

From: [Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX](#)
To: [Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX](#); [s.22](#) [Smith, George PREM:EX](#); [Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX](#)
Subject: FW: Research from weekly omni
Date: June 7, 2022 12:44:33 PM
Attachments: [66671-2223 BC Gov RBCM Omni 07Jun2022.xlsx](#)

Please see below and attached. Will resend with the last q as soon as I have it.

Sage (she/her)
778-678-0832

From: Allan Dawe <adawe@leger360.com>
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 at 12:40 PM
To: Sage Aaron <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: "Finn, Heather GCPE:EX" <Heather.Finn@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Research from weekly omni

[EXTERNAL] This email came from an external source. Only open attachments or links that you are expecting from a known sender.

Extremely hot off the press. However, I took a quick look at the tables and they didn't include the last question, so I've asked them to add it in and re-send ASAP. I had a quick summary ready to go and have inserted the %s and pasted below.

1. On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means you are not aware of the project and "5" means you are very familiar with the project, how informed are you about the BC government's announcement to build a new Royal BC Museum?

- 84% of BC residents are aware of the RBCM project.
 - 48% say they are informed (score of 4 or 5)
 - 26% are in the middle (3)
 - 26% have low familiarity or are not aware (16%)

2. Which of these is closer to your views?

- 21%: 'With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the museum makes sense because it will mean preserving our province's history, supporting our tourism industry, and growing our economy.'
 - 79%: 'The \$1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and hospitals, more affordable housing, and reducing costs for British Columbians.'
- *Results consistent across province and among age groups.

3. Are you aware that the Royal BC Museum (RBCM) buildings are seismically unsafe and that they contain hazardous materials such as lead paint, arsenic, and asbestos?

- 49% Yes across BC. 69% in Greater Victoria
- Awareness significantly higher among those who are older.

4. On a scale of 1 to 5 where “1” means not at all important and “5” means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our province's history, while supporting our tourism industry?

- 25% Important personally (4 or 5)
- 43% to province of BC. Highest in Greater Victoria (61%)

5. If the financial cost to tear down and rebuild the museum is similar but less than the cost of renovating the museum and keeping the exhibits open, which option would you prefer?

- Rebuild: 40%
- Renovate: 31%
- Don't know: 29%

6. Level of Convincing.

	4/5 Convincing	1 or 2 Not Convincing
For years engineers have said the RBCM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening some of BC's most treasured artifacts. A new museum will ensure those items are properly protected for future generations to safely enjoy and learn from.	41%	25%
RBCM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk to both visitors and staff if disturbed. For example, the presence of these materials would make damage from a seismic event even more dangerous.	42%	25%
The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary exhibits will allow British Columbians around the province to experience some of the museum's treasures in their local communities.	38%	29%
RBCM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of Indigenous and immigrant communities. There are also parts of RBCM that are inaccessible, meaning people living with disabilities cannot access the full experience of the museum. Replacing it will not only address pressing safety issues but will also provide an opportunity to reimagine the museum in a way that tells BC's history in a more inclusive way, in a more accessible facility.	34%	31%
RBCM has served us well for 50 years and is BC's second-biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum for the 21st century. The new building will not only ensure the security of priceless artifacts, it will also be a world-class architectural icon, made with BC materials, that will attract even more people from around the world, helping to strengthen our economy.	34%	32%

Let me know of any q's,
Allan

Allan Dawe
Vice-President

O. 604-424-1017
C. 604-787-0143
E. adawe@leger360.com

REG1: Thinking about the way things are going these days in British Columbia, to what extent would you say that current BC provincial government is on the right track, or the wrong track? - duplicate by Banner 1

Thinking about the way things are going these days in BHSB Canada, to what extent would you say that each of the following groups is on the right track, or the wrong track? - Apply by banner 1																																							
Column N Column Comments Weighted Total	Region														Gender		Area		Children in Household		Education		Income		HSE		SSE+												
	Total	Greater Vancouver (Metro)	Greater Victoria	BC	Other regions (Metro)	18-24	25-34	35+	18-24	25-34	35+	Male	Female	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	HS or less	Coll.	Unl.	<\$4K	\$4K-\$5K	\$5K+															
Unweighted Total	500	162	50	48	178	58	69	51	63	57	64	477	523	348	154	150	18	18	212	288	168	136	176	277	400														
1- The B.C. government is on the right track	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y														
Z	11%	18%	13%	12%	7%	20%	17%	7%	10%	13%	8%	13%	18%	13%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%															
1	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%															
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
3	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
4	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
5	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
6	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
7	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
8	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
9	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
10	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
11	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
12	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
13	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
14	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
15	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
16	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
17	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
18	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
19	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
20	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
21	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
22	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
23	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
24	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
25	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
26	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
27	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
28	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
29	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
30	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
31	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
32	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
33	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
34	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
35	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
36	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
37	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
38	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
39	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
40	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
41	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
42	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
43	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
44	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
45	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
46	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
47	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
48	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
49	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
50	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
51	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
52	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
53	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
54	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
55	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
56	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
57	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
58	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
59	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
60	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
61	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
62	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
63	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
64	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
65	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
66	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
67	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
68	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
69	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
70	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
71	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
72	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
73	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
74	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%															
75	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%																																

Back to TOC

BGC1: Thinking about the way things are going these days in British Columbia, to what extent would you say that current BC provincial government is on the right track, or the wrong track? - duplicate by BGC-2

Column % Column Comparisons Weighted Total	Regions of BC								BC provincial government on								BCG3		Informed about project		Not aware/less aware	
	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware								
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
1-The B.C. government is on the right track	-	11%	11%	10%	12%	11%	12%	11%	9%	23%	0%	28%	7%	14%	8%							
2	-	36%	43%	35%	34%	30%	28%	33%	-	31%	77%	0%	36%	36%	32%	43%						
3	-	34%	e f	29%	38%	31%	34%	39%	26%	36%	0%	64%	29%	35%	29%	33%						
4-The B.C. government is on the wrong track	-	19%	16%	17%	23%	24%	21%	31%	-	23%	0%	36%	8%	22%	25%	17%						
(1,2) RIGHT TRACK	-	47%	55%	45%	45%	42%	41%	43%	41%	100%	0%	64%	42%	46%	50%							
(3,4) WRONG TRACK	-	53%	e f	45%	55%	55%	58%	59%	57%	-	0%	100%	36%	58%	54%	50%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC2: On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means you are not aware of the project and "5" means you are very familiar with the project, how informed are you about the BC government's announcement to build a new Royal BC Museum? by BGC-2

Column % Column Comparisons Weighted Total Unweighted Total	Region of BC								BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project		Not aware/less aware	
	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware				
	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256				
	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228				
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N				
1-Not aware of this project	-	16%	23%	16%	7%	15%	8%	26%	11%	15%	16%	15%	16%	0%	62%			
2	-	10%	7%	9%	11%	13%	13%	14%	15%	12%	8%	10%	10%	0%	38%			
3	-	26%	28%	24%	22%	25%	30%	22%	20%	25%	26%	32%	24%	0%	0%			
4	-	29%	30%	31%	28%	30%	32%	25%	35%	31%	29%	32%	29%	0%	0%			
5-Very informed about the project	-	19%	11%	20%	32%	36%	17%	13%	19%	17%	21%	10%	21%	39%	0%			
(4,5) INFORMED	-	48%	42%	51%	60%	46%	49%	38%	54%	47%	50%	43%	50%	100%	0%			
(1,2) NOT AWARE	-	26%	30%	24%	18%	28%	21%	40%	26%	27%	24%	25%	26%	0%	100%			
Mean	-	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.3	4.4	1.4			
Column Comparisons	-	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n				

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC3: Which of these is closer to your view? by BGC-2

Column % Column Comparisons Weighted Total Unweighted Total	Regions of BC								BC provincial government on								BCG3		Informed about project	
	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the	21%	28%	19%	19%	16%	18%	14%	15%	29%	15%	100%	0%	19%	21%						
The \$1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and housing	-	79%	72%	81%	81%	84%	82%	86%	85%	71%	85%	0%	100%	81%	79%					
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC4: Are you aware that the Royal BC Museum (RBCM) buildings are seismically unsafe and that they contain hazardous materials such as lead paint, arsenic, and asbestos? by BGC-2

Column % Column Comparisons Weighted Total	Regions of BC										BC provincial government on										BCG3		Informed about project		Not aware/less aware	
	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC			Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B			Informed about project	Not aware/less aware								
	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256												
	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228												
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N												
Yes	-	49%	35%	46%	71%	51%	56%	43%	54%	48%	49%	49%	49%	71%	17%											
No	-	51%	65%	54%	29%	49%	44%	57%	46%	52%	51%	51%	51%	29%	83%											
			c D E F	D	D	D	D	D										M								

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC5: To yourself personally - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all important and "5" means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our province's history, while supporting our tourism industry? by BGC-2

Column % Column Comparisons Weighted Total	Regions of BC								BC provincial government on								BCG3		Informed about project		Not aware/less aware	
	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware								
	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256								
	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
1-Not at all important	-	26%	28%	22%	23%	30%	30%	28%	38%	22%	29%	7%	31%	26%	36%							
2	-	18%	16%	23%	19%	13%	18%	7%	12%	17%	20%	9%	21%	17%	19%							
3	-	31%	35%	e G	R	31%	32%	33%	34%	27%	31%	31%	35%	30%	30%							
4	-	16%	12%	18%	16%	20%	17%	26%	19%	18%	15%	28%	13%	19%	12%							
5-Extremely important	-	8%	9%	10%	13%	4%	2%	5%	4%	12%	5%	22%	5%	12%	3%							
(4,5) IMPORTANT	-	25%	f	e F	e F	24%	19%	31%	23%	J	30%	20%	L	18%	N							
(1,2) NOT IMPORTANT	-	44%	44%	45%	43%	43%	48%	35%	50%	J	38%	49%	16%	52%	43%							
Mean	-	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.5	3.5	K	2.4	m							
Column Comparisons	-	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n								

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC5-2: To the province of BC - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all important and "5" means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our province's history, while supporting our tourism industry? by BGC-2

Regions of BC														BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project		Not aware/less aware	
Column %																							
Column Comparisons																							
Weighted Total	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North	Th	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware								
	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256									
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	331	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N									
1. Not at all important	9%	12%	10%	7%	7%	8%	8%	1%	6%	12%	1%	11%	12%	9%									
2	14%	9%	15%	17%	15%	20%	5%	24%	10%	1%	3%	17%	14%	17%									
3	14%	35%	34%	28%	39%	35%	48%	30%	31%	37%	18%	39%	27%	37%									

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

		22%	26%	16%	24%	22%	24%	18%	20%	20%	18%	30%	19%	21%	24%		
5-Very Convincing	-	13%	14%	10%	16%	11%	10%	9%	20%	J	19%	7%	31%	8%	14%	3%	
(4,5) CONVINCING	-	34%	40%	28%	41%	33%	30%	20%	36%	-	45%	23%	61%	27%	33%	33%	
(1,2) NOT CONVINCING	-	31%	29%	31%	30%	34%	31%	40%	32%	22%	39%	11%	30%	40%	24%		
Mean		3.0	3.1	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.3	I	2.7	3.8	2.8	N	2.8	3.1
Column Comparisons	-								J		L						

Back to 702
 BCG7: BCGM has served as well for 50 years and is BC's second-biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum for the 21st century. The new building will not only ensure the security of priceless artifacts, it will also be a world-class architectural icon, made with BC materials, that will attract even more people from around the world, helping to strengthen our economy. by BGC-2

		Regions of BC							BC provincial government on BCG3							Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware		
Weighted Total		1002	252	312	187	223	112	78	34	483	533	212	790	486	216		
Unweighted Total		1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	484	518	221	777	513	218		
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N		
3-Not at all Convincing	-	17%	16%	16%	21%	16%	14%	22%	11%	9%	23%	5%	20%	23%	12%		
2	-	15%	12%	15%	16%	18%	20%	14%	-	25%	12%	18%	3%	18%	N	14%	12%
3	-	35%	35%	37%	28%	34%	34%	30%	-	24%	36%	34%	20%	37%	20%	40%	
4	-	22%	18%	20%	21%	21%	20%	19%	29%	26%	19%	33%	30%	20%	20%	23%	
5-Very Convincing	-	11%	9%	12%	13%	11%	13%	7%	-	11%	18%	5%	32%	5%	12%	7%	
(4,5) CONVINING	-	34%	37%	32%	30%	31%	32%	25%	-	40%	29%	L	40%	29%	n	33%	32%
(1,2) NOT CONVINING	-	32%	27%	31%	37%	30%	32%	30%	-	36%	21%	41%	8%	38%	41%	23%	
Mean		3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.3	I	2.7	3.8	2.7	2.8	3.0	
Column Comparisons																	
Weight: Weight Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/J/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: VJ, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N																	
Column comparison symbols: A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																	

Back to 702
 BCG7: Summary of CONVINING - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all convincing and "5" means very convincing, how convincing are each of the following reasons to support replacing the Royal BC Museum? by BGC-2

		Regions of BC							BC provincial government on BCG3							Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware		
Weighted Total		1002	252	312	187	223	112	78	34	483	533	212	790	486	216		
Unweighted Total		1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	484	518	221	777	513	218		
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N		
For years engineers have said the RBGM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening	-	41%	48%	37%	38%	40%	42%	32%	51%	53%	30%	L	75%	32%	40%	41%	
RBGM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk	-	42%	45%	44%	38%	40%	34%	40%	-	59%	54%	32%	68%	35%	39%	46%	
The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary	-	38%	38%	37%	40%	36%	36%	34%	-	38%	48%	29%	71%	29%	38%	38%	
RBGM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of Indigenous and immigrant communities	-	34%	40%	28%	41%	32%	34%	20%	-	46%	45%	23%	61%	27%	32%	32%	
RBGM has served us well for 50 years and is BC's second-biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum	-	34%	37%	32%	35%	31%	32%	25%	-	40%	25%	L	60%	25%	33%	32%	
Weight: Weight Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/J/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: VJ, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N																	
Column comparison symbols: A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																	

Back to 702
 BCG7: Summary of NOT CONVINING - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all convincing and "5" means very convincing, how convincing are each of the following reasons to support replacing the Royal BC Museum? by BGC-2

		Regions of BC							BC provincial government on BCG3							Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware		
Weighted Total		1002	252	312	187	223	112	78	34	483	533	212	790	486	216		
Unweighted Total		1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	484	518	221	777	513	218		
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N		
For years engineers have said the RBGM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening	-	25%	18%	26%	28%	29%	26%	30%	-	37%	16%	33%	5%	30%	33%	20%	
RBGM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk	-	25%	20%	25%	32%	26%	29%	26%	-	20%	19%	I	31%	5%	31%	34%	18%
The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary	-	26%	25%	27%	24%	30%	32%	38%	-	35%	19%	38%	6%	36%	30%	22%	
RBGM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of Indigenous and immigrant communities	-	31%	29%	31%	30%	34%	31%	40%	-	32%	22%	I	39%	12%	36%	40%	24%
RBGM has served us well for 50 years and is BC's second-biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum	-	32%	27%	31%	37%	30%	32%	30%	-	36%	21%	I	41%	8%	38%	41%	23%
Weight: Weight Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/J/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: VJ, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N																	
Column comparison symbols: A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																	

Back to 702
 BCG8: Given what you now know about the Royal BC Museum project, which of these is closer to your view? by BGC-2

		Regions of BC							BC provincial government on BCG3							Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware		
Weighted Total		1002	252	312	187	223	112	78	34	483	533	212	790	486	216		
Unweighted Total		1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	484	518	221	777	513	218		
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N		
With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the	-	26%	37%	25%	26%	22%	26%	19%	-	19%	37%	22%	88%	13%	24%	35%	
The \$1.1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and housing	-	12%	63%	71%	74%	77%	74%	81%	81%	63%	78%	12%	K	n		65%	
Weight: Weight Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/J/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: VJ, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N																	
Column comparison symbols: A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																	

Table of Contents

BGC-1-STAT	
BGC-1-STAT	BGC1: Thinking abWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC2: On a scaleWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC3: Which of thWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC4: Are you awWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC5r1: To you seWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC5r2: To the prWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC6: If the finanWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC7r1: For yearsWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC7r2: RB CM doWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC7r3: The costWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC7r5: RB CM isWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC7r5: RB CM haWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC7: SummaryWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC8: SummarWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-1-STAT	BGC8: Given whatWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Region: B/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V
BGC-2-STAT	
BGC-2-STAT	BGC1: Thinking abWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC2: On a scaleWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC3: Which of thWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC4: Are you awWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC5r1: To you seWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC5r2: To the prWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC6: If the finanWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC7r1: For yearsWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC7r2: RB CM doWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC7r3: The costWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC7r5: RB CM isWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC7r5: RB CM haWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC7: SummaryWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC8: SummarWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
BGC-2-STAT	BGC8: Given whatWeight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N

BSC1: Thinking about the way things are going these days in British Columbia, to what extent would you say that current BC provincial government is on the right track, or the wrong track? - duplicate by barner 1

Weight: Weight. Comparisons: Region: A/C/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V. Galaman comparison symbols: A, B, C... (p < 0.05), A, B, C... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: - Not significant symbol.

Region

Weight: Weight. Comparisons: Region: N/G/D, Age: Y/F/O, HA, Gender: M/F, Area: U/M/W, Children in Household: G/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V. Galambos comparison symbols: a, b, c... ($p < 0.05$), A, B, C... ($p < 0.01$). No text symbol: - Not significant symbol.

Source: *Wissenschaften* 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 26

Weight: Weight. Comparisons: Age: A/G/D, Age: E/F/G, H/I, Gender: J/K, Area: L/M/N, Children in Household: O/P, Education: Q/R/S, Income: T/U/V. Calam comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: ns.

	Region	Age

Region	Age	Gender	Area
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7
8	8	8	8
9	9	9	9
10	10	10	10
11	11	11	11
12	12	12	12
13	13	13	13
14	14	14	14
15	15	15	15
16	16	16	16
17	17	17	17
18	18	18	18
19	19	19	19
20	20	20	20
21	21	21	21
22	22	22	22
23	23	23	23
24	24	24	24
25	25	25	25
26	26	26	26
27	27	27	27
28	28	28	28
29	29	29	29
30	30	30	30
31	31	31	31
32	32	32	32
33	33	33	33
34	34	34	34
35	35	35	35
36	36	36	36
37	37	37	37
38	38	38	38
39	39	39	39
40	40	40	40
41	41	41	41
42	42	42	42
43	43	43	43
44	44	44	44
45	45	45	45
46	46	46	46
47	47	47	47
48	48	48	48
49	49	49	49
50	50	50	50
51	51	51	51
52	52	52	52
53	53	53	53
54	54	54	54
55	55	55	55
56	56	56	56
57	57	57	57
58	58	58	58
59	59	59	59
60	60	60	60
61	61	61	61
62	62	62	62
63	63	63	63
64	64	64	64
65	65	65	65
66	66	66	66
67	67	67	67
68	68	68	68
69	69	69	69
70	70	70	70
71	71	71	71
72	72	72	72
73	73	73	73
74	74	74	74
75	75	75	75
76	76	76	76
77	77	77	77
78	78	78	78
79	79	79	79
80	80	80	80
81	81	81	81
82	82	82	82
83	83	83	83
84	84	84	84
85	85	85	85
86	86	86	86
87	87	87	87
88	88	88	88
89	89	89	89
90	90	90	90
91	91	91	91
92	92	92	92
93	93	93	93
94	94	94	94
95	95	95	95
96	96	96	96
97	97	97	97
98	98	98	98
99	99	99	99
100	100	100	100

BC2B: If the financial cost to tear down and rebuild the museum is similar but less than the cost of renovating the museum and keeping the exhibits open, which option would you prefer? by Banner 1

Weight: Weight. Comparisons: Region: S/C/T, Age: Y/P/O, HA: Gender: M/F, Area: U/M/N, Children in Household: C/P, Education: Q/N/S, Income: T/U/V. Column comparison symbols: A, B, C, ... ($p < 0.05$), A, B, C, ... ($p < 0.01$). No test symbol: - Not significant symbol.

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

[illegible]

Weighted Total		3002	532	81	308	207	331	421	2817	730	480	510	464	308	148	190	800	130	180	235	227	276	415
Unweighted Total		3002	362	108	408	178	438	438	178	438	437	523	464	338	108	308	812	198	210	348	178	277	414
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	
French	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
English	-	81%	74%	88%	96%	75%	75%	93%	75%	89%	82%	81%	76%	83%	81%	77%	84%	80%	88%	71%	89%	88%	77%
Other	-	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%
French and other	-	8%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
English and other	-	8%	14%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	10%	8%	8%	8%	18%	8%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	14%	8%	8%	8%
Other and other	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
English and French	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
I prefer not to answer	-	8%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Weight: Weight Comparisons: Region: R/C/D, Age: A/F/J, M: Gender: UK, Area: U/M/N, Children in Households: C/P, Education: G/H/N, Income: T/U/V
Column comparison symbols: A, B, C... (p < 0.05), A, B, C... (p < 0.01), No test symbol: - Not applicable symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC1: Thinking about the way things are going these days in British Columbia, to what extent would you say that current BC provincial government is on the right track, or the wrong track? - duplicate by BGC-2

Regions of BC													BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project			
Column %	Total												Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Inform	Not aware/less	about project	aware	less aware	256
Column Comparisons																						
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	486	256	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
1-The B.C. government is on the right track	-	11%	11%	10%	12%	11%	12%	11%	9%	23%	0%	28%	7%	14%	8%							
2	-	36%	43%	35%	34%	30%	28%	33%	31%	77%	0%	36%	36%	32%	43%							
3	-	34%	29%	38%	31%	34%	39%	26%	36%	0%	64%	29%	35%	29%	33%							
4-The B.C. government is on the wrong track	-	19%	16%	17%	23%	24%	21%	31%	23%	0%	36%	8%	22%	25%	17%							
(1,2) RIGHT TRACK	-	47%	55%	45%	45%	42%	41%	43%	41%	100%	0%	64%	42%	46%	50%							
(3,4) WRONG TRACK	-	53%	45%	55%	55%	58%	59%	57%	59%	0%	100%	36%	58%	54%	50%							

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC2: On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means you are not aware of the project and "5" means you are very familiar with the project, how informed are you about the BC government's announcement to build a new Royal BC Museum? by BGC-2

Regions of BC													BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project			
Column %	Total												Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Inform	Not aware/less	about project	aware	less aware	256
Column Comparisons																						
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	486	256	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
1-Not aware of this project	-	16%	23%	16%	7%	15%	8%	26%	11%	15%	16%	15%	16%	0%	62%							
2	-	10%	7%	9%	11%	13%	13%	14%	15%	12%	8%	10%	10%	0%	38%							
3	-	26%	28%	24%	22%	25%	30%	22%	20%	25%	26%	32%	24%	0%	0%							
4	-	29%	30%	31%	28%	30%	32%	25%	35%	31%	29%	32%	29%	61%	0%							
5-Very informed about the project	-	19%	11%	20%	32%	16%	17%	13%	19%	17%	21%	10%	21%	39%	0%							
(4,5) INFORMED	-	48%	42%	51%	60%	46%	49%	38%	54%	47%	50%	43%	50%	100%	0%							
(1,2) NOT AWARE	-	26%	30%	24%	18%	28%	21%	40%	26%	27%	24%	25%	26%	0%	100%							
Mean	-	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.3	4.4	1.4							
Column Comparisons	-	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n								

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC3: Which of these is closer to your view? by BGC-2

Regions of BC													BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project			
Column %	Total												Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Inform	Not aware/less	about project	aware	less aware	256
Column Comparisons																						
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	486	256	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the	-	21%	28%	19%	19%	16%	18%	14%	15%	29%	15%	100%	0%	19%	21%							
The \$1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and hospitals	-	79%	72%	81%	81%	84%	82%	86%	85%	71%	85%	0%	100%	81%	79%							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC4: Are you aware that the Royal BC Museum (RBCM) buildings are seismically unsafe and that they contain hazardous materials such as lead paint, arsenic, and asbestos? by BGC-2

Regions of BC													BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project			
Column %	Total												Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Inform	Not aware/less	about project	aware	less aware	256
Column Comparisons																						
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	486	256	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
Yes	-	49%	35%	46%	71%	51%	56%	43%	54%	48%	49%	49%	49%	71%	17%							
No	-	51%	65%	54%	29%	49%	44%	57%	46%	52%	51%	51%	51%	29%	83%							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC5: To yourself personally - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all important and "5" means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our province's history, while supporting our tourism industry? by BGC-2

Regions of BC													BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project			
Column %	Total												Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Inform	Not aware/less	about project	aware	less aware	256
Column Comparisons																						
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	486	256	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N								
1-Not at all important	-	26%	28%	22%	30%	30%	28%	38%	22%	29%	7%	31%	26%	36%								
2	-	18%	16%	23%	19%	13%	18%	7%	12%	17%	20%	9%	21%	17%	19%							
3	-	31%	35%	28%	31%	32%	33%	34%	27%	31%	31%	35%	30%	30%								
4	-	16%	12%	18%	16%	20%	17%	26%	19%	18%	15%	28%	13%	19%	14%							
5-Extremely important	-	8%	9%	10%	13%	4%	2%	5%	4%	12%	5%	5%	12%	5%	12%							
(4,5) IMPORTANT	-	29%	21%	27%	27%	24%	19%	31%	23%	30%	20%	30%	18%	31%	15%							
(1,2) NOT IMPORTANT	-	44%	44%	45%	43%	43%	48%	35%	50%	38%	49%	16%	52%	43%	55%							
Mean	-	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.5	3.5	2.4	2.7	2.3							
Column Comparisons	-	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n								

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

BGC5-2: To the province of BC - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all important and "5" means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our province's history, while supporting our tourism industry? by BGC-2

Column % Column Comparisons	Regions of BC										BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Weighted Total	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North	Th	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informd about project	Not aware/less aware			
	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256				
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228				
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N				
1-Not at all important	-	9%	12%	10%	7%	7%	8%	8%	1%	6%	12%	1%	11%	12%	9%			
2	-	14%	9%	15%	17%	15%	20%	5%	24%	10%	17%	3%	17%	14%	17%			
3	-	14%	35%	34%	28%	39%	35%	48%	30%	31%	37%	18%	39%	27%	37%			

[illegible]

		22%	26%	16%	24%	22%	24%	18%	20%	20%	18%	30%	19%	21%	24%
5-Very Convincing	-	13%	14%	10%	16%	11%	10%	9%	20%	19%	7%	31%	8%	14%	3%
(4,5) CONVINCING	-	14%	40%	28%	41%	33%	34%	26%	36%	40%	23%	51%	27%	37%	33%
(1,2) NOT CONVINCING	-	31%	29%	31%	30%	34%	31%	40%	32%	22%	39%	11%	30%	40%	24%
Mean	-	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.3	2.7	3.8	2.8	2.8	3.1
Column Comparisons															
Weight: Weight: Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/H/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: V/L, BCG3-K/L, Informed about project: M/N															
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, - (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, - (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -															

Back to TOC
BCGV: RBGM has served as well for 50 years and is BC's second biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum for the 21st century. The new building will not only ensure the security of priceless artifacts, it will also be a world-class architectural icon, made with BC materials, that will attract even more people from around the world, helping to strengthen our economy. by BGV-2

		Regions of BC										BC provincial government on BGV3						Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware				
Weighted Total		100%	25%	31%	18%	22%	11%	7%	34	48%	53%	21%	79%	48%	21%				
Unweighted Total		100%	24%	29%	21%	23%	12%	7%	31	48%	51%	22%	77%	51%	22%				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
3-Not at all Convincing	-	17%	16%	16%	21%	16%	14%	22%	11%	9%	27%	5%	20%	23%	12%				
2	-	15%	12%	15%	16%	18%	20%	14%	-	25%	12%	18%	3%	18%	14%	12%			
3	-	35%	35%	37%	28%	34%	34%	30%	-	24%	30%	34%	20%	37%	20%	40%			
4	-	22%	18%	20%	21%	21%	20%	19%	29%	20%	19%	30%	20%	20%	20%	21%			
5-Very Convincing	-	11%	9%	12%	13%	11%	13%	7%	-	11%	18%	5%	32%	5%	12%	7%			
(4,5) CONVINING	-	14%	37%	32%	30%	31%	32%	25%	-	40%	29%	1%	60%	29%	3%	32%			
(1,2) NOT CONVINCING	-	32%	27%	31%	37%	35%	33%	30%	-	36%	21%	41%	8%	38%	41%	25%			
Mean	-	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.3	2.7	3.8	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0			
Column Comparisons																			
Weight: Weight: Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/H/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: V/L, BCG3-K/L, Informed about project: M/N																			
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, - (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, - (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																			

Back to TOC
BCGV: Summary of CONVINING - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all convincing and "5" means very convincing, how convincing are each of the following reasons to support replacing the Royal BC Museum? by BGV-2

		Regions of BC										BC provincial government on BGV3						Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware				
Weighted Total		100%	25%	31%	18%	22%	11%	7%	34	48%	53%	21%	79%	48%	21%				
Unweighted Total		100%	24%	29%	21%	23%	12%	7%	31	48%	51%	22%	77%	51%	22%				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
For years engineers have said the RBGM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening	-	41%	46%	37%	38%	40%	42%	32%	51%	53%	30%	75%	32%	40%	41%				
RBGM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk	-	42%	45%	44%	38%	40%	34%	40%	-	59%	54%	32%	68%	35%	39%	46%			
The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary	-	38%	38%	37%	40%	36%	36%	34%	-	30%	48%	29%	71%	29%	38%	38%			
RBGM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of indigenous and immigrant communities	-	34%	40%	28%	41%	32%	34%	20%	-	46%	45%	23%	61%	27%	32%	32%			
RBGM has served as well for 50 years and is BC's second biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum	-	34%	37%	32%	35%	31%	32%	25%	-	40%	29%	1%	60%	29%	3%	32%			
Weight: Weight: Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/H/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: V/L, BCG3-K/L, Informed about project: M/N																			
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, - (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, - (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																			

Back to TOC
BCGV: Summary of NOT CONVINCING - On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all convincing and "5" means very convincing, how convincing are each of the following reasons to support replacing the Royal BC Museum? by BGV-2

		Regions of BC										BC provincial government on BGV3						Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware				
Weighted Total		100%	25%	31%	18%	22%	11%	7%	34	48%	53%	21%	79%	48%	21%				
Unweighted Total		100%	24%	29%	21%	23%	12%	7%	31	48%	51%	22%	77%	51%	22%				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
For years engineers have said the RBGM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening	-	25%	18%	26%	28%	29%	26%	30%	-	37%	16%	33%	5%	30%	33%	20%			
RBGM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk	-	26%	20%	25%	32%	26%	29%	26%	-	20%	19%	31%	5%	31%	34%	18%			
The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary	-	29%	25%	27%	34%	30%	33%	38%	-	35%	19%	38%	6%	36%	32%	22%			
RBGM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of indigenous and immigrant communities	-	31%	29%	31%	30%	34%	31%	40%	-	32%	22%	39%	12%	36%	40%	24%			
RBGM has served as well for 50 years and is BC's second biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum	-	32%	27%	31%	37%	35%	33%	30%	-	36%	21%	41%	8%	38%	41%	25%			
Weight: Weight: Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/H/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: V/L, BCG3-K/L, Informed about project: M/N																			
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, - (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, - (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																			

Back to TOC
QBC: In which region of British Columbia do you live? by BGV-2

		Regions of BC										BC provincial government on BGV3						Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware				
Weighted Total		100%	25%	31%	18%	22%	11%	7%	34	48%	53%	21%	79%	48%	21%				
Unweighted Total		100%	24%	29%	21%	23%	12%	7%	31	48%	51%	22%	77%	51%	22%				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Greater Vancouver (Metro)	-	53%	96%	84%	1%	1%	2%	0%	-	0%	56%	51%	62%	51%	50%	50%			
Greater Victoria	-	8%	1%	0%	42%	0%	1%	0%	-	0%	7%	8%	8%	8%	10%	5%			
Other region in British Columbia	-	39%	1%	16%	57%	99%	98%	100%	-	100%	37%	40%	31%	41%	40%	45%			
Weight: Weight: Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/H/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: V/L, BCG3-K/L, Informed about project: M/N																			
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, - (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, - (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																			

Back to TOC
AREA: Which of the following best describes the area in which you live? by BGV-2

		Regions of BC										BC provincial government on BGV3						Informed about project	
Column %	Column Comparisons	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	Informed about project	Not aware/less aware				
Weighted Total		100%	25%	31%	18%	22%	11%	7%	34	48%	53%	21%	79%	48%	21%				
Unweighted Total		100%	24%	29%	21%	23%	12%	7%	31	48%	51%	22%	77%	51%	22%				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Urban area	-	44%	83%	36%	30%	21%	22%	19%	22%	40%	41%	47%	44%	41%	46%				
Suburban area	-	40%	37%	60%	42%	34%	44%	22%	-	31%	38%	41%	37%	40%	42%	39%			
Rural area	-	15%	0%	2%	23%	63%	31%	59%	-	45%	13%	16%	14%	13%	18%	12%			
I don't know / I prefer not to answer	-	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	-	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	3%			
Weight: Weight: Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/U/F/H/M, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: V/L, BCG3-K/L, Informed about project: M/N																			
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, - (p <= 0.05), A, B, C, - (p <= 0.01), No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -																			

Back to TOC
Note: Please indicate your sex by BGV-2

		Regions of BC										BC provincial government on BGV3						Informed about project	
--	--	---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------	--

	Regions of BC												BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Column %	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
Column Comparisons																				
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Male	48%	51%	46%	49%	48%	44%	51%	54%	51%	47%	58%	46%	54%	42%						
Female	52%	49%	54%	51%	52%	56%	49%	46%	49%	53%	42%	54%	46%	58%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

ENFA: Are there any children under 18 years old living in your household? by BGC-2

	Regions of BC												BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Column %	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
Column Comparisons																				
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Yes	19%	20%	24%	14%	16%	19%	11%	21%	17%	21%	21%	18%	16%	20%						
No	81%	79%	76%	86%	84%	81%	89%	79%	83%	79%	79%	81%	84%	79%						
I prefer not to answer	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

EMPLO: What is your current employment status? by BGC-2

	Regions of BC												BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Column %	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
Column Comparisons																				
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Working full time	39%	52%	42%	30%	29%	19%	35%	48%	38%	40%	42%	38%	36%	41%						
Working part time	11%	13%	13%	8%	9%	9%	6%	12%	11%	11%	9%	11%	9%	16%						
Self-employed / freelance work	7%	5%	7%	10%	5%	7%	5%	0%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%						
Student	7%	13%	5%	2%	4%	5%	6%	0%	6%	7%	11%	5%	4%	12%						
Homemaker	5%	2%	9%	4%	4%	7%	2%	0%	3%	7%	5%	5%	4%	5%						
Unemployed	4%	2%	5%	4%	6%	6%	6%	15%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	4%						
Retired	27%	12%	19%	42%	41%	47%	39%	26%	31%	23%	25%	27%	33%	15%						
I prefer not to answer	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%						
EMPLOYED	57%	70%	62%	48%	43%	36%	47%	59%	55%	58%	57%	57%	53%	64%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

REVEN: Among the following categories, which one best reflects the total INCOME, before taxes, of all the members of your household in 2017? by BGC-2

	Regions of BC												BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Column %	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
Column Comparisons																				
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
\$15,999 or less	6%	5%	5%	6%	10%	11%	8%	10%	6%	6%	4%	7%	4%	7%						
Between \$20,000 and \$39,999	15%	12%	14%	17%	20%	23%	17%	18%	16%	15%	21%	14%	18%	13%						
Between \$40,000 and \$59,999	15%	16%	15%	16%	14%	16%	11%	11%	16%	14%	17%	15%	12%	20%						
Between \$60,000 and \$79,999	13%	15%	10%	17%	10%	10%	11%	9%	15%	11%	13%	12%	13%	13%						
Between \$80,000 and \$99,999	14%	13%	15%	10%	19%	18%	21%	22%	14%	14%	10%	15%	14%	14%						
\$100,000 or more	27%	28%	33%	27%	18%	14%	21%	22%	26%	28%	27%	27%	30%	23%						
I prefer not to answer	9%	10%	8%	8%	9%	9%	11%	8%	7%	11%	7%	10%	8%	10%						
<\$40K	22%	18%	19%	23%	30%	34%	25%	28%	22%	21%	25%	21%	23%	20%						
\$40K-\$80K	28%	31%	25%	33%	24%	26%	23%	20%	30%	25%	30%	27%	25%	33%						
\$80K+	41%	41%	48%	37%	37%	31%	42%	44%	40%	42%	38%	42%	44%	37%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

PROP: Do you OWN or RENT your current main residence? by BGC-2

	Regions of BC												BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Column %	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
Column Comparisons																				
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Own	63%	60%	65%	61%	68%	73%	60%	67%	61%	66%	61%	64%	67%	58%						
Rent	35%	38%	33%	39%	31%	26%	38%	33%	38%	32%	37%	34%	32%	39%						
I prefer not to answer	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c, ... (p < 0.05), A, B, C, ... (p < 0.01). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

Back to TOC

SCOL: What is the last year of education that you have completed? by BGC-2

	Regions of BC												BC provincial government on				BCG3		Informed about project	
Column %	Total	Vancouver	Lower Mainland	Vancouver Island	Rest of BC (Net)	Interior BC	Kootenays	North BC	Right track	Wrong track	Option A	Option B	informed about project	Not aware/less aware						
Column Comparisons																				
Weighted Total	1002	252	313	187	223	112	78	34	469	533	212	790	486	256						
Unweighted Total	1002	244	290	214	231	126	74	31	486	516	225	777	525	228						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N						
Elementary (7 years or less)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%						
High school, general or vocational (8 to 12 years)	31%	23%	36%	34%	32%	35%	30%	23%	31%	32%	28%	32%	29%	37%						
College (pre-university, technical training, certificate, accreditation or advanced diploma (13-15 years))	38%	30%	33%	34%	50%	43%	54%	62%	38%	38%	35%	39%	40%	33%						
University certificates and diplomas	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	1%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%						

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N. Column comparison symbols: a, b, c... ($p < 0.05$), A, B, C... ($p < 0.01$). No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -.

Weight: Weight; Comparisons: Regions of BC: B/C/D/E/F/G/H, BC provincial government on the right track/wrong track: I/J, BCG3: K/L, Informed about project: M/N
Column comparison symbols: a, b, c... ($p < 0.05$), A, B, C... ($p < 0.01$); No test symbol: -; Not significant symbol: -

From: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX
To: Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX; s.22 - Smith, George PREM:EX; Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX
Subject: Re: Research from weekly omni
Date: June 7, 2022 1:59:01 PM

Will do.

Sage (she/her)
778-678-0832

From: Geoff Meggs <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 at 1:31 PM
To: Sage Aaron <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>, "Smith, George PREM:EX" <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, Eric Kristianson <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: Research from weekly omni

Sage, please arrange to share with MO and Don Bain

G

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:44:32 PM
To: Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>; Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>; Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: FW: Research from weekly omni

Please see below and attached. Will resend with the last q as soon as I have it.

Sage (she/her)
778-678-0832

From: Allan Dawe <adawe@leger360.com>
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 at 12:40 PM
To: Sage Aaron <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: "Finn, Heather GCPE:EX" <Heather.Finn@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Research from weekly omni

[EXTERNAL] This email came from an external source. Only open attachments or links that you are expecting from a known sender.

Extremely hot off the press. However, I took a quick look at the tables and they didn't include the last question, so I've asked them to add it in and re-send ASAP. I had a quick summary ready to go and have inserted the %s and pasted below.

1. On a scale of 1 to 5 where “1” means you are not aware of the project and “5” means you are very familiar with the project, how informed are you about the BC government's announcement to build a new Royal BC Museum?

- 84% of BC residents are aware of the RBCM project.
 - 48% say they are informed (score of 4 or 5)
 - 26% are in the middle (3)
 - 26% have low familiarity or are not aware (16%)

2. Which of these is closer to your views?

- 21%: 'With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the museum makes sense because it will mean preserving our province's history, supporting our tourism industry, and growing our economy.
- 79%: 'The \$1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and hospitals, more affordable housing, and reducing costs for British Columbians.

*Results consistent across province and among age groups.

3. Are you aware that the Royal BC Museum (RBCM) buildings are seismically unsafe and that they contain hazardous materials such as lead paint, arsenic, and asbestos?

- 49% Yes across BC. 69% in Greater Victoria
- Awareness significantly higher among those who are older.

4. On a scale of 1 to 5 where “1” means not at all important and “5” means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our province's history, while supporting our tourism industry?

- 25% Important personally (4 or 5)
- 43% to province of BC. Highest in Greater Victoria (61%)

5. If the financial cost to tear down and rebuild the museum is similar but less than the cost of renovating the museum and keeping the exhibits open, which option would you prefer?

- Rebuild: 40%
- Renovate: 31%
- Don't know: 29%

6. Level of Convincing.

	4/5 Convincing	1 or 2 Not Convincing
For years engineers have said the RBCM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening some of BC's most treasured artifacts. A new museum will ensure those items are properly protected for future generations to safely enjoy and learn	41%	25%

from.		
RBCM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk to both visitors and staff if disturbed. For example, the presence of these materials would make damage from a seismic event even more dangerous.	42%	25%
The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary exhibits will allow British Columbians around the province to experience some of the museum's treasures in their local communities.	38%	29%
RBCM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of Indigenous and immigrant communities. There are also parts of RBCM that are inaccessible, meaning people living with disabilities cannot access the full experience of the museum. Replacing it will not only address pressing safety issues but will also provide an opportunity to reimagine the museum in a way that tells BC's history in a more inclusive way, in a more accessible facility.	34%	31%
RBCM has served us well for 50 years and is BC's second-biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum for the 21st century. The new building will not only ensure the security of priceless artifacts, it will also be a world-class architectural icon, made with BC materials, that will attract even more people from around the world, helping to strengthen our economy.	34%	32%

Let me know of any q's,
Allan

Allan Dawe
Vice-President

O. 604-424-1017
C. 604-787-0143
E. adawe@leger360.com

FW: Research from weekly omni

From: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
To: Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>, Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, Bain, Don PREM:EX <Don.Bain@gov.bc.ca>, Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>,s.22 Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 7, 2022 4:02:49 PM PDT
Attachments: 66671-2223 BC Gov RBCM Omni_07Jun2022 v2.xlsx

Testing support again after presenting the arguments moved support from 21% --> 29%.

Sage (she/her)
778-678-0832

On 2022-06-07, 3:55 PM, "Allan Dawe" <adawe@leger360.com> wrote:

[EXTERNAL] This email came from an external source. Only open attachments or links that you are expecting from a known sender.

Hi Sage,

Attached are the tables with the last question attached. There's a bit more support toward the RBCM replacement after informing participants in the survey (21% --> 29%), but is still weighted heavily towards the sentiment that the money should be spent on other priorities.

Given what you now know about the Royal BC Museum project, which of these is closer to your views?

- 29%: 'With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the museum makes sense because it will mean preserving our province's history, supporting our tourism industry, and growing our economy.'

- 71%: 'The \$1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and hospitals, more affordable housing, and reducing costs for British Columbians.'

*Results consistent across province and among age groups.

Let me know of any questions.
Allan

Allan Dawe
Vice-President

O. 604-424-1017
C. 604-787-0143
E. adawe@leger360.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 7, 2022 12:42 PM
To: Allan Dawe <adawe@leger360.com>
Cc: Finn, Heather GCPE:EX <Heather.Finn@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: Re: Research from weekly omni

Thank you very much, Allan. Very, very much appreciated. I'll keep my eyes out for that last question.

Sage (she/her)
778-678-0832

From: Allan Dawe <adawe@leger360.com>
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 at 12:40 PM
To: Sage Aaron <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: "Finn, Heather GCPE:EX" <Heather.Finn@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Research from weekly omni

[EXTERNAL] This email came from an external source. Only open attachments or links that you are expecting from a known sender.

Extremely hot off the press. However, I took a quick look at the tables and they didn't include the last question, so I've asked them to add it in and re-send ASAP. I had a quick summary ready to go and have inserted the %s and pasted below.

1. On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means you are not aware of the project and "5" means you are very familiar with the project, how informed are you about the BC government's announcement to build a new Royal BC Museum?

- 84% of BC residents are aware of the RBCM project.

- 48% say they are informed (score of 4 or 5)

- 26% are in the middle (3)

- 26% have low familiarity or are not aware (16%)

2. Which of these is closer to your views?

- 21%: 'With the 50-year-old Royal BC Museum at the end of its life and at risk of collapse in an earthquake, replacing the museum makes sense because it will mean preserving our province's history, supporting our tourism industry, and growing our economy.'

- 79%: 'The \$1 billion cost of replacing the Royal BC Museum should be spent on other priorities like new schools and hospitals, more affordable housing, and reducing costs for British Columbians.'

*Results consistent across province and among age groups.

3. Are you aware that the Royal BC Museum (RBCM) buildings are seismically unsafe and that they contain hazardous materials such as lead paint, arsenic, and asbestos?

- 49% Yes across BC. 69% in Greater Victoria

- Awareness significantly higher among those who are older.

4. On a scale of 1 to 5 where "1" means not at all important and "5" means extremely important, how important is it to yourself personally and the province of BC to have an accessible museum to educate BC families and visitors on our

province's history, while supporting our tourism industry?

- 25% Important personally (4 or 5)

- 43% to province of BC. Highest in Greater Victoria (61%)

5. If the financial cost to tear down and rebuild the museum is similar but less than the cost of renovating the museum and keeping the exhibits open, which option would you prefer?

- Rebuild: 40%

- Renovate: 31%

- Don't know: 29%

6. Level of Convincing.

4/5 Convincing

1 or 2

Not Convincing

For years engineers have said the RBCM is structurally unsound and could collapse in an earthquake, threatening some of BC's most treasured artifacts. A new museum will ensure those items are properly protected for future generations to safely enjoy and learn from.

41%

25%

RBCM does not meet current building standards and contains hazardous materials, like asbestos, that pose a risk to both visitors and staff if disturbed. For example, the presence of these materials would make damage from a seismic event even more dangerous.

42%

25%

The cost of replacing the museum is less than the cost of doing necessary renovations. While it is closed, temporary exhibits will allow British Columbians around the province to experience some of the museum's treasures in their local communities.

38%

29%

RBCM is a great asset, but it does not adequately represent the experiences of Indigenous and immigrant communities. There are also parts of RBCM that are inaccessible, meaning people living with disabilities cannot access the full experience of the museum. Replacing it will not only address pressing safety issues but will also provide an opportunity to reimagine the museum in a way that tells BC's history in a more inclusive way, in a more accessible facility.

34%

31%

RBCM has served us well for 50 years and is BC's second-biggest tourist attraction, but it is time for a new museum for the 21st century. The new building will not only ensure the security of priceless artifacts, it will also be a world-class architectural icon, made with BC materials, that will attract even more people from around the world, helping to strengthen our economy.

34%

32%

Let me know of any q's,

Allan

Allan Dawe

Vice-President

O. 604-424-1017

C. 604-787-0143

E. adawe@leger360.com

FW: Draft Options

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 15, 2022 11:18:05 AM PDT
Attachments: s.12; s.13

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

From: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 15, 2022 9:23 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: Draft Options

Hi Eric,

We have pulled together the attached document. Looking for your feedback on this. I realize we are essentially driving to one option right now and so this document may need to change and s.13

Can we find a couple of minutes today to talk before the meeting this afternoon with MMM s.13
s.13

Neilane Mayhew
Deputy Minister
Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport
778-698-0450

Page 028 of 117 to/à Page 030 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.12 ; s.13 ; s.17

RE: Draft Options

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 15, 2022 11:46:56 AM PDT
s.12; s.13

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

From: Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 15, 2022 11:37 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: Draft Options

My message tomorrow:

- we are going to delay the museum project
- We need to take a step back and engage with British Columbians to better understand what they want for their museum
- We need to surface the discussions that occurred between the RBCM Board and the Province so that citizens can have their say
- This engagement needs to be broad and deep
- We need to develop and market a multi-year strategy/itinerary for pop-up exhibits around the province
- And in light of the delay, we need to develop a plan to reopen the 3rd floor in the interim.

Anything else?

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 11:18:05 AM
To: Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: FW: Draft Options

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

From: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 15, 2022 9:23 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: Draft Options

Hi Eric,

We have pulled together the attached document. Looking for your feedback on this. I realize we are essentially driving to one option right now and so this document may need to change and s.13

Can we find a couple of minutes today to talk before the meeting this afternoon with MMMs.13
s.13

Neilane Mayhew
Deputy Minister
Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport
778-698-0450

RBCM

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>, Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>, Harris, Megan GCPE:EX <Megan.Harris@gov.bc.ca>, Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>, Smith, Jimmy GCPE:EX <Jimmy.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 17, 2022 2:57:20 PM PDT
Attachments: s.12; s.13
Group,s.12 The date is not relevant, but is included for scoping purposes.

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

Re: One Pagers on Site and Indicative Design

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 18, 2022 10:05:32 AM PDT

Thanks Neilane, this is very helpful.

From: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2022 8:03:56 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: One Pagers on Site and Indicative Design

Hi Eric,

We have prepared 2 one pagers on the topics of site selection and indicative design. They are attached. Let me know if these work for the purpose.

s.12

I have asked Nick and

Claire to try to get this to you today so that you can fine tune tomorrow. I will be in the air between 12 and 6 pm today so it will be coming to you directly from Nick and I will not have reviewed. Happy to make myself available tomorrow though if you have any questions.

Neilane Mayhew
Deputy Minister
Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport
778-698-0450

Fwd: One Pagers on Site and Indicative Design

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Horgan, John <J.Horgan@leg.bc.ca>, Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 18, 2022 10:08:19 AM PDT
Attachments: site analysis background .docx, Indicative Design.docx

Premier, further to our discussion on Thursday, attached are 2 one-pagers addressing the indicative design process used in the RBCM business process and the alternative site search conducted.

From: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2022 8:03 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: One Pagers on Site and Indicative Design

Hi Eric,

We have prepared 2 one pagers on the topics of site selection and indicative design. They are attached. Let me know if these work for the purpose.

s.12

I have asked Nick and

Claire to try to get this to you today so that you can fine tune tomorrow. I will be in the air between 12 and 6 pm today so it will be coming to you directly from Nick and I will not have reviewed. Happy to make myself available tomorrow though if you have any questions.

Neilane Mayhew
Deputy Minister
Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport
778-698-0450

RBCM Modernization Site Analysis

- With the approval of the concept plan TACS was directed by Treasury Board to submit service delivery options prior to development of the business case.
- 4 service delivery options were considered – 2 consolidated site options and 2 separate site options

Option 1	Consolidated museum and research and collections facility on a new site.
Option 2	Museum on a new site and a research and collections facility on a separate new site.
Option 3	Consolidated museum and research and collections facility on the existing site.
Option 4	Museum on the existing site and a research and collections facility on a separate site.

- A scan was conducted supported by MIRR, Citz and Devoncore Real Estate Advisor.
- Results were analyzed in a two-step process.

Step 1: Evaluate sites against minimum mandatory requirements of:

Site Considerations	Assessment Criteria
Location	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Museum site must be located in downtown Victoria • Research and Collections Facility site must be within 32 km from the existing employment location (collective bargaining agreement stipulation).
Buildable Area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consolidated Museum site must accommodate minimum buildable floor space of 42,000 m2* • Museum only site must accommodate minimum buildable floor space of 25,000 m2* • Research and Collections Facility site must accommodate a minimum floor space of 22,000 m2*
Logistics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to accommodate shipping/receiving from 53' trailers • Ability to accommodate a minimum of two buses in drop off/loading zone

*Note that floor space requirements were point in time and no longer reflect current program size. (Approved gross program area for Museum is 21,300 sq metres and CRB is 15,500 sq metres)

- 34 sites were identified at that time^{s.17}
- Identified sites were then analyzed against the minimum criteria resulting in a short list of 20 sites which were further reduced to 5 in step 2 by analyzing the sites against key considerations of net cost, assembly complexity, accessibility, transit, geotechnical, etc.
- A comparison of shortlisted sites to the four service delivery options resulted in no viable site options for either a consolidated museum and research and collections facility or for

establishing the museum on a new site downtown. Note this was based on the size of the programs at the time of analysis.

- Options 3 (consolidated on existing site) and 4 (museum on existing and research and collections building on a new site) were then analyzed using a multi-criteria analysis against the project objectives and criteria resulting in a 2 site delivery option being the recommended approach.
- The 2019 report back received approval for the two-site delivery model with the museum on the downtown site and the collections and research building being built on a new site.
- The 2020 business case submission resulted in approval to purchase the Royal Bay site in Colwood for the new collections and research building and further work required for the downtown site which was subsequently approved in the 2021 business case.

s.17

s.17

RBCM Indicative Design Background

- Through the development of the museum business case there have been several indicative design iterations reflecting the evolution of the program and vision.
- The indicative design, sometime referred to as concept massing, is developed to assist in costing and to ensure that the functional program and required adjacencies are achievable on the site.
- Releasing the indicative design publicly includes the following potential risks or implications:
 - Public Misperception - gives the public the perception that the design is finalized which isn't accurate and potentially adding to project communications and issues management challenges.
 - Procurement - influences teams competing in procurement **s.17**
 - Innovation - does not support the objective of innovation and bringing new and fresh ideas to the table by proponent teams.
 - Relationships - may impact First Nation relationships as current indicative design does not honor local nation culture or design influence.
- The most recent of three indicative designs produced for the downtown site is reflected below.
- The final design will ultimately be brought forward by proponent teams as part to the procurement process and design competition.

s.17

s.17

Re: RBCM

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>, Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>, Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>, Olson, Michael GCPE:EX <Michael.Olson@gov.bc.ca>, Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, Smith, Jimmy GCPE:EX <Jimmy.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, Harris, Megan GCPE:EX <Megan.Harris@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 18, 2022 10:12:58 AM PDT

s.12; s.13

From: Smith, Jimmy GCPE:EX <Jimmy.Smith@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2022 5:27:15 PM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>; Harris, Megan GCPE:EX <Megan.Harris@gov.bc.ca>; Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>; Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>; Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>; Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>; Olson, Michael GCPE:EX <Michael.Olson@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: RBCM

Looking at the options in the concept plan, updated and released at the business case reveal (originally produced in 2018), as these will be the options the media refers back to:

Option 1 Status quo is estimated at \$89M (orig \$58M)

Option 5 Repair is estimated at \$1,137M (orig \$738M)

s.12; s.13

Cheers

James Smith (He/Him)
Director of Issues Management
250.882.2892

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 17, 2022 3:36 PM
To: Harris, Megan GCPE:EX <Megan.Harris@gov.bc.ca>; Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>; Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>; Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>; Smith, Jimmy GCPE:EX <Jimmy.Smith@gov.bc.ca>; Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: RBCM

We don't have it

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

From: Harris, Megan GCPE:EX <Megan.Harris@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 17, 2022 3:14 PM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>; Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>; Smith, George PREM:EX <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>; Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>; Smith, Jimmy GCPE:EX <Jimmy.Smith@gov.bc.ca>; Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: RBCM

Thanks Eric, this is really good. I think the one thing we need to find out is the capital cost needed to maintain the downtown location over the next 3 years- I don't see it there.

Megan

From: "Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX" <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>

Date: Friday, June 17, 2022 at 2:57 PM

To: "Machell, Aileen PREM:EX" <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>, "Smith, George PREM:EX" <George.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, "Howlett, Tim GCPE:EX" <Tim.Howlett@gov.bc.ca>, "Smith, Jimmy GCPE:EX" <Jimmy.Smith@gov.bc.ca>, "Harris, Megan GCPE:EX" <Megan.Harris@gov.bc.ca>, "Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX" <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: RBCM

Group, s. 12

The date is not relevant, but is included for scoping purposes.

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

Status quo

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Avison, Claire TACS:EX <Claire.Avison@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 18, 2022 10:17:53 AM PDT
Claire,

s.12; s.13

My cel is 778-584-1248

Thanks

Eric

Re: One-pagers - RBCM

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Avison, Claire TACS:EX <Claire.Avison@gov.bc.ca>, Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 18, 2022 4:20:41 PM PDT
Thanks Nick.

From: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2022 12:50:11 PM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Avison, Claire TACS:EX <Claire.Avison@gov.bc.ca>; Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: One-pagers - RBCM

Hi Eric,

s.12

I've also attached the other two documents so you have them in one place, plus there were a couple updates in the Indicative Design note so please use this one. Thanks.

Nick
c. 250 216 7046

From: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2022 8:03:56 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: One Pagers on Site and Indicative Design

Hi Eric,

We have prepared 2 one pagers on the topics of site selection and indicative design. They are attached. Let me know if these work for the purpose.

s.12

I have asked Nick and

Claire to try to get this to you today so that you can fine tune tomorrow. I will be in the air between 12 and 6 pm today so it will be coming to you directly from Nick and I will not have reviewed. Happy to make myself available tomorrow though if you have any questions.

Neilane Mayhew
Deputy Minister
Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport
778-698-0450

Fwd: One-pagers - RBCM

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
To: Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 18, 2022 4:21:54 PM PDT
Attachments: site analysis background .docx, Indicative Design june 18.docx, s.13
s.13

The 3rd document is the draft speaking notes for cabinet

From: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2022 12:50:11 PM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Avison, Claire TACS:EX <Claire.Avison@gov.bc.ca>; Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: One-pagers - RBCM

Hi Eric,

s.12

I've also attached the other two documents so you have them in one place, plus there were a couple updates in the Indicative Design note so please use this one. Thanks.

Nick
c. 250 216 7046

From: Mayhew, Neilane TACS:EX <Neilane.Mayhew@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2022 8:03:56 AM
To: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Grant, Nick TACS:EX <Nick.Grant@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: One Pagers on Site and Indicative Design

Hi Eric,

We have prepared 2 one pagers on the topics of site selection and indicative design. They are attached. Let me know if these work for the purpose.

s.12

I have asked Nick and Claire to try to get this to you today so that you can fine tune tomorrow. I will be in the air between 12 and 6 pm today so it will be coming to you directly from Nick and I will not have reviewed. Happy to make myself available tomorrow though if you have any questions.

Neilane Mayhew
Deputy Minister
Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport
778-698-0450

RBCM Indicative Design Background

- Through the development of the museum business case there have been several indicative design iterations reflecting the evolution of the program and vision.
- The indicative design, sometime referred to as concept massing, is developed to assist in costing and to ensure that the functional program and required adjacencies are achievable on the site.
- Releasing the indicative design publicly includes the following potential risks or implications:
 - Public Misperception - gives the public the perception that the design is finalized which isn't accurate and potentially adding to project communications and issues management challenges.
 - Procurement - influences teams competing in procurement s.17
 - Innovation - does not support the objective of innovation and bringing new and fresh ideas to the table by proponent teams.
 - Relationships - may impact First Nation relationships as current indicative design does not honor local nation culture.
- The most recent of three indicative designs produced for the downtown site is reflected below.
- The final design will ultimately be brought forward by proponent teams as part to the procurement process.

s.17

s.17

Page 047 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13

PJH SNs for museum pause

From: Hawthorn, Tom GCPE:EX <Tom.Hawthorn@gov.bc.ca>
To: Byers, Lindsay PREM:EX <Lindsay.Byers@gov.bc.ca>, Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>, McKinnon, Michael PREM:EX <Michael.McKinnon@gov.bc.ca>, Hagglund, Jarrett PREM:EX <Jarrett.Hagglund@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>, Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>, Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>, Bain, Don PREM:EX <Don.Bain@gov.bc.ca>, Zadravec, Don GCPE:EX <Don.Zadravec@gov.bc.ca>, Dinicol, Sam GCPE:EX <Sam.Dinicol@gov.bc.ca>, Scarth, Todd GCPE:EX <Todd.Scarth@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 20, 2022 9:18:09 AM PDT
Attachments: s.13
Hi Lindsay et al:

Here is a draft of SNs for PJH. These have been seen by issues. I understand a NR and KM-QA are in process with issues.

Sending this along with a wider distribution given the subject matter.

Cheers
Tom

Tom Hawthorn *[he/him]*
Senior writer, Writing and Content Strategy
Government Communications and Public Engagement
250.886.5843

Page 049 of 117 to/à Page 053 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13

RE: PJH SNs for museum pause

From: Byers, Lindsay PREM:EX <Lindsay.Byers@gov.bc.ca>
To: Hawthorn, Tom GCPE:EX <Tom.Hawthorn@gov.bc.ca>, Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>, McKinnon, Michael PREM:EX <Michael.McKinnon@gov.bc.ca>, Hagglund, Jarrett PREM:EX <Jarrett.Hagglund@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>, Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>, Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>, Bain, Don PREM:EX <Don.Bain@gov.bc.ca>, Zadravec, Don GCPE:EX <Don.Zadravec@gov.bc.ca>, Dinicol, Sam GCPE:EX <Sam.Dinicol@gov.bc.ca>, Scarth, Todd GCPE:EX <Todd.Scarth@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 20, 2022 9:40:52 AM PDT
Attachments: s.13
Suggested changes in the attached.

Lindsay Byers
Press Secretary
Office of the Premier | Government of BC
P: 778.678.5539

From: Hawthorn, Tom GCPE:EX <Tom.Hawthorn@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 20, 2022 9:18 AM
To: Byers, Lindsay PREM:EX <Lindsay.Byers@gov.bc.ca>; Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>; McKinnon, Michael PREM:EX <Michael.McKinnon@gov.bc.ca>; Hagglund, Jarrett PREM:EX <Jarrett.Hagglund@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Aaron, Sage GCPE:EX <Sage.Aaron@gov.bc.ca>; Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>; Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>; Bain, Don PREM:EX <Don.Bain@gov.bc.ca>; Zadravec, Don GCPE:EX <Don.Zadravec@gov.bc.ca>; Dinicol, Sam GCPE:EX <Sam.Dinicol@gov.bc.ca>; Scarth, Todd GCPE:EX <Todd.Scarth@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: PJH SNs for museum pause

Hi Lindsay et al:

Here is a draft of SNs for PJH. These have been seen by issues. I understand a NR and KM-QA are in process with issues.

Sending this along with a wider distribution given the subject matter.

Cheers
Tom

Tom Hawthorn *[he/him]*
Senior writer, Writing and Content Strategy
Government Communications and Public Engagement
250.886.5843

Page 055 of 117 to/à Page 060 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13

Fwd: RBCM option

From: Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>
To: Hockin, Amber PREM:EX <Amber.Hockin@gov.bc.ca>, Bain, Don PREM:EX <Don.Bain@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 20, 2022 3:46:59 PM PDT
Attachments: s.13
As discussed

[Get Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX <Eric.Kristianson@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2022 4:40:02 PM
To: Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>
Cc: Wanamaker, Lori PREM:EX <Lori.Wanamaker@gov.bc.ca>
Subject: RBCM option

Eric Kristianson
ADM, Office of the Premier
Deputy Minister's Office
778-698-8511 (office)
778-584-1248 (cel)

Page 062 of 117 to/à Page 063 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.12 ; s.13 ; s.17

Draft materials

From: Machell, Aileen PREM:EX <Aileen.Machell@gov.bc.ca>
To: Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX <Geoff.Meggs@gov.bc.ca>
Sent: June 20, 2022 3:49:52 PM PDT
Attachments: s.13

I'll print them for you as well.

Thanks.

Aileen Machell

Deputy Communications Director, Office of the Premier

Pronouns: she/her

Page 065 of 117 to/à Page 085 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13

From: [Meggs, Geoff PREM:EX](#)
To: [Machell, Aileen PREM:EX](#)
Cc: [Bain, Don PREM:EX](#); [Hockin, Amber PREM:EX](#); [Kristianson, Eric PREM:EX](#)
Subject: Proposed revisions to comms material
Date: June 20, 2022 9:28:10 PM
Attachments: s.13

Aileen, this is good work. I have made a number of suggestions. s.13
s.13

Thanks for your efforts

Geoff

Page 087 of 117 to/à Page 114 of 117

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.13

PLEASE SHARE - Premier posts on museum update KMs and Q+A included

From: May, Ed <Ed.May@leg.bc.ca>, May, Ed LASS:EX <Ed.May@leg.bc.ca>
Sent: June 22, 2022 12:54:25 PM PDT
Attachments: image002.png, image003.png, image004.png, image001.png

[EXTERNAL] This email came from an external source. Only open attachments or links that you are expecting from a known sender.

Hello all,

The Premier made the following posts on Twitter and Facebook regarding the museum update.

*** Please share on social media channels as soon as possible ***

Key messages and Q+A are at bottom.

Twitter: <https://twitter.com/jjhorgan/status/1539696780954046464>

Facebook:

<https://www.facebook.com/johnhorganbc/posts/pfbid02PhpfSjbX1NCWLn1yCEDbdSGEtTtEjesAwspPGwGQzJFZGrdHmKDBM3B>

Royal BC Museum – Stopping and Engaging with Public June 22, 2022

Key Messages

- We are stopping the plan to build a new Royal BC Museum.
- British Columbians made their views clear that we got it wrong.
- We heard, we listened, and we're responding.
- The museum will remain open, while we begin a new, robust public engagement process with all options on the table.
- This museum belongs to the people of this province and decisions about its future should reflect their priorities.
- This public engagement will determine how we address the longstanding structural issues of the museum's buildings.
- We remain committed to protecting our collective history and are proceeding with a new collections facility to house BC's historic and priceless artifacts.

QA

Why did you publicly support this project?

- The problems facing the RBCM have been evident for a long time, including the need to repatriate Indigenous objects, obsolete building systems that put collections at risk, and more.
- We listened to those warnings, which were ignored for too long.
- British Columbians have made themselves clear that it isn't what they want to see at this time.
- We're listening now too. We intend to stop the plan to rebuild and engage with people on the future of the museum.

Will the \$789 million price tag for RBCM now be used for other capital priorities, like building new schools and seismically upgrading them?

- This has never been an either-or situation.
- I am very proud of our historic capital plan to build and upgrade schools across the province.
- We're spending \$1.3 billion to build and expand schools over the next three years, and nearly \$800 million to seismically upgrade even more.
- This is double what the old government spent for school capital.
- Ultimately, the museum does need serious modernization but at this time, we do not know what recommendations will come out of the public engagement or what the final costs will be.

Will you bring back Old Town? Will the third floor be reopened?

- Large sections of the third floor have already been carefully disassembled.
- Given the recent work on the third floor there are increased concerns around asbestos abatement.
- We have encouraged the museum to think about how to best use that space until future plans for the museum are settled.
- The Old Town exhibition has been digitized and will be available online.

Will the IMAX remain open?

- Yes. We have asked the museum to suspend plans to close the museum, including the IMAX theatre.

Isn't the delay just going to increase costs of whatever option is chosen?

- People have been clear that they didn't like the proposal we put forward.
- It wasn't right for the moment we are in.

s.13

Are you just putting this off until after the next election, and then doing it anyways?

- Not at all - we have heard from British Columbians that they want a say in the future of their museum.
- That's why we are stopping the project and conducting a robust engagement.
- This is the people's museum, and its future should reflect their priorities.
- We're not putting a time constraint on the process. We will take the time that is needed to achieve a solution that we can all support.

What options will be considered in the public engagement and what will it involve?

- Everything is on the table.
- We have been clear with the public about the information we received on the current condition of the buildings and the challenges that need to be dealt with.
- The key is that any solution must protect and preserve our collective heritage and make that heritage accessible to British Columbians and to visitors.
- We've asked RBCM to put together a very broad and inclusive public engagement plan so that people can make themselves heard on the future of the museum.





s.13

- I expect the museum will also find ways to hear from visitors, as the galleries remain open, about what they want to see in their future visits.
- There will also be ongoing engagement and consultation with First Nations.

How will you keep the museum open and safe for visitors?

- There will be some necessary maintenance and remediation needed.
- We have asked the museum to review and prioritize maintenance and safety work needed to keep the current exhibits open to visitors.
- There will be costs involved, but this is what people have said they want to see right now.
- In the long run, more needs to be done to modernize the museum. But we will engage people on what that looks like.

s.13

	<p>Edward May he/him Director of Communications and Research New Democrat BC Government Caucus C: 250-208-2554 Edward.May@leg.bc.ca</p> <p>  </p> <p><i>Our office is located on the traditional territory the ləkʷəŋən People, known today as the Songhees and Esquimalt Nations.</i></p>
---	--