

From: [Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX](#)
To: [ENV ENF Provincial Leadership Team](#)
Subject: FW: URGENT: Grizzly Bear Budget Nov 30 Deadline
Date: Monday, November 20, 2017 9:04:23 AM
Importance: High

FYI

Cheers

INSPECTOR JOE CARAVETTA

OIC Kootenay Boundary Region

Conservation Officer Service

Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

PO Box 2877, 1621-A 10th Ave Fernie BC, V0B 1M0

Phone: (250)423-2302 Fax: (250)423-9217

From: Craig, Kate FLNR:EX
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2017 4:59 PM
To: Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerad S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; McLean, Craig A FLNR:EX; Bohm, Holger FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Stalberg, Mike X FLNR:EX; Gagne-Delorme, Audrey FLNR:EX
Subject: URGENT: Grizzly Bear Budget Nov 30 Deadline
Importance: High

Hi all,

Thanks to everyone for providing some insight into what challenges and opportunities regions will have with initiating the upcoming grizzly bear CI and disposal changes.

Timelines for developing process, ensuring funding and developing infrastructure are very tight as all of this needs to be in place before the start of the spring grizzly bear hunt on April 1, 2018.

It is clear from the responses that there needs to be region-specific process depending on what options/resources are available in each area (contractors, disposal sites, outbuilding requirements, equipment, etc.). As such, I do not think that Branch can create a singular process for retention, storage and disposal of grizzly bear parts. We are asking that regions start immediately putting plans together for accepting and disposing of grizzly bear parts and completing CIs. You may wish to share this information with this larger group in order to share

info and ideas.

If there is anything that we at Branch can do to assist you in developing your own regional process, please let us know.

Please work through what process will work best for your region and give sufficient thought to what costs you may incur.

Branch is needing to put forward funding requests immediately. We need to know from each region a rough budget for what you will need for this year and subsequent years. This year may include infrastructure costs (equipment, etc.) and the following years may only include service costs (disposal fees, contractor fees, etc.). Please provide a rough implementation plan and budget.

PLEASE FORWARD PRELIMINARY PLAN & BUDGET ESTIMATES BY: THURSDAY NOVEMBER 30.

As has been discussed in the previous emails, these are some of the details that you may want to consider.

Please feel free to add to this list and share with the group.

PARTS RECEIVED

- Where will you accept parts to be received?
 - Does your office have the proper facilities
 - Do you require using another government building or renting a separate space
 - Is the facility private and not public-facing (for receiving and disposing of parts)

COMPULSORY INSPECTIONS

- Determine if you want contractors to complete GB CIs
- Contact CI contractors to check availability to do GB CIs
 - Do contractors require the use of a drop off location and storage facilities
 - What would the process be for this
- Determine government staffing needs in order to complete CIs
- Think about what days/times you would make CIs available to hunters

PARTS STORAGE

- How long will you have to hold parts before disposal
 - This may depend on what disposal process you have set up
- Do you require freezers and if so what kind (chest, walk-in, etc.)
- Do you require using another government building or renting a separate space
- Do you have a secure facility to hold parts in (locked office, storage, etc.)

PARTS TRANSPORTED

- Do you have the equipment necessary to do this yourself
 - Trucks, winches, cleaning materials, etc.
- Do you need to hire a contractor to do this work – are contractors available

PARTS DISPOSAL

- Consideration needs to be given to sensitivity and security of the disposal of GB parts
- Dumping of parts on Crown land or in the bush is not recommended
- Some discussed options for disposal: municipal landfill, incinerator, private disposal company, etc.
- Determine what options you have in your region
 - Ensure that disposal can occur with the typical number of bear CIs that you receive in your region

I will continue working on the issue of dissemination of parts to interested parties (First Nations, educational facilities, etc.). My feeling is to keep it as simple as possible. Likely an interested party would contact their regional office, or multiple offices and be added to a registry list. Once parts become available, they will be contacted and be required to pick up parts immediately. The issue of bears from other regions has come up. As CIs can be done in any region, this is something that we cannot control. I would likely suggest that if a FN is only interested in bears from their region, that this would be added to the registry and only bears that come up for CI in their region, from their region would be made available to them. It would not be advisable that government restrict where CIs are completed (maintain status quo on current CI process) or try to move parts to a region where they are being requested.

We did hear from regions that often there is initial high demand for parts but then there is little up-take on parts as they became available.

Thanks for all your hard work on this issue.

K.

Kate Craig

Senior Wildlife Policy Analyst

Fish and Wildlife Branch | Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

T: 778.698.9223 | E: kate.craig@gov.bc.ca

From: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX

Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 11:35 AM

To: Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerad S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX; Thogersen, Anne FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX

Cc: McLean, Craig A FLNR:EX; Bohm, Holger FLNR:EX; Yick, Claire FLNR:EX; Craig, Kate FLNR:EX; Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Stalberg, Mike X FLNR:EX; Harkness, Suzanne FLNR:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX

Subject: RE: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear

Happy Monday everyone,

I know there is a lot of concern about how the end of the trophy hunt is being implemented and the challenges that the new CI proposal pose for Regions. These decisions have been made at the Ministerial level and are viewed as being in line with the election platform commitments to end the trophy hunting of grizzly bear. At this point there doesn't appear to be any opportunity for the consideration of other options.

As promised last week this email should provide more detail on the type of information we are looking for. Any information you provide will be used to help create a new policy on GB CI and to inform a Treasury Board submission related to the costs associated with the new CI requirements.

First what are the parts of a GB that are going to be brought in for inspection and retained by government:

- Skull
- Teeth

- Hide
- Paws
- Claws
- For males the penis, baculum and testicles
- For females a portion of the teats or mammary gland

At a high level it looks like the plan for GB CI, retention is as follows:

- GB parts are brought to regional office for inspection
 - It doesn't appear to be reasonable position to require our CI inspectors to have to retain GB parts and we would likely lose our inspectors if we did
- GB parts are retained for 5 days by regional office
 - Consideration of issuing 2(k) permit to interested FNs or education/research institutions
 - List of interested parts would be generated in advance
 - There could be a rule in place that once our facilities are at capacity the GB parts are destroyed without a waiting period
- After 5 days the GB parts are destroyed

As it is unlikely that any contracted inspectors are going to want to be involved with retaining GB parts and turning them over to regional staff at a later date we need to understand the specific challenges exist that would prevent GB CI, retention and destruction from occurring in each regional office. Could you please point out the specific concerns/challenges/hurdles in your office. Some factors to consider include:

- Facilities
 - Are there challenges with having CI inspection and retention occur at your current office
 - i.e. you are on the third floor of an office building
 - Is there space in your office for additional equipment (i.e. freezers)
- Equipment

- Would your office require additional freezers to store GB parts
- Is additional equipment required to aid in processing/ destruction of GB parts
- Vehicles for transportation
- Incinerator
- Ect
- Staff
- Can current staff manage the additional work load
- Could there be a set day when we accept GB for inspection
- Destruction
- How does your office currently dispose of dead wildlife? Could this process work for grizzly bear?
- What other options are available for destruction?
- Arrangement with local dump
- Arrangement with other waste disposal company
- Purchase of incinerator
- We don't think it will be acceptable for the GB parts to be dumped in a remote area. Government wants to ensure that these parts are not obtained by/utilized by the public.

Please feel free to point out other challenges and issues we have not considered.

Cheers,

C

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 10:34 AM

To: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX; Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerard S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX; Thogersen, Anne FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX; Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX

Cc: McLean, Craig A FLNR:EX; Bohm, Holger FLNR:EX; Yick, Claire FLNR:EX; Craig, Kate FLNR:EX; Ahern,

Terry FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Stalberg, Mike X FLNR:EX

Subject: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear

When: Thursday, October 26, 2017 3:30 PM-4:30 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Conf. Call - 1-877-353-9184 Part ID s.15

Good morning,

I hate to be the bearer of bad news but there has been direction given on the CI requirements for grizzly bear. In short the proposal is for all the trophy parts to be brought in for CI and then surrendered to government. This is a significant change.

We have a number of things to discuss asap as we are in process of drafting the regulations and we need to ready to implement for the start of the spring grizzly bear hunt (April 1st).

Here is the list of issues I think we need to discuss:

- Timeframe to comply with CI for GB (currently 30 but the COS has proposed 10. There is also a reasonableness consideration if only regional offices will do GB CI) –this issue needs to be resolved this week
- Who does the GB CI (status quo v. regional offices only)
- How will the parts be managed
 - Storage
 - Surrender to first nations
 - Destruction
- Additional costs
- Other factor

Cheers,

C

From: [Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX](#)
To: [ENV ENF Provincial Leadership Team](#)
Subject: FW: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear
Date: Monday, October 30, 2017 11:55:51 AM

FYI

The bio's are not pleased they may be doing the CI's on grizzly bears at regional offices.

The COS is not going to be doing the CI's, and they have kept the timeline for doing a CI to 30 days, bios felt people would be asking for exemptions and they did not want to be tied up writing permits for extensions on CI's

If there is anything you would like me to pass along regarding the email below let me know and I'll collect the information and forward it to Cole – he wants a reply by Nov 9th

Cheers joe

From: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 12:35 PM
To: Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerard S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX; Thogersen, Anne FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX
Cc: McLean, Craig A FLNR:EX; Bohm, Holger FLNR:EX; Yick, Claire FLNR:EX; Craig, Kate FLNR:EX; Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Stalberg, Mike X FLNR:EX; Harkness, Suzanne FLNR:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX
Subject: RE: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear

Happy Monday everyone,

I know there is a lot of concern about how the end of the trophy hunt is being implemented and the challenges that the new CI proposal pose for Regions. These decisions have been made at the Ministerial level and are viewed as being in line with the election platform commitments to end the trophy hunting of grizzly bear. At this point there doesn't appear to be any opportunity for the consideration of other options.

As promised last week this email should provide more detail on the type of information we are looking for. Any information you provide will be used to help create a new policy on GB CI and to inform a Treasury Board submission related to the costs associated with the new CI requirements.

First what are the parts of a GB that are going to be brought in for inspection and retained by government:

- Skull

- Teeth
- Hide
- Paws
- Claws
- For males the penis, baculum and testicles
- For females a portion of the teats or mammary gland

At a high level it looks like the plan for GB CI, retention is as follows:

- GB parts are brought to regional office for inspection
 - It doesn't appear to be reasonable position to require our CI inspectors to have to retain GB parts and we would likely lose our inspectors if we did
- GB parts are retained for 5 days by regional office
 - Consideration of issuing 2(k) permit to interested FNs or education/research institutions
 - List of interested parts would be generated in advance
 - There could be a rule in place that once our facilities are at capacity the GB parts are destroyed without a waiting period
- After 5 days the GB parts are destroyed

As it is unlikely that any contracted inspectors are going to want to be involved with retaining GB parts and turning them over to regional staff at a later date we need to understand the specific challenges exist that would prevent GB CI, retention and destruction from occurring in each regional office. Could you please point out the specific concerns/challenges/hurdles in your office. Some factors to consider include:

- Facilities
 - Are there challenges with having CI inspection and retention occur at your current office
 - i.e. you are on the third floor of an office building
 - Is there space in your office for additional equipment (i.e. freezers)

- Equipment
 - Would your office require additional freezers to store GB parts
 - Is additional equipment required to aid in processing/ destruction of GB parts
- Vehicles for transportation
- Incinerator
- Ect
- Staff
 - Can current staff manage the additional work load
 - Could there be a set day when we accept GB for inspection
- Destruction
 - How does your office currently dispose of dead wildlife? Could this process work for grizzly bear?
 - What other options are available for destruction?
 - Arrangement with local dump
 - Arrangement with other waste disposal company
 - Purchase of incinerator
 - We don't think it will be acceptable for the GB parts to be dumped in a remote area. Government wants to ensure that these parts are not obtained by/utilized by the public.

Please feel free to point out other challenges and issues we have not considered.

Cheers,

C

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 10:34 AM

To: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX; Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerard S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX;

Thogersen, Anne FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX; Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX
Cc: McLean, Craig A FLNR:EX; Bohm, Holger FLNR:EX; Yick, Claire FLNR:EX; Craig, Kate FLNR:EX; Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Stalberg, Mike X FLNR:EX
Subject: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear
When: Thursday, October 26, 2017 3:30 PM-4:30 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).
Where: Conf. Call - 1-877-353-9184 Part ID s.15

Good morning,

I hate to be the bearer of bad news but there has been direction given on the CI requirements for grizzly bear. In short the proposal is for all the trophy parts to be brought in for CI and then surrendered to government. This is a significant change.

We have a number of things to discuss asap as we are in process of drafting the regulations and we need to ready to implement for the start of the spring grizzly bear hunt (April 1st).

Here is the list of issues I think we need to discuss:

- Timeframe to comply with CI for GB (currently 30 but the COS has proposed 10. There is also a reasonableness consideration if only regional offices will do GB CI) –this issue needs to be resolved this week
- Who does the GB CI (status quo v. regional offices only)
- How will the parts be managed
 - Storage
 - Surrender to first nations
 - Destruction
- Additional costs
- Other factor

Cheers,

C

From: [Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX](#)
To: [Doyle, Chris I ENV:EX](#); [Canuel, Aaron ENV:EX](#); [Forsdick, Doug O ENV:EX](#); [Airey, David ENV:EX](#)
Subject: FW: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear
Date: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 2:24:12 PM
Importance: High

FYI- interesting comment about COs doing the CI's themselves, perhaps on the call tomorrow we should be advising that the COS doesn't have any input into the grizzly bear CI's as wildlife branch will be doing them and they can advise us if there any violations after the thirty days,,,, pretty poor partnership ,,, boy is he not happy

From: Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 2:55 PM
To: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX; Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerad S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX; Thogersen, Anne FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX; Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX
Subject: RE: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear

In case I do not make tomorrows call. Here are my comments.

Should leave the timeframe to comply to 30 days. If the COS wants ten days they can do the CI's themselves. We changed it from ten to 30 days because we kept getting hunters asking for extensions and to officially grant an extension usually involves creating a letter or other paperwork granting it.

Currently we have a contractor doing CI's in the fall however in the spring (we do not have a fall GB season) the contractors are not in place so the CI's are done at the regional office. We could not expect a contractor to collect and store bear parts. We would lose our inspectors.

In regards to bear parts. This is how we intend to handle them!!!

We have no room at regional office to store parts other than small items. We do not have freezer space nor room for additional freezers in our regional office. Similarly we do not have room at our warehouse nor room for additional freezers for bear hides. We share warehouse space with parks, habitat section and fisheries with limited room for any additional equipment.

Any bear parts surrendered to this office will go directly into the outside dumpster that is adjacent to the work area that we currently conduct grizzly bear CI's. All CI's will be timed to coincide with when dumpster is emptied so there will likely only be 1 day a week for CI appointments (more reason for 30 days). We are not prepared to run across town to the landfill or our warehouse (which is also quite a distance from our regional office, every time Grizzly bear parts are dumped here.

We are not storing parts for First nations. I find it offensive that we would take parts that would normally be the hunters property away from them and give them to First Nations. We have enough conflict bears destroyed by COS that can be given to the few First Nations that want hides in order to meet their demand. If First nations they really want a grizzly bear they can exercise their rights and harvest one themselves.

One solution to the issue of bear hides is to change parts requirement to only bring in the head and a piece of hide with evidence of sex. You could treat it similar to ungulates where the evidence of sex is left attached to quarter for transport home, either testicle or chunk of hide with teats. Once they have it at home they can remove parts and bring it in when they come for the inspection. It is not perfect but is better than making a hunter drag in the entire hide just for us to toss it into the dumpster!

Additional costs may revolve around disposal fees for parts depending on regional circumstances. (and maybe WCB claims for back injuries and who knows what else as a result of carting around large green rotting bear hides).

Cheers

Patrick D

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 10:34 AM

To: Pendergast, Sean FLNR:EX; Wilton, William FLNR:EX; Evans, Jack FLNR:EX; Procter, Chris FLNR:EX; Hales, Gerard S FLNR:EX; Szkorupa, Tara D FLNR:EX; Teske, Irene FLNR:EX; Dielman, Pat W FLNR:EX; Lirette, Daniel FLNR:EX; Jex, Bill A FLNR:EX; Dixon, Krystal FLNR:EX; Bridger, Michael C FLNR:EX; Marshall, Shelley FLNR:EX; Reid, Aaron FLNR:EX; Walker, Andrew FLNR:EX; Thogersen, Anne FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX; Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX

Subject: New CI requirements for Grizzly Bear

When: Thursday, October 26, 2017 3:30 PM-4:30 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Conf. Call - 1-877-353-9184 Part ID s.15

Good morning,

I hate to be the bearer of bad news but there has been direction given on the CI requirements for grizzly bear. In short the proposal is for all the trophy parts to be brought in for CI and then surrendered to government. This is a significant change.

We have a number of things to discuss asap as we are in process of drafting the regulations and we need to ready to implement for the start of the spring grizzly bear hunt (April 1st).

Here is the list of issues I think we need to discuss:

- Timeframe to comply with CI for GB (currently 30 but the COS has proposed 10. There is also a reasonableness consideration if only regional offices will do GB CI) –this issue needs to be resolved this week

- Who does the GB CI (status quo v. regional offices only)

- How will the parts be managed

- Storage

- Surrender to first nations

- Destruction

- Additional costs

- Other factor

Cheers,

C

From: [Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX](#)
To: [Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX](#); [Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX](#); [Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX](#); [Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX](#)
Subject: New proposed offences related to possession/trafficking of grizzly bear parts
Date: Wednesday, October 4, 2017 4:37:38 PM

Conservation Officer Service colleagues,

Just wanting to keep you in the loop and am seeking COS support on the proposed new offences related to the upcoming grizzly bear related regulation amendments.

So far we have identified only three NEW offences to be added to the Wildlife Act Commercial Activities Regulation to address the new regime related to grizzly bear hunting. Unfortunately with timing, we must have the Treasury Board submission in play before the consultation is complete. We don't know how the drafter will write/ incorporate the new offences into the existing regime that captures possession and trafficking of bear parts (e.g. add it to current 2.08 WACAR re: possession of a gall bladder or 2.09 WACAR re: trafficking in paws or genitalia separate from the carcass or the hide) or if they will stand alone as new provision related to grizzly bear parts. And we won't have the detail until we finish consultation (Nov. 2) and know what the prohibited parts will actually be (if defined in regulation). The new offence for the requirement for taxidermists to report (register) grizzly bear parts may be added to the existing provision in 2.01 WACAR or be a new offence provision on its own.

Therefore, things are still fairly generic as they move forward to Treasury Board – as reflected in the TB summary below.

The three new offences and proposed penalties are set out below. They all provide officer discretion to proceed on a violation by issuing a ticket:

Table 1: New Offences

	Regulation*	Penalty- 1 st Conviction	Penalty- Subsequent Conviction	Proposed Ticket Fine	Victim Surcharge Levy	Violation Ticket Amount
1.	Prohibition on the possession of "prohibited parts"	Tier 2 Maximum fine of \$100,000 and/or a term of imprisonment not exceeding 1 year	Tier 2 Fine of \$2,000 - \$200,000 and/or a term of imprisonment not exceeding 2 years	\$500	\$75	\$575
2.	Prohibition on trafficking of "prohibited parts"	Tier 2 Maximum fine of \$100,000 and/or a term of imprisonment not exceeding 1 year	Tier 2 Fine of \$2,000 - \$200,000 and/or a term of imprisonment not exceeding 2 years	\$500	\$75	\$575
3.	Taxidermist and tanner failure to report	Tier 3 Maximum fine of \$50,000 and/or a term of imprisonment not exceeding 6 months	Tier 3 Fine of \$1,000 - \$100,000 and/or a term of imprisonment not exceeding 1 year	\$200	\$30	\$230

I look forward to receiving your comments, if any. As mentioned, a note of support would be beneficial.

Thank you.

Cheers

Terry Ahern | Senior Policy Analyst, Legislative and Regulatory Reform | Fish and Wildlife Branch | 250-387-9789

Page 16 to/à Page 23

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.14;s.16;s.13

From: Schwantje, Helen FLNR:EX
To: Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Hamilton, Tony ENV:EX; McLellan, Bruce N FLNR:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX
Cc: Mowat, Garth FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Burwash, Michael FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX
Subject: RE: Grizzly bear parts for future Compulsory Inspection requirements
Date: Monday, September 18, 2017 9:35:23 AM

Comments from me in red....

From: Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2017 8:49 AM
To: Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Hamilton, Tony ENV:EX; Schwantje, Helen FLNR:EX; McLellan, Bruce N FLNR:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX
Cc: Mowat, Garth FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Burwash, Michael FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX
Subject: RE: Grizzly bear parts for future Compulsory Inspection requirements
Importance: High
Thanks Terry

Just so my simple mind is clear I understand the following

1) CI requirements will include

- Skull (head) – continue to be submitted (unskinned, skinned or boiled) -
 - continue with measurements – maintains value of current data set and adds to it
 - extract a tooth for aging (only option for this data)
 - tongue tissue sample where available but NOT a requirement (Helen, if you want this mandatory please advise) IF THERE IS TO BE A TRICHINELLA TESTING PROGRAM AS GOABC HAS SHOWN INTEREST IN THEN THIS IS A GOOD SAMPLE FOR THIS. THIS DOES NOT NEED TO BE MANDATORY FOR MY WORK.
- Evidence of sex – continue, but these will now be submitted separate from the carcass or hide and exempted until CI'd then possession prohibited
 - males, either a testicle or a part of the penis, (and we will add requirement for baculum – yes?)
 - females, a portion of the teats or mammary gland
- Large patch of hide (30cmx30cm/12x12 in) cut from between the shoulders – effectively ruining a hide as a trophy (Helen, I thought I heard you say on Monday you don't want to deal with large hide patches (frozen or unfrozen) you will only want the cut off 100 hair sample. THE BIGGEST ISSUE IS WHAT WILL THE CI INSPECTOR DO WITH THIS SAMPLE AS THEY DO NOT HAVE A TON OF RESOURCES – I CAN DEAL WITH THEM FINE This may require a CI officer/staff as middleman to do some intervention prior to sending to you – i.e. cut off hairs and cut a 1x1 small patch of hide with hair and dispose of the rest.)
 - provides hair sample for cortisol testing
 - continues current practice to provide hair with root for DNA

But my simple mind needs clarification on the following

- 2) Legislation is proposed to be put in place to make it illegal to possess grizzly bear paws with claws. Are you also saying it will not be illegal to possess claws separate from the paws?? Also, what about people who have legally killed a grizzly in the past that presently possess the claws separate from the hide. MANY PEOPLE HAVE NECKLACES ETC. We understand that the paws with claws attached do not provide much biological information when being presented for CI, however we are concerned with the potential illegal trade in these parts and thus we are requesting that the four paws with the claws

attached be brought in and surrendered at the time of the CI.

- 3) Also after the skull is brought in and a tooth is removed for aging, will the skull (which you are saying can be boiled so they can then get their legal B&C measurements before submitting the skull) then be surrendered to the crown? THESE DO HAVE VALUE
- 4) Understand you are proposing to add the baculum as a requirement to be submitted with the CI- we agree but I am curious what biological data does the baculum provide? NONE, DON'T SEE A BIG ISSUE

Thanks

Cheers

INSPECTOR JOE CARAVETTA

OIC Kootenay Boundary Region

Conservation Officer Service

Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

PO Box 2877, 1621-A 10th Ave Fernie BC, V0B 1M0

Phone: (250)423-2302 Fax: (250)423-9217

From: Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX

Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 4:32 PM

To: Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Hamilton, Tony ENV:EX; Schwantje, Helen FLNR:EX; McLellan, Bruce N FLNR:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX

Cc: Mowat, Garth FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Burwash, Michael FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX

Subject: RE: Grizzly bear parts for future Compulsory Inspection requirements

Hi Joe,

I never heard that before. I knew only we would prescribe paws with claws as prohibited parts and if the decision was ALL prohibited parts to be turned in then certainly they would be included. With respect to my focus below on compulsory inspection requirements to justify submitting parts, no one identified in our previous discussions that biological data could be collected from paws and claws.

Does anyone want to add comment or view on this specific issue of paws with claws to be mandatory to submit to government? FYI we were not making claws separate from the paw a trophy part.

Terry Ahern | Senior Policy Analyst, Legislative and Regulatory Reform | Fish and Wildlife Branch | 250-387-9789

From: Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX

Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 2:58 PM

To: Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Hamilton, Tony ENV:EX; Schwantje, Helen FLNR:EX; McLellan, Bruce N FLNR:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX

Cc: Mowat, Garth FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Burwash, Michael FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX

Subject: RE: Grizzly bear parts for future Compulsory Inspection requirements

Thanks Terry

We were under the impression that the paws with the claws also had to be packed out and turned in otherwise we suspect those could easily be sold or kept/traded illegally. We would like to see that be part of the requirements – thanks

Cheers

INSPECTOR JOE CARAVETTA

OIC Kootenay Boundary Region

Conservation Officer Service

Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

PO Box 2877, 1621-A 10th Ave Fernie BC, V0B 1M0

Phone: (250)423-2302 Fax: (250)423-9217

From: Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX

Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 12:21 PM

To: Hamilton, Tony ENV:EX; Schwantje, Helen FLNR:EX; McLellan, Bruce N FLNR:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; MacIver, Stephen FLNR:EX

Cc: Mowat, Garth FLNR:EX; Iredale, Francis FLNR:EX; Burwash, Michael FLNR:EX; Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX

Subject: Grizzly bear parts for future Compulsory Inspection requirements

Folks,

We do not yet have a decision on the key policy related to trophy parts of harvested grizzly bear in terms of ALL being removed from the field or ALL left in the field – or somewhere in between.

However, we anticipate that consultation results will show that hunters will not want to bring ALL parts out of the field for CI, but will support a middle road option for some parts (and avoid the option for bare minimum samples). Therefore, we are trying to fine tune the list of preferred parts required to satisfy the biological data collection through compulsory inspection.

Here is what I believe I have heard as the **preferred** list of parts required and the reasons we want them:

- Skull (head) – continue to be submitted (unskinned, skinned or boiled) -
 - continue with measurements – maintains value of current data set and adds to it
 - extract a tooth for aging (only option for this data)
 - tongue tissue sample where available but NOT a requirement (Helen, if you want this mandatory please advise)
- Evidence of sex – continue, but these will now be submitted separate from the carcass or hide and exempted until CI'd then possession prohibited
 - males, either a testicle or a part of the penis, (and we will add requirement for baculum – yes?)
 - females, a portion of the teats or mammary gland
- Large patch of hide (30cmx30cm/12x12 in) cut from between the shoulders – effectively ruining a hide as a trophy (Helen, I thought I heard you say on Monday you don't want to deal with large hide patches (frozen or unfrozen) you will only want the cut off 100 hair sample. This may require a CI officer/staff as middleman to do some intervention prior to sending to you – i.e. cut off hairs and cut a 1x1 small patch of hide with hair and dispose of the rest.)
 - provides hair sample for cortisol testing
 - continues current practice to provide hair with root for DNA

My understanding is that any First Nations harvest of grizzly bear under LEH currently is subject to Compulsory Inspection and this will continue to apply going forward. However, First Nations harvest in a traditional area under Aboriginal Right is not subject to mandatory Compulsory Inspection. Have we negotiated some other reporting cooperation by First Nations as part of the allocation? Can anyone confirm? I believe we plan to exempt CI requirements and possession prohibitions for First Nations who harvest under and Aboriginal Right within their traditional territory.

If there is more to be added to the list above, or comments you wish to make, now is the time. I look forward to hearing from you with ideas or an acknowledgement that you agree.

Thank you.

Terry Ahern | Senior Policy Analyst, Legislative and Regulatory Reform | Fish and Wildlife Branch | 250-387-9789

From: [Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX](#)
To: [Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX](#); [Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX](#); [Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX](#); [Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX](#)
Cc: [Harkness, Suzanne FLNR:EX](#); [Macdonald, Amanda FLNR:EX](#); [Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX](#); [Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX](#); [Hold - 180411 - Snell, Renae FLNR:EX](#); [McLellan, Bruce N FLNR:EX](#); [Hamilton, Tony ENV:EX](#)
Subject: DRAFT Grizzly bear regulation notes for initial COS input
Date: Monday, August 28, 2017 12:44:05 PM
Attachments: [FLNR-IN-GBT parts removed.docx](#)
[DN-GB draft definitions August 28.docx](#)
[FLNR-IN-GBT possession - August 28.docx](#)
Importance: High

Hello all,

Please find attached draft notes for the Minister Doug Donaldson. These notes are simply an initial effort and a starting point for our conversation this afternoon. These notes have not yet been shared with our Director, ED or ADM; however, it is our aim to have them go up for approvals tomorrow due to our tight timeline.

Penny Lloyd, MPA, BA

Tel: 250.387.9792

Cell: 250.889.8458

Manager, Legislative and Regulatory Reform

Fish & Wildlife Branch

Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

Government of British Columbia

Email: penny.lloyd@gov.bc.ca

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX

Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 9:18 AM

To: Lloyd, Penny FLNR:EX; Liedtke, Michael ENV:EX; Caravetta, Joe ENV:EX; Minchuk, Christopher ENV:EX; Harkness, Suzanne FLNR:EX; Macdonald, Amanda FLNR:EX; Ahern, Terry FLNR:EX; Winegarden, Cole FLNR:EX; Doyle, Chris J ENV:EX

Subject: Possession, import, export and trafficking of grizzly bear trophies in BC

When: Monday, August 28, 2017 2:30 PM-3:30 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: CSNR R Victoria 2975 Jutland 4th Fl RM 4-4 (seats 15) CSNR:EX

Importance: High

This is a time sensitive meeting. Thanks in advance for your attendance.

Access codes:

Participant Conference ID: **s.15**

Dial-in Phone Numbers:

1-877-353-9184 Toll Free - North America

604-681-0260 Vancouver local