



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

<b>Agency:</b>	Abbotsford Police Department
<b>Agency Contact Information:</b>	Chief Cst. Bob Rich Abbotsford Police Department 2838 Justice Way, Abbotsford BC V2T 3P5

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	28
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	50
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	NO
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	YES
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	YES
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	YES
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	YES
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	YES
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	YES

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	7
---	---	---

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		3
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	1
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	1
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	1

(Page 1 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY					
CEW USE					
11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?		2	
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?		2	
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29	1	
			30 to 39		
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69	1	
		70+	1		
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?			1	
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?			1	
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?			2	
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:	1	
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:	1	
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
			Other type of weapon:		
16	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?	0	
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?			0	
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?			1	
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?			3	
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?			0	
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?			0	

The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY			
<p><i>For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.</i></p>			
<b>INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS</b>			
20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	1
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	0
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	NO
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
<b>INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS</b>			
21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?		0
<p><i>The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.</i></p>			
<b>REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING</b>			
22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		NO
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	
23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	
<b>TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES</b>			
24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

**CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE**

The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.**

**Threshold and circumstances of use**

<b>BCPPS</b>	<b>Y/N</b>
s.1.3.1(1) Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	YES
s.1.3.1(2a) De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	YES
s.1.3.1(2b) Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	YES
s.1.3.1(3) No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	YES
s.1.3.1(4a) Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	YES
s.1.3.1(4b) Not discharged near flammable material.	YES
s.1.3.1(4c) Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	YES
s.1.3.1(4d) Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	YES
s.1.3.1(4e) Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	YES
s.1.3.1(4f) Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	YES
s.1.3.1(4g) Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	YES

**Additional requirement**

s.1.3.4(5) If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
---	--

**Post discharge actions**

s.1.3.3(6a) Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	YES
s.1.3.3(6) CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	YES
s.1.3.3(5) Download report linked to use-of-force report.	YES
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d) If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	

(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Central Saanich Police Service

**Agency Contact Information:**

Katie Charlton, 250-652-4441, katie.charlton@csaanich.ca

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	4
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	25
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	No
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	Yes
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	Yes
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	Yes
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	0
---	---	---

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		0
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY****CEW USE**

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?			
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?			
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39		
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?				
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?				
15	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon		# of subjects
			Edged weapon:		
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
			Other type of weapon:		
16	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles		# of Incidents
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?		
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?				
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?				
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?				

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	
----	---	--

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
----	---	--	--

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

**CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE**

*The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.***

**Threshold and circumstances of use**

BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	
<b>Additional requirement</b>		
s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
<b>Post discharge actions</b>		
s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	





## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Delta Police Department

**Agency Contact Information:**

604-946-4411

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	95
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	158
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	Both
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	Yes
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	Yes
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	Yes
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	10
---	---	----

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		1
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	1
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	1		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	0		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39	1	
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?		0		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?		0		
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?		1		
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:	1	
			Impact weapon:	0	
			Firearm:	0	
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:	0	
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:	0	
			Other type of weapon:	0	
16	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	In how many cases were multiple cycles used?	1	
			# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	1	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?	0	
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?		0		
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?		0		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?		1		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?		0		

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	1
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	0
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	0
----	---	---

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		No
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	
23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
----	---	--	--

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE		
<p><i>The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of <u>each</u> CEW discharge with the BCPPS. <b>Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above .</b></i></p>		
Threshold and circumstances of use		
BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	Yes
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	Yes
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	Yes
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	Yes
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	Yes
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	Yes
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	Yes
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	Yes
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	No
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	Yes
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	Yes
Additional requirement		
s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	NA
Post discharge actions		
s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	Yes
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	Yes
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	Yes
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	NA

**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Nelson Police Department

**Agency Contact Information:**

606 Stanley St, Nelson, BC

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	7
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	9/3 IRSU
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	No
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	Yes
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	No
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	No
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes/no
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	0
---	---	---

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		0
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	0		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	0		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39		
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?		0		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?		0		
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?		0		
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:		
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
			Other type of weapon:		
16	In how many cases were multiple cycles used?				
	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?	0	
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?		0		
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?		0		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?		0		

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	0
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	0
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	0

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	0
----	---	---

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		No
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
----	---	--	--



No

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

#### CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE

The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.**

#### Threshold and circumstances of use

BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	

#### Additional requirement

s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
------------	--	--

#### Post discharge actions

s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	

**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

New Westminster Police Department

**Agency Contact Information:**

Sergeant Scott MAGLIO #203

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	39
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	116
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	No
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	Yes
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	Yes
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	No
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	24
---	---	----

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		3
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	2
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	1

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	1		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	2		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39	1	
			40 to 49	2	
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?		1		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?				
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?				
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:		
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
			Other type of weapon:		
16	In how many cases were multiple cycles used?		2		
	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	2	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?		
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?		0		
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?		0		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?		3		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?				

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	<b>1</b>
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	<b>0</b>
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	<b>No</b>
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	<b>0</b>
----	---	----------

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		No
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
----	---	--	--

One of the occurrences was against a pitbull dog and not a subject.

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

#### CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE

The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.**

#### Threshold and circumstances of use

BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	

#### Additional requirement

s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
------------	--	--

#### Post discharge actions

s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	

**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Oak Bay

**Agency Contact Information:**

250-592-2424

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	4
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	20
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	No
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	N/A
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	Yes
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	Yes
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	0
---	---	---

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		0
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0



## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	N/A		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	N/A		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39		
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?				
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?				
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?				
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:		
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
			Other type of weapon:		
16	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?		
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?				
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?				
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?				

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	
----	---	--

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
----	---	--	--

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE		
<p><i>The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of <u>each</u> CEW discharge with the BCPPS. <b>Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above .</b></i></p>		
Threshold and circumstances of use		
BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	
Additional requirement		
s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
Post discharge actions		
s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	

**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

**PORT MOODY POLICE DEPARTMENT**

**Agency Contact Information:**

Sgt Jennifer McCarron jmccarron@portmoodypolice.com 604-937-1310

### **PLEASE NOTE:**

**This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.**

### **AGENCY PROCESSES**

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency: (all owned included but all models not in use)	10
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	28
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	NO
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	YES
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	YES
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	YES
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	YES
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	YES
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	YES

### **CEW DISPLAY**

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	7
---	---	---

### **CEW DISCHARGE**

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		0
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?			
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?			
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39		
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?				
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?				
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?				
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:		
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
			Other type of weapon:		
16	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?		
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?		
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?				
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?				
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?				
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?				

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	
----	---	--

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:	
----	---	--

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE		
<p><i>The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of <u>each</u> CEW discharge with the BCPPS. <b>Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above .</b></i></p>		
Threshold and circumstances of use		
BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	
Additional requirement		
s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
Post discharge actions		
s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	

CEW DISPLAYS

2017-61/2017-546

2017-746/2017-2877

2017-5357/2017-5464

2017-7689



**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Saanich Police Department

**Agency Contact Information:**

Sgt Tracey Walt

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	26
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	88
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	No
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	Yes
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	Yes
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	Yes
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	5
---	---	---

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		1
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	1
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	1		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	0		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18	0	
			18 to 29	1	
			30 to 39	0	
			40 to 49	0	
			50 to 59	0	
			60 to 69	0	
		70+	0		
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?		1		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?		1		
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?		0		
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:	0	
			Impact weapon:	0	
			Firearm:	0	
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:	0	
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:	0	
			Other type of weapon:	0	
16	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	In how many cases were multiple cycles used?	0	
			# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?	0	
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?	0	
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?		0		
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?		1		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?		2		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?		0		

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	1
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	1
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	0

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	0
----	---	---

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		No
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	0
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
	No training issues were identified from the 2017 SBOR data. However, it has been brought to my attention there is no where in the Greater Victoria area to conduct the annual test on the new X26P CEWs, which the Saanich Police Department is transitioning to (X26 are no longer made). Currently, our equipment manager		

	attends BC Sheriff HQ to use their Verus One to test all X26 CEWs.
--	--

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

#### CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE

*The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.***

#### Threshold and circumstances of use

BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	

#### Additional requirement

s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
------------	--	--

#### Post discharge actions

s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	

**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Stl'atl'imx Tribal Police

**Agency Contact Information:**

879 Main Street, Lillooet, BC (250)256-7784

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	7
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	5
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	yes
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	n/a
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	no
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	yes
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	0
---	---	---

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		0
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	0		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	0		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29		
			30 to 39		
			40 to 49		
			50 to 59		
			60 to 69		
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?		n/a		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?		n/a		
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?		n/a		
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:	n/a	
			Impact weapon:	n/a	
			Firearm:	n/a	
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:	n/a	
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:	n/a	
			Other type of weapon:	n/a	
16	In how many cases were multiple cycles used?				
	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	n/a	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?	n/a	
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?	n/a	
			In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?	n/a	
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?		0		
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?		0		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?		0		



**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	0
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	0
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	0

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	0
----	---	---

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		none
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:		
----	---	--	--

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE		
<p><i>The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of <u>each</u> CEW discharge with the BCPPS. <b>Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.</b></i></p>		
Threshold and circumstances of use		
BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	n/a
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	n/a
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	n/a
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	n/a
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	n/a
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	n/a
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	n/a
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	n/a
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	n/a
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	n/a
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	n/a
Additional requirement		
s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	n/a
Post discharge actions		
s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	n/a
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	n/a
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	n/a
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	n/a

**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**

Page 44 to/à Page 48

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.16



## 2017 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

### Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year.

**Agency:**

Victoria Police Department

**Agency Contact Information:**

Inspector Penny Durrant penny.durrant@vicpd.ca

### PLEASE NOTE:

This CEW section of the annual use-of-force survey used to be sent out as a separate attachment/file, but is now included as one four-page tab with the rest of the survey.

### AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs currently held by your agency:	24
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	79
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? YES/NO	No
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject-behaviour officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (This applies to discharge and display incidents.) YES/NO	Yes
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? YES/NO	Yes
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? YES/NO	Yes
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEWs and cartridges? YES/NO	Yes
8	(a) Does your agency have secure storage? YES/NO	Yes
	(b) Does your agency have a sign-out process? YES/NO	Yes

### CEW DISPLAY

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged:	32
---	---	----

### CEW DISCHARGE

10	Total number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):		7
	(a)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>PROBE MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	5
	(b)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in <b>CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	2
	(c)	Number of subjects at whom a CEW was discharged in both <b>PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES</b> (whether or not deployment was successful):	0

## The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

## CEW USE

11	(a)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>effective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	5		
	(b)	In how many cases where a CEW was discharged, was it <b>ineffective</b> in gaining subject compliance?	2		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:		Age	Gender	
				Males	Females
			under 18		
			18 to 29	2	
			30 to 39	3	
			40 to 49	1	
			50 to 59	1	
		60 to 69			
		70+			
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?		3		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?		4		
15	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?		2		
	(a)	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects	
			Edged weapon:	1	
			Impact weapon:		
			Firearm:	1	
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm:		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm:		
	Other type of weapon:				
16	In how many cases were multiple cycles used?				
	(a)	For those cases with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	# of CEW Cycles	# of Incidents	
			In how many cases were <b>2 cycles</b> used?	2	
			In how many cases were <b>3 cycles</b> used?	1	
			In how many cases were <b>4 cycles</b> used?		
	In how many cases were <b>5 or more cycles</b> used?				
17	How many subjects were <b>handcuffed</b> when the CEW was discharged?		0		
18	How many discharge cases were <b>medically high-risk</b> ?		2		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s)?		7		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents?		0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents?		0		

**The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY**

*For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient or trifling in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions, etc.*

**INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

20	(a)	In how many cases did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	3
	(b)	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	0
	(c)	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
	(d)	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	0

**INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS**

21	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	1
----	---	---

*The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on page 4 (below). You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.*

**REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING**

22	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to page 4 on this Excel tab to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. YES/NO		0
	(a)	If yes, how many incidents were <b>inconsistent</b> with any section of the BCPPS?	N/A
	(b)	If you answered yes to question 22, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	

23	If you answered yes to question 22, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent use(s)?		N/A
	(a)	If yes, briefly describe action taken:          N/A	

**TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES**

24	Were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, briefly describe:          		
----	---	--	--

N/A

(Page 3 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)

#### CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE

The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 above.**

#### Threshold and circumstances of use

BCPPS		Y/N
s.1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	0
s.1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	0
s.1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	0
s.1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	0
s.1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	0
s.1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	0
s.1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	0
s.1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	0
s.1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	0
s.1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	0
s.1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	0

#### Additional requirement

s.1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	0
------------	--	---

#### Post discharge actions

s.1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	0
s.1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	0
s.1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	0
ss.1.3.5(l) 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	0



**(Page 4 of 4 in this CEW Survey Tab)**

Page 54 to/à Page 58

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

s.16