From:

Beare, Lisa TAC:EX

Sent:

Monday, November 27, 2017 10:33 AM

To:

Meadows, Jennifer L TAC:EX

Cc:

Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX

Subject:

Re: For approval: Updated Draft s.12

Approved

Honourable Lisa Beare, Minister Ministry of Tourism, Arts & Culture 250-356-8247



On Nov 24, 2017, at 4:54 PM, Meadows, Jennifer L TAC:EX < Jennifer.Meadows@gov.bc.ca > wrote:

Good afternoon Minister,

I have uploaded a slightly revised \$.12

to your

sharepoint in the Treasury Board_Cabinet Submission folder.

s.12

to address TBS feedback.

Cabinet Operations has requested the Minister approved submission by noon on Monday, November 27th.

Thank you.


JENNIFER MEADOWS

Director, Executive Operations
Deputy Minister's Office
Ministry of Tourism, Arts and Culture and
Ministry Responsible for Sport and Multiculturalism

8th Floor, 1810 Blanshard Street, Victoria BC V8W 9T2

p: 250-952-0104 l m: 250-507-0063


From: Sent: To: Subject:	Beare, Lisa TAC:EX Wednesday, November 22, 2017 10:02 AM Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX Re: Quotes and schedule this morning			
Hey Kelly				
s.13				
Thank you Lisa				
Honourable Lisa Beare, M Ministry of Tourism, Arts & 250-356-8247				
On Nov 22, 2017, at 8:47 AM, Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX < Kelly.Newhook@gov.bc.ca > wrote:				
Can you review the qu	ote in the email train below and let me know your thoughts?			
Unfortunately this mo if possible.	rning is packed with meetings for both of us, so we will have to do this over email,			
If you could let me kno	If you could let me know before Cabinet, that would be ideal			
Kelly				
From: Carreras, Korled Sent: Wednesday, No To: Infante, James TA Cc: Newhook, Kelly TA Subject: Re: Quotes	vember 22, 2017 8:39 AM C:EX			
Or s.13	t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			



On Nov 22, 2017, at 8:30 AM, Carreras, Korleen TAC:EX < Korleen.Carreras@gov.bc.ca > wrote:

s.13

Kelly, you'll run this past MLB for her thoughts?



On Nov 22, 2017, at 8:15 AM, Infante, James TAC:EX < <u>James.Infante@gov.bc.ca</u>> wrote:

NEEDS APPROVAL ASAP

From: Marriott, Sarah [mailto:Sarah.Marriott@leg.bc.ca]

Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 8:03 AM

To: Infante, James TAC:EX

Subject: Quotes

s.13

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Beare, Lisa TAC:EX

Sent:

Thursday, November 16, 2017 5:02 PM

To:

Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX

Subject:

Re: Changes to AU IB

The bulletin isn't included.

Thanks Lisa

Honourable Lisa Beare, Minister Ministry of Tourism, Arts & Culture



On Nov 16, 2017, at 4:40 PM, Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX < Kelly.Newhook@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

For your review.s.13

s.13

Scheduled to go tomorrow morning.

Kelly

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Kerr, Grant GCPE:EX" < Grant.Kerr@gov.bc.ca>

Date: November 16, 2017 at 1:16:17 PM PST

To: "Gibbs, Robb GCPE:EX" < Robb.Gibbs@gov.bc.ca >, "Jack, Carolyn GCPE:EX" < Carolyn.Jack@gov.bc.ca >, "Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX" < Kelly.Newhook@gov.bc.ca >, "Papadopoulos, James AEST:EX" < James.Papadopoulos@gov.bc.ca >, "Porter, Rodney

GCPE:EX" < Rodney.Porter@gov.bc.ca >

Subject: RE: Changes to AU IB

Thanks Robb.

So everyone OK if I get this into the HUB now?

From: Gibbs, Robb GCPE:EX

Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2017 12:56 PM

To: Jack, Carolyn GCPE:EX; Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX; Papadopoulos, James AEST:EX;

Porter, Rodney GCPE:EX

Cc: Kerr, Grant GCPE:EX Subject: Changes to AU IB

Hi folks,

I have made some changes to the IB for your review. I thought it sounded too much like an AU promo and was missing the context around why this government is moving forward. So it has basically a new second para. Also removed the line about post-sec programs offered by AU... too much of a poke in the eye to the broader post-sec community.

Tks,

Robb

Robb Gibbs

ADM - Strategic Communications **Government Communications & Public Engagement**

P: 1-778-698-7469 C: 1-778-584-1242

From:

Sent:		Thursday, November 16, 2017 4:59 PM
To:		Carroll, Sandra TAC:EX; Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX
Subjec	t:	Fwd: BCPC - 518 - Media - Acknowledgement and Fee Estimate Letter
Minist	urable Lisa Beare, Mini ry of Tourism, Arts & Co 56-8247	
Begin	forwarded message:	
	Date: November 15, 20 To: Clark Ledingham < Cc: "OComeau@oipc.b"	010goldrush@gmail.com> 17 at 7:09:02 PM PST cledingham@bcpavco.com> c.ca" < OComeau@oipc.bc.ca> PC - 518 - Media - Acknowledgement and Fee Estimate Letter
	Is it proper for you to ev You should have propos	g a lot of time to create excuses to justify such a fee. The saying "dothes to your behaviour, Mr. Ledingham. The ren be handling this file, as you are named in it? The sed substantial and specific ways and means to narrow the request. The red table to your good judgment. The request of the request of the request of the red table to yourself.
	On Wed, Nov 15, 2017	at 5:42 PM Clark Ledingham < <u>cledingham@bcpavco.com</u> > wrote:
	Mr. Mackin,	
	You have our fee estimat blue.	e in this matter. Our responses to your email of November 6 th are below in
	Regards,	

Beare, Lisa TAC:EX

Clark Ledingham

General Counsel

BC PAVILION CORPORATION

×	Note that the second se
_	

200 - 999 Canada Place

Vancouver, BC V6C 3C1

Direct: 604.647.7227

Cell: 778.836.6098

Email: cledingham@bcpavco.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This communication (both the message and any attachments) is confidential and may be protected by solicitor-client privilege. It is intended only for the use of the person or persons to whom it is addressed. Any distribution, copying, or other use by anyone else is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please destroy the email message and any attachments immediately and notify me by telephone or by email.

From: Bob Mackin [mailto:2010goldrush@gmail.com]

Sent: November-06-17 11:44 AM

To: Rita Mogyorosi; Clark Ledingham; msaikani@bcpavco.com

Subject: Re: BCPC - 518 - Media - Acknowledgement and Fee Estimate Letter

So, let me get this straight, first your in-house IT director blames me for sending an email containing a signature that did not exist. (There was no signature in the email I sent!)

1. Our in-house IT director in his email of October 2 to me (and provided to you) states:

"In the original email the sender has two tracking images. These images are "hidden" and are usually designed to track usage of email. So if you open the email outlook will often times ask you and warn you not to download images unless you feel it's

safe. The images are basically 1px gifs that once you trigger to download them it sends back a bunch of information to track you.

Here is part of the HTML CODE (cleardot.gif is the fake image):

Here is the info: https://superuser.com/questions/658098/how-does-googles-cleardot-gif-track-email-recipients-with-a-generic-url

In light of this explanation, and our detailed instruction how you can confirm this in your Gmail account, do you accept that your initial email to the writer contained these tracking images?

Then you send me this bogus fee invoice for a report that can be run pretty quickly and simply, for a very short period of time. This isn't a 25-hour file. It can be done in less than two-and-a-half.

2. Again, here is the email provided to you from our EXTERNAL AND INDEPENDENT server/exchange consultant dated October 2:

"Our Microsoft Exchange message tracking logs only go back 30 days. As this request came in on October 2nd, the maximum I can go back to see what messages that were sent to Clark and sent from Clarke, only start at September 6th. In order to get full message tracking beyond the 30 days, I would have to restore a Domain Controller, the database server and the Cas server front ends back from that time frame in an isolated environment. That is about 25 hours of work, the bulk of which is trying to keep it off our production network, yet still be able to access this information. That would provide tracking logs to show the message was received by Clark, but still would not explain that the message was delivered into his junk email folder."

You asked us to engage an external and independent consultant, yet when we ask them for their assessment of the work required to provide what you are requesting, you label their estimate as 'bogus' and pronounce that the matter can be done in less than two and a half hours when you are clearly not qualified or competent to opine on matters of servers and exchanges. (For the record, neither is the writer, which is why we approached an expert to do so).

What, Mr. Ledingham, would you spend this \$3,300 on if I were to send it to you? The PavCo Christmas party? Before visions of sugarplums begin to dance in your head... my question was merely hypothetical.

3. While we (sincerely) appreciate the artful sarcasm in your query, the fees received from you would be wholly used to pay the invoice of the external server/exchange consultant to accomplish what you have requested.

No, I'm not going to pay for what should be free.

4. We do not agree that these services be free to you, when PavCo would otherwise be expending public funds to satisfy your request. The fees are as estimated by our external server/exchange consultant for their services alone, and are permitted under section 75 of the Act.

To place this request in context, it was made by you, understandably, when we had yet to locate an email that you had sent to us. In those circumstances, you rationally requested that some technical explanation be provided because you knew that you had sent the email in question. Shortly after receiving your request to conduct a forensic evaluation of the email receipt, we informed you that the email (as it turns out, two of them) had been quarantined in the writer's Outlook junk folder. The writer advised our IT Director of the junk file location of your emails and, out of an abundance of caution and with regard to your concerns, did not himself open the emails and left that solely to the IT Director.

PavCo's IT Director concluded that your emails were relegated to a junk folder because they contained hidden files – we believe unknowingly or inadvertently included by you in those emails – which prompted our computer security protocols to sequester them within our email system.

Our external consultant also notes that your request as it is presently constituted will not provide you with any explanation of how these emails were delivered into the junk folder, which we understand to be the reason behind your inquiry.

I recommend you reconsider the predatory fee tactic.

5. Please see above.
What substantial and specific ways and means of narrowing do you propose?
6. Given the technical nature of your request, we are not in a position to narrow your request to the point that it would take less than three hours of work. We also note that the outside consultant has stated that the 25 hours of work would 'provide tracking logs to show the message was received by (the writer), but still would not explain that the message was delivered into his junk email folder." To that end, please identify what specific question you are seeking to have answered by your request so that we might provide the suggestions requested by you. We believe that you seek information on how your emails were disposed to a junk email folder, which we have addressed without the additional work you have requested us to undertake without expense to you.
I'm always willing to meet in the middle and negotiate in good faith.
7. We always seek to deal with applicants in good faith. In doing so in this instance, we have provided you with a technical explanation answering your question. Should this be unsatisfactory to you, we refer you to the OPIC for review of our response. As your request is for a technical forensic evaluation of our email system that we are confident would only support the conclusions of both our IT Director and external server/exchange consultant, there appears to be little technical opportunity to 'meet in the middle and negotiate' in the context of your request. This is particularly so when you have refused to consider payment of the third-party fee estimate to conduct the evaluation you seek.
Your track record shows that you are not. But it's never too late for you to begin, Mr. Ledingham.
Please respond by the end of day Nov. 9.
8. You have our reasoned and rational reply.
The ball is in your court, Mr. Ledingham.
Sincerely,

Bob Mackin
On Mon, Nov 6, 2017 at 11:02 AM, Rita Mogyorosi < RMogyorosi@bcpavco.com > wrote: Dear Mr. Mackin,
Please find attached our Acknowledgement and Fee Estimate Letter regarding your FIPPA request received September 28, 2017.
Kind regards,
-Rita
Rita Mogyorosi, MA
Records and Information Officer
X Market State Sta
<u>200 - 999 Canada Place</u>

Vancouver, BC, V6C 3C1

Direct: 604.484.5160

Email: rmogyorosi@bcpavco.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Beare, Lisa TAC:EX Sent: Wednesday, November 8, 2017 5:32 PM To: Carroll, Sandra TAC:EX Cc: Kahlon, Ravi PREM:EX; Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX; Infante, James TAC:EX; Hobbs, Sasha PSA:EX Subject: Re: New Executive Director Multiculturalism Hi Sasha Welcome to the team! I am looking forward to meeting you soon. We have lots of exciting things going on in the Ministry which will benefit from all your experience and knowledge. Honourable Lisa Beare, Minister Ministry of Tourism, Arts & Culture 250-356-8247 × On Nov 8, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Carroll, Sandra TAC:EX < Sandra.Carroll@gov.bc.ca > wrote: Minister & PS Kahlon, I have hired a new executive director of Multiculturalism – Sasha Hobbs. Sasha will join us officially Nov 20th but will try and participate is some key meeting prior. Attached is her bio. Sasha will be a great addition to the team with her background and I am sure you will enjoy working with her. **Thanks** <Sasha Hobbs Bio November 2017.pdf>

From:

Beare, Lisa TAC:EX

Sent:

Tuesday, November 7, 2017 3:12 PM

To:

Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX

Subject:

RE: For approval: DN St Andrews Day Proclamation

Approved

From: Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 12:30 PM

To: Beare, Lisa TAC:EX

Subject: FW: For approval: DN St Andrews Day Proclamation

Looks pretty straight forward.

Kelly

From: Meadows, Jennifer L TAC:EX

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 10:37 AM

To: Newhook, Kelly TAC:EX

Cc: Infante, James TAC:EX; Carreras, Korleen TAC:EX Subject: For approval: DN St Andrews Day Proclamation

Good morning Kelly,

Attached for Minister's approval is a DN note for the request to proclaim St Andrews Day, November 30, 2017.

Thank you.

JENNIFER MEADOWS
Director, Executive Operations
Deputy Minister's Office
Ministry of Tourism, Arts and Culture and
Ministry Responsible for Sport and Multiculturalism
8th Floor, 1810 Blanshard Street, Victoria BC V8W 9T2
p: 250-952-0104 I m: 250-507-0063