaluated and registered by Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency, and registered active ingredients undergo periodic re-evaluations to determine if they are safe for human health and the environment. In 2015, Health Canada released the results from a comprehensive re-evaluation of glyphosate, in which they concluded that when used according to revised label directions, glyphosate use is not expected to pose risks of concern to the environment. These uses include the use of glyphosate in forestry. More information on the glyphosate re-evaluation decision is available on Health Canada's website at: [http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/\\_decisions/rvd2017-01/index-eng.php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/rvd2017-01/index-eng.php)
- All pesticides must be used according to label directions. In addition to label directions that establish buffers around sensitive aquatic or environmental features, provincial regulations

require that for forestry uses, a 10 meter Pesticide Free Zone be established around or along all bodies of water, dry streams, and classified wetlands, providing further protection to the environment from any possible impacts from glyphosate use.

Thanks again.

Warmly,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Atkinson, Gregory AGRI:EX

**Sent:** Monday, November 20, 2017 10:46 AM

**To:** Mamone, Jennifer LASS:EX

**Cc:** Barnetson, Luella LASS:EX; Kendall, Kim LASS:EX; Lo, Reamick ENV:EX

**Subject:** RE: Constituent Inquiry - Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate

Hi Jennifer,

Thanks for your email. The Ministry of Environment has the provincial mandate to regulate pesticide application under the authority of the *Integrated Pest Management Act*. I have cc'd Reamick Lo, Minister Heyman's EA, on this email.

All the best!

**Greg Atkinson**

Executive Assistant to Hon Lana Popham

Minister of Agriculture

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The above message contains confidential information intended for a specified individual and purpose. The information is private and protected by law. Any copying or disclosure of this transmission by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete this message and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

---

**From:** Mamone, Jennifer [<mailto:Jennifer.Mamone@leg.bc.ca>]

**Sent:** Thursday, November 16, 2017 8:28 AM

**To:** Atkinson, Gregory AGRI:EX

**Cc:** Barnetson, Luella LASS:EX; Kendall, Kim LASS:EX

**Subject:** Constituent Inquiry - Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate

Hello Greg,

Would you kindly review the constituent correspondence below and provide a direct response from the Ministry to our constituent in regards to this concern?

If possible, it would be beneficial to know if this is a known issue and if any information is currently available in regards to the use of Glyphosate as a herbicide.

Our office would appreciate a copy of any further correspondence provided.

I appreciate your help and look forward to hearing from you, thanks!



**Jennifer Mamone**

Constituency Assistant

Rich Coleman, MLA

Langley East

130 – 7888 200 Street

Langley, British Columbia V2Y 3J4

Office: (604) 882-3151

E-mail: [jennifer.mamone@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jennifer.mamone@leg.bc.ca)

**From:** s.22

**Sent:** November 2, 2017 8:56 PM

**To:** Coleman.MLA, Rich <[Rich.Coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Rich.Coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>

**Subject:** Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate

To: Rich, Coleman

Langley East

Date: 11/2/2017

Dear Mr. Coleman,

My name is s.22 , I am s.22 and live in s.22 . I am writing to you today concerning glyphosate in our agriculture system. Glyphosate is a herbicide that has been used heavily in the western world since its discovery in the 70's. There are some serious concerns surrounding this product from around the world, and some countries are even moving to ban it. The IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) has even deemed the product a "probable human carcinogen" I personally find this very disturbing news and many I know feel similarly.

There is an individual who lives in Delta B.C. by the name of Tony Mitra who has been at the forefront on this issue for a number of years, and I have been in close contact with him and getting to know him over the past months. Mr. Mitra has relentlessly pursued this topic and made some serious progress in many regards. He has actually convinced Health Canada to test our foods for glyphosate, and the results are truly terrifying. The results show that Canadian food is on average, the most contaminated in the entire world. He has published an entire E-book (Poison Foods of North America) on the subject and I highly recommend you take a look to understand the true extent of the issue. These are not numbers created by some independent spotty scientists either, for they are the direct lab results from Health Canada. In addition to our foods being the most glyphosate ridden in the world it has come to light that glyphosate has been illegally approved in Canada, for the safety data that they approved it by has not been released to the public. This is illegal in our country, for any product brought to market must have its safety data released to the public. Mr. Mitra has attempted to retrieve the safety data with limited success. However, it was suggested, indirectly, that the government itself knows what it is doing is illegal, for they would not refuse to give him the safety data either.

We (Me, Tony, and others) are sending this note to a number of MLAs to check who are likely to help and who would not. Also, this helps us determine if our effort to safeguard British Columbia from this chemical attack can be done through the political process, or if the people have to bypass the politicians and engage in peaceful direct action.

If you are unaware of these issues and wish to catch up through a meeting, I shall be more than willing to either speak on phone or meet face to face!

I really do appreciate your time Rich, I have a love for politics and our country and I hope to find a solution to this issue.

I Appreciate your prompt feedback on this urgent matter.

Thanking you

s.22

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Mamone, Jennifer LASS:EX](#)  
**Cc:** [Kendall, Kim LASS:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Constituent Inquiry - Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 11:08:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Hi Jennifer,

With response to your inquiry regarding glyphosate on behalf of your constituent, please see the below information from our Ministry:

- In British Columbia, pesticide sales and use are regulated under the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Act and Regulation. Glyphosate use in forestry in BC is generally conducted under a Confirmation of a Pesticide Use Notice, which requires companies to develop a comprehensive Pest Management Plan (PMP) that outlines the specific elements of their Integrated Pest Management approach to controlling vegetation. As part of the development of this PMP, a public consultation process must be conducted according to the Regulation. Once a Confirmation is received that authorizes the use of the pesticide, companies must submit to the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change Strategy an annual Notice of Intent to Treat, which indicates the planned chemical treatments for the year, and an Annual Use Summary at the end of the year, which summarizes total amount of pesticides used, and the total hectares treated using both chemical and non-chemical methods. Ministry inspectors conduct both field and office review inspections to verify compliance with the regulations, and have a range of enforcement tools available to address any instances of non-compliance.
- The IPM Regulation requires pesticide use in forestry to be done according to the principles of Integrated Pest Management, which seeks to use a wide range of tools, both chemical and non-chemical, to control pests. These include prevention of pest problems, proper identification of pests, use of monitoring and thresholds, appropriate treatment choices, and an evaluation of efficacy. A primary goal of IPM is to minimize the amount of pesticide used to control a pest. In addition, the required elements of the Pest Management Plan must also include a description of the environmental protection strategies and procedures that the authorization holder will follow, including strategies to protect fish and wildlife, riparian areas, and wildlife habitat from adverse effects of pesticide use. These requirements provide confidence that the judicious use of glyphosate in forestry is not having any adverse effects on forest health.
- Pesticides used in Canada are evaluated and registered by Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency, and registered active ingredients undergo periodic re-evaluations to determine if they are safe for human health and the environment. In 2015, Health Canada released the results from a comprehensive re-evaluation of glyphosate, in which they concluded that when used according to revised label directions, glyphosate use is not expected to pose risks of concern to the environment. These uses include the use of glyphosate in forestry. More information on the glyphosate re-evaluation decision is available on Health Canada's website at: [http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/\\_decisions/rvd2017-01/index-eng.php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/rvd2017-01/index-eng.php)
- All pesticides must be used according to label directions. In addition to label directions that establish buffers around sensitive aquatic or environmental features, provincial regulations

require that for forestry uses, a 10 meter Pesticide Free Zone be established around or along all bodies of water, dry streams, and classified wetlands, providing further protection to the environment from any possible impacts from glyphosate use.

Thanks again.

Warmly,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Atkinson, Gregory AGRI:EX

**Sent:** Monday, November 20, 2017 10:46 AM

**To:** Mamone, Jennifer LASS:EX

**Cc:** Barnetson, Luella LASS:EX; Kendall, Kim LASS:EX; Lo, Reamick ENV:EX

**Subject:** RE: Constituent Inquiry - Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate

Hi Jennifer,

Thanks for your email. The Ministry of Environment has the provincial mandate to regulate pesticide application under the authority of the *Integrated Pest Management Act*. I have cc'd Reamick Lo, Minister Heyman's EA, on this email.

All the best!

**Greg Atkinson**

Executive Assistant to Hon Lana Popham

Minister of Agriculture

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The above message contains confidential information intended for a specified individual and purpose. The information is private and protected by law. Any copying or disclosure of this transmission by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete this message and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

---

**From:** Mamone, Jennifer [<mailto:Jennifer.Mamone@leg.bc.ca>]

**Sent:** Thursday, November 16, 2017 8:28 AM

**To:** Atkinson, Gregory AGRI:EX

**Cc:** Barnetson, Luella LASS:EX; Kendall, Kim LASS:EX

**Subject:** Constituent Inquiry - Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate

Hello Greg,

Would you kindly review the constituent correspondence below and provide a direct response from the Ministry to our constituent in regards to this concern?

If possible, it would be beneficial to know if this is a known issue and if any information is currently available in regards to the use of Glyphosate as a herbicide.

Our office would appreciate a copy of any further correspondence provided.

I appreciate your help and look forward to hearing from you, thanks!



**Jennifer Mamone**

Constituency Assistant

Rich Coleman, MLA

Langley East

130 – 7888 200 Street

Langley, British Columbia V2Y 3J4

Office: (604) 882-3151

E-mail: [jennifer.mamone@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jennifer.mamone@leg.bc.ca)

**From:** s.22

**Sent:** November 2, 2017 8:56 PM

**To:** Coleman.MLA, Rich <[Rich.Coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Rich.Coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>

**Subject:** Action in BC legislative assembly on restricting glyphosate



To: Rich, Coleman

Langley East

Date: 11/2/2017

Dear Mr. Coleman,

My name is s.22 , I am s.22 and live in s.22 . I am writing to you today concerning glyphosate in our agriculture system. Glyphosate is a herbicide that has been used heavily in the western world since its discovery in the 70's. There are some serious concerns surrounding this product from around the world, and some countries are even moving to ban it. The IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) has even deemed the product a "probable human carcinogen" I personally find this very disturbing news and many I know feel similarly.

There is an individual who lives in Delta B.C. by the name of Tony Mitra who has been at the forefront on this issue for a number of years, and I have been in close contact with him and getting to know him over the past months. Mr. Mitra has relentlessly pursued this topic and made some serious progress in many regards. He has actually convinced Health Canada to test our foods for glyphosate, and the results are truly terrifying. The results show that Canadian food is on average, the most contaminated in the entire world. He has published an entire E-book (Poison Foods of North America) on the subject and I highly recommend you take a look to understand the true extent of the issue. These are not numbers created by some independent spotty scientists either, for they are the direct lab results from Health Canada. In addition to our foods being the most glyphosate ridden in the world it has come to light that glyphosate has been illegally approved in Canada, for the safety data that they approved it by has not been released to the public. This is illegal in our country, for any product brought to market must have its safety data released to the public. Mr. Mitra has attempted to retrieve the safety data with limited success. However, it was suggested, indirectly, that the government itself knows what it is doing is illegal, for they would not refuse to give him the safety data either.

We (Me, Tony, and others) are sending this note to a number of MLAs to check who are likely to help and who would not. Also, this helps us determine if our effort to safeguard British Columbia from this chemical attack can be done through the political process, or if the people have to bypass the politicians and engage in peaceful direct action.

If you are unaware of these issues and wish to catch up through a meeting, I shall be more than willing to either speak on phone or meet face to face!

I really do appreciate your time Rich, I have a love for politics and our country and I hope to find a solution to this issue.

I Appreciate your prompt feedback on this urgent matter.

Thanking you

s.22

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Smith, Curtis PSSG:EX](#); [Xia, Eveline ENV:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
**Date:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 10:23:00 AM

---

Perfect. Thanks.

---

**From:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 10:23 AM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX; Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Publicly listed number.

---

**From:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 10:22 AM  
**To:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX; Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Is Bob a government liaison so ok to pass his number to MLA offices or is this info for the public so everyone can call him?

---

**From:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 10:21 AM  
**To:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX; Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Contact is: Bob McDonald at 778 698-4860, he is Director, Extended Producer Responsibility.

---

**From:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 10:20 AM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX; Smith, Curtis ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Can the phone go to Kristi MacMillan?

---

**From:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 9:25 AM  
**To:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX; Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Hi Eveline and Curtis,

Further to the initial inquiry from MLA Glumac's CO, his office phoned yesterday and asked the reason why the number is not in serve. They asked if the office is closed and going through re-organization.

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**  
Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy  
P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 3:27 PM  
**To:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX; Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Honestly I think we'll end up providing the Director as a contact, previously a number of programs had public facing general info lines that were very infrequently used and then were closed before our building move.

---

**From:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 3:26 PM  
**To:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX; Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
Yes, I'm curious too who the phone line would go to.

---

**From:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 3:24 PM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Cc:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Extended Producer Responsibility Contact  
On it.

---

**From:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 3:09 PM  
**To:** Smith, Curtis ENV:EX  
**Cc:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Extended Producer Responsibility Contact

Hi Curtis,

MLA Glumac's office is looking for a contact to answer questions regarding the Extended Producer Responsibility program. The number listed within the government directory for the EPR office of 1250-387-9933 is no longer functional. They are hoping to answer questions sent to us by the City of Port Moody that relate to this program.

Is there a current contact number please?

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**  
Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy  
P: 250-812-8642

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Derksen, Lory D LASS:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Air quality concerns  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:05:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Dear Lory,

Here is the response from our Ministry:

- The Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy administers the *Environmental Management Act*, which regulates waste discharges from prescribed activities and industries in British Columbia. The Waste Discharge Regulation pursuant to the *Environmental Management Act* identifies those activities and industries that require authorization under the *Environmental Management Act* or its regulations in order to discharge waste to the environment.
- Activities such as bed lining and other automotive maintenance or service industries are not captured under the Waste Discharge Regulation and as such, do not require authorization under the *Environmental Management Act*.
- It is recommended that your constituent to continue to work with the District of Vanderhoof to address her concerns regarding zoning and bylaw enforcement. While Worksafe BC deals specifically with worker safety issues, her inquiries with that organization may indirectly result in reductions in the fugitive emissions she is experiencing from this business.

Thanks again for your email.

Warmly,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**  
Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy  
P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Derksen, Lory [<mailto:Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 2:38 PM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Cc:** Frenkel, Nadine H LASS:EX  
**Subject:** FW: Air quality concerns  
Hi Reamick

Here is the email and attachment you requested.

Regards,



Lory Derksen  
Constituency Assistant to;  
MLA John Rustad  
Nechako Lakes  
183 First Street, PO Box 421  
Vanderhoof, British Columbia V0J 3A0  
Office: (250) 567-6820  
E-mail: [Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca)

---

**From:** Derksen, Lory  
**Sent:** Friday, October 27, 2017 10:23 AM  
**To:** Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX <[Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: Air quality concerns  
s.22  
Phone: s.22

Email : s.22

Address: s.22 Vanderhoof BC

Constituent s.22, contacted our office regarding her concerns on the air quality in her neighborhood.

- s.22 says there is a business operating next door that is sand blasting vehicles and using a spray-on urethane truck bed lining without proper ventilation. She states that the pollutants in the air are burning her lungs and she is afraid to let her grandchildren outside.

- s.22 first contacted the Vanderhoof District office and was informed that her concerns regarding air quality is outside the legislative jurisdiction of the Dict. of Vanderhoof. At that time she was advised to contact the Vanderhoof Environmental Health office regarding her concerns. (see attached correspondence)

- s.22 has contacted both Doug Gordon from the Environmental Health Board and Kim Hesse of WCB s.22 regarding her concerns.

- To date s.22 has not received a response from either agency, she has requested we follow up on this issue on her behalf.

Please have someone from your office look into s.22's concerns and respond to her directly and advise our office of the outcome.

Attached is the email s.22 sent to our office.

Thank you for your help with issue.

Regards,



Lory Derksen  
Constituency Assistant to;  
MLA John Rustad  
Nechako Lakes  
183 First Street, PO Box 421  
Vanderhoof, British Columbia V0J 3A0  
Office: (250) 567-6820  
E-mail: [Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca)

**From:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**To:** [Derksen, Lory D LASS:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Air quality concerns  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:05:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Dear Lory,

Here is the response from our Ministry:

- The Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy administers the *Environmental Management Act*, which regulates waste discharges from prescribed activities and industries in British Columbia. The Waste Discharge Regulation pursuant to the *Environmental Management Act* identifies those activities and industries that require authorization under the *Environmental Management Act* or its regulations in order to discharge waste to the environment.
- Activities such as bed lining and other automotive maintenance or service industries are not captured under the Waste Discharge Regulation and as such, do not require authorization under the *Environmental Management Act*.
- It is recommended that your constituent to continue to work with the District of Vanderhoof to address her concerns regarding zoning and bylaw enforcement. While Worksafe BC deals specifically with worker safety issues, her inquiries with that organization may indirectly result in reductions in the fugitive emissions she is experiencing from this business.

Thanks again for your email.

Warmly,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**  
Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy  
P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Derksen, Lory [<mailto:Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 2:38 PM  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Cc:** Frenkel, Nadine H LASS:EX  
**Subject:** FW: Air quality concerns  
Hi Reamick

Here is the email and attachment you requested.

Regards,



Lory Derksen  
Constituency Assistant to;  
MLA John Rustad  
Nechako Lakes  
183 First Street, PO Box 421  
Vanderhoof, British Columbia V0J 3A0  
Office: (250) 567-6820  
E-mail: [Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca)

---

**From:** Derksen, Lory  
**Sent:** Friday, October 27, 2017 10:23 AM  
**To:** Frampton, Caelie ENV:EX <[Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Caelie.Frampton@gov.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: Air quality concerns

s.22

Phone: s.22

Email : s.22

Address: s.22

Vanderhoof BC

Constituent s.22 contacted our office regarding her concerns on the air quality in her neighborhood.

- s.22 says there is a business operating next door that is sand blasting vehicles and using a spray-on urethane truck bed lining without proper ventilation. She states that the pollutants in the air are burning her lungs and she is afraid to let her grandchildren outside.
- s.22 first contacted the Vanderhoof District office and was informed that her concerns regarding air quality is outside the legislative jurisdiction of the Dict. of Vanderhoof. At that time she was advised to contact the Vanderhoof Environmental Health office regarding her concerns. (see attached correspondence)
- s.22 has contacted both Doug Gordon from the Environmental Health Board and Kim Hesse of WCB s.22 regarding her concerns.
- To date s.22 has not received a response from either agency, she has requested we follow up on this issue on her behalf.

Please have someone from your office look into s.22's concerns and respond to her directly and advise our office of the outcome.

Attached is the email s.22 sent to our office.

Thank you for your help with issue.

Regards,



Lory Derksen  
Constituency Assistant to;  
MLA John Rustad  
Nechako Lakes  
183 First Street, PO Box 421  
Vanderhoof, British Columbia V0J 3A0  
Office: (250) 567-6820  
E-mail: [Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Lory.Derksen@leg.bc.ca)

**From:** [Larson.MLA, Linda LASS:EX](#)  
**To:** [Lo, Reamick ENV:EX](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Climate Change Policy  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 10:15:41 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thanks for the response Reamick,  
I will pass it on to the constituent.  
Have a great weekend.



**Colleen Misner**

Senior Constituency Assistant  
***on behalf of Linda Larson, MLA***  
Boundary-Similkameen  
6369 Main Street, PO Box 998  
Oliver, British Columbia V0H 1T0  
Office: (250) 498-5122 Toll Free : 1 (855) 498-5122  
E-mail: [Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

---

**From:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX [<mailto:Reamick.Lo@gov.bc.ca>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 11:33 AM

**To:** Larson.MLA, Linda

**Subject:** RE: Climate Change Policy

Dear Colleen,

Thanks for your inquiry on behalf of your constituent. Here is our response:

- Climate change is the greatest challenge of our generation, and our Government is providing real leadership to protect our environment and invest in a sustainable economy for the future.
- This is why we have introduced a new Climate Solutions and Clean Growth Advisory Council to help get our province on track to meet our climate goals.
- This is the first big step in getting B.C. moving ahead to meet its climate goals.
- The new advisory council includes members from First Nations, industry, environmental organizations, labour, academic researchers and local government.
- The Advisory Council will provide advice to government on actions and policies that can:
  - contribute to carbon pollution reductions and optimize opportunities for sustainable economic development and job creation;
  - enhance potential benefits and mitigate potential impacts of government's climate policies to ensure sustained economic prosperity and social equity;
  - address the competitiveness of emissions-intensive trade-exposed sectors, to help them reduce their emissions and continue to thrive economically; and
  - implement the recommendations of the 2015 Climate Leadership Team.
- As part of its mandate, the Climate Solutions and Clean Growth Advisory Council will review and publicly report after one year and every two years after that, government's progress towards meeting legislated carbon pollution reduction targets and maximizing job and economic opportunities.
- We are implementing an increase of the carbon tax by \$5 per tonne per year, beginning April 1,



2018. The price will be \$50 per tonne by 2021, to align with the federally-mandated price on carbon of \$50 per tonne by 2022.

- We will create a new climate action rebate cheque for low and middle income families. This cheque will go to 80 per cent of B.C. households, with a majority receiving more back from this rebate than they pay in new carbon taxes.
- The higher price on carbon will incent alternative energy choices and help to put the province on a path towards meeting B.C.'s legislated 2050 greenhouse gas emission (GHG) reduction target of 80 per cent below 2007 levels, and a new legislated 2030 reduction target.

Thanks again.

Warmly,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

P: 250-812-8642

---

**From:** Larson.MLA, Linda [<mailto:Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca>]

**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 1:39 PM

**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX

**Cc:** Minister, ENV ENV:EX

**Subject:** FW: Climate Change Policy

Hi Reamick,

Could you look at the email below from a constituent in Osoyoos, and see if we can get him a response to the provincial part of his questions? He is interested in current climate change policy.

Thanks very much,



**Colleen Misner**

Senior Constituency Assistant

***on behalf of Linda Larson, MLA***

Boundary-Similkameen

6369 Main Street, PO Box 998

Oliver, British Columbia V0H 1T0

Office: (250) 498-5122 Toll Free : 1 (855) 498-5122

E-mail: [Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

---

**From:** Larson.MLA, Linda

**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 1:27 PM

**To:** s.22

**Subject:** RE: Climate Change Policy

Hi s.22

I am forwarding your email to the Minister of Environment and Climate Change, the Honourable George Heyman, to get you the most up-to-date information on the province's current Climate Change Strategy, as well as what is happening with the Carbon Tax, provincially.

To find out how the Federal government's national carbon tax will affect you, please contact Dick Cannings office in Penticton.

Thank you,



**Colleen Misner**

Senior Constituency Assistant

***on behalf of Linda Larson, MLA***

Boundary-Similkameen

6369 Main Street, PO Box 998

Oliver, British Columbia V0H 1T0

Office: (250) 498-5122 Toll Free : 1 (855) 498-5122

| E-mail: [Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

**From:** s.22

**Sent:** Friday, November 17, 2017 5:48 PM

**To:** Larson.MLA, Linda <[Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:Linda.Larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)>

**Subject:** Climate Change Policy

Dear Ms. Larson,

I am emailing to ask about your opinion on the issue of climate change and climate change policy. Do you support policies to limit emissions in British Columbia? Are there other kinds of policies that you would like to see passed?

I have heard that the Federal government may set a national carbon tax but I want to know more about how those policies might impact residents of Osoyoos.

If you aren't able to answer my questions, would you please refer me to someone else to talk about climate change policy?

Thank you for your time, I look forward to receiving your email.

Regards,

s.22

||

**From:** Salkus, Beverley AG:EX  
**To:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX; Xia, Eveline ENV:EX  
**Subject:** RE: Glyphosate  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 1:15:45 PM

---

Hi Reamick,

I am not sure if staff can provide much more on this we have responded to Tony on several occasions since 2011 I am wondering if AGRI or Health could provide additional re: specific to glyphosate and food to the info we provided on IPM reg/act.

Bev

---

**From:** Lo, Reamick ENV:EX  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 12:37 PM  
**To:** Xia, Eveline ENV:EX; Salkus, Beverley ENV:EX  
**Subject:** Glyphosate  
Hi Eveline and Bev,

A while ago Tony Mitra requested a meeting with MLP regarding the glyphosate issue. In August our Ministry staff gave us some bullets. As a result of Mr. Mitra's attempt to raise his concerns, other MLA offices have received similar inquiries. Anything further we can add in addition to the bullets we received as their questions are more specific to glyphosate and food?

1. MLA Coleman's office said the points only describe the use of glyphosate in forestry when their constituent brings concerns regarding its use/presence in food based on agricultural use and ask if we can respond on this. Here is the email from their constituent:

I am writing to you today concerning glyphosate in our agriculture system. Glyphosate is a herbicide that has been used heavily in the western world since its discovery in the 70's. There are some serious concerns surrounding this product from around the world, and some countries are even moving to ban it. The IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) has even deemed the product a "probable human carcinogen" I personally find this very disturbing news and many I know feel similarly.

There is an individual who lives in Delta B.C. by the name of Tony Mitra who has been at the forefront on this issue for a number of years, and I have been in close contact with him and getting to know him over the past months. Mr. Mitra has relentlessly pursued this topic and made some serious progress in many regards. He has actually convinced Health Canada to test our foods for glyphosate, and the results are truly terrifying. The results show that Canadian food is on average, the most contaminated in the entire world. He has published an entire E-book (Poison Foods of North America) on the subject and I highly recommend you take a look to understand the true extent of the issue. These are not numbers created by some independent spotty scientists either, for they are the direct lab results from Health Canada. In addition to our foods being the most glyphosate ridden in the world it has come to light that glyphosate has been illegally approved in Canada, for the safety data that they approved it by has not been released to the public. This is illegal in our country, for any product brought to market must have its safety data released to the public. Mr. Mitra has attempted to retrieve the safety data with limited success. However, it was suggested, indirectly, that the government itself knows what it is doing is illegal, for they would not refuse to give him the safety data either.

2. I have also been contacted by another constituent through MLP's office who has also expressed her concern re glyphosate and food.

My focus is on Roundup because Roundup is known to be the most widely used herbicide in the world, with the highest levels being sprayed right here in Canada on our grain, pulse, potatoes and legume crops....often used both prior to seeding and 2 to 10 days prior to harvesting, which is called desiccation, to make the harvest easier. This practise results in food stuffs being contaminated on testing, the all-important soil microbes being heavily damaged, water bodies showing contamination on testing, thus impacting all creatures on land and in the rivers, lakes and oceans. Plus the spray is carried through the air and rained down onto areas and farms where it was never intended. Organic farmers are finding glyphosate residues in their certified organic produce. I'm no expert, just a concerned citizen who feels the consequences of these sprays are not sufficiently studied by independent people who have no vested or financial interest in the companies that sell the sprays or the farmers who use them. It would seem that the onus is on independent, government sponsored studies and investigations, to prove the safety, short and long term, of these chemicals, prior to giving out licences to use them.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XDyl10Z8aH0>

<https://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2014/179691/#B42>

[https://content.sierraclub.org/grassrootsnetwork/sites/content.sierraclub.org/activistnetwork/files/teams/documents/The\\_Unintended\\_Consequences\\_of\\_Using\\_Glyphosate\\_Jan-2016.pdf](https://content.sierraclub.org/grassrootsnetwork/sites/content.sierraclub.org/activistnetwork/files/teams/documents/The_Unintended_Consequences_of_Using_Glyphosate_Jan-2016.pdf)

Response from our Ministry (for Bev's easy reference):

- In British Columbia, pesticide sales and use are regulated under the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Act and Regulation. Glyphosate use in forestry in BC is generally conducted under a Confirmation of a Pesticide Use Notice, which requires companies to develop a comprehensive Pest Management Plan (PMP) that outlines the specific elements of their Integrated Pest Management approach to controlling vegetation. As part of the development of this PMP, a public consultation process must be conducted according to the Regulation. Once a Confirmation is received that authorizes the use of the pesticide, companies must submit to the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change Strategy an annual Notice of Intent to Treat, which indicates the planned chemical treatments for the year, and an Annual Use Summary at the end of the year, which summaries total amount of pesticides used, and the total hectares treated using both chemical and non-chemical methods. Ministry inspectors conduct both field and office review inspections to verify compliance with the regulations, and have a range of enforcement tools available to address any instances of non-compliance.
- The IPM Regulation requires pesticide use in forestry to be done according to the principles of Integrated Pest Management, which

seeks to use a wide range of tools, both chemical and non-chemical, to control pests. These include prevention of pest problems, proper identification of pests, use of monitoring and thresholds, appropriate treatment choices, and an evaluation of efficacy. A primary goal of IPM is to minimize the amount of pesticide used to control a pest. In addition, the required elements of the Pest Management Plan must also include a description of the environmental protection strategies and procedures that the authorization holder will follow, including strategies to protect fish and wildlife, riparian areas, and wildlife habitat from adverse effects of pesticide use. These requirements provide confidence that the judicious use of glyphosate in forestry is not having any adverse effects on forest health.

- Pesticides used in Canada are evaluated and registered by Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency, and registered active ingredients undergo periodic re-evaluations to determine if they are safe for human health and the environment. In 2015, Health Canada released the results from a comprehensive re-evaluation of glyphosate, in which they concluded that when used according to revised label directions, glyphosate use is not expected to pose risks of concern to the environment. These uses include the use of glyphosate in forestry. More information on the glyphosate re-evaluation decision is available on Health Canada's website at: [http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/\\_decisions/rvd2017-01/index-eng.php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/rvd2017-01/index-eng.php)
- All pesticides must be used according to label directions. In addition to label directions that establish buffers around sensitive aquatic or environmental features, provincial regulations require that for forestry uses, a 10 meter Pesticide Free Zone be established around or along all bodies of water, dry streams, and classified wetlands, providing further protection to the environment from any possible impacts from glyphosate use.

Thanks,

Reamick Lo

Executive Assistant to the **Honourable George Heyman**

Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

P: 250-812-8642